

On the Composition of Homogeneous Quasi-Arithmetic Means

Peter Kahlig

Institute of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Vienna, A-1090, Vienna, Austria

and

Janusz Matkowski

Department of Mathematics, Technical University, PL-43309, Bielsko-Biala, Poland

Submitted by L. Debnath

Received December 3, 1996

Let $\phi, \psi, \gamma, \beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, strictly monotonic and continuous functions, be the generators of the positively homogeneous quasi-arithmetic means M_ϕ, M_ψ, M_γ , and M_β . The main result gives full characterizations of the functions ϕ, ψ, γ , and β such that

$$M_\phi(M_\psi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)) = M_\beta(x, y), \quad x, y > 0.$$

© 1997 Academic Press

INTRODUCTION

A mean on $(0, \infty)$ is a function $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ having the weak internal property

$$\min\{x, y\} \leq M(x, y) \leq \max\{x, y\}, \quad x, y > 0.$$

If M, N , and K are means on $(0, \infty)$ then, obviously, the function

$$(x, y) \rightarrow M(N(x, y), K(x, y)),$$

the composition of the means M, N , and K , is again a mean on $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, if M, N , and K are positively homogeneous then so is their composition.

A special role plays the class of quasi-arithmetic means. Recall that a mean M is called *quasi-arithmetic* if there exists a strictly monotonic and continuous function $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, a *generator* of the mean, such that $M = M_\phi$, where

$$M_\phi(x, y) = \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\phi(x) + \phi(y)}{2} \right), \quad x, y > 0.$$

It is easy to verify that in general the composition of three quasi-arithmetic means is not a quasi-arithmetic mean. The main result of this paper gives a complete characterization of the positively homogeneous quasi-arithmetic means M_ϕ , M_ψ , M_γ , M_β , which satisfy the composition equation

$$M_\phi(M_\psi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)) = M_\beta(x, y)$$

for all $x, y > 0$. As a corollary we obtain the relations

$$G(A(x, y), H(x, y)) = G(x, y),$$

$$A(A(x, y), G(x, y)) = (A(x^{1/2}, y^{1/2}))^2,$$

for all $x, y > 0$, where A , G , and H stand, respectively, for the arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic mean. It turns out that these relations are, in a sense, exceptional, and play a basic role, as we show that the quasi-arithmetic means satisfying the composition equation can be determined from the identities

$$G((A(x^p, y^p))^{1/p}, (H(x^p, y^p))^{1/p}) = G(x, y), \quad p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}, x, y > 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} & A(A(x^p, y^p), G(x^p, y^p))^{1/p} \\ &= (A(x^{p/2}, y^{p/2}))^{2/p}, \quad p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}, x, y > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since for any two means $M, N : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ we have

$$M(N(x, y), N(x, y)) = N(x, y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

the composition equation is trivially satisfied if $M_\psi = M_\gamma = M_\beta$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A function $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the inequality

$$\min\{x, y\} \leq M(x, y) \leq \max\{x, y\}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

is said to be a mean on $(0, \infty)$. It follows that every mean has the property

$$M(x, x) = x, \quad x > 0. \quad (1)$$

A mean M is *positively homogeneous* if

$$M(tx, ty) = tM(x, y), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Let $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous and strictly monotonic function. Then it is easy to see that the function $M_\phi: (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$M_\phi(x, y) = \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\phi(x) + \phi(y)}{2}\right), \quad x, y > 0, \quad (2)$$

is a mean, and it is called *quasi-arithmetic* (cf. [1, p. 279; 2, p. 245]). The function ϕ will be called a *generator* of the mean M_ϕ . It is well known that the quasi-arithmetic mean is positively homogeneous iff it coincides with a *power mean*.

In this paper the one-parameter family of *power mean* $\mathbf{m}_p: (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{m}_p(x, y) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^p + y^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}, & p \neq 0 \\ \sqrt{xy}, & p = 0 \end{cases}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

plays a key role. Let us note some of the most important properties of this family of means.

Property 1. For every $p \in \mathbf{R}$, \mathbf{m}_p is a quasi-arithmetic mean. For every $p \neq 0$, the function

$$\phi(x) = ax^p + b, \quad x > 0,$$

with arbitrarily fixed $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, $a \neq 0$, is a generator of \mathbf{m}_p , and

$$\phi(x) = a \log x + b, \quad x > 0,$$

with arbitrarily fixed $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$, $a \neq 0$, is a generator of \mathbf{m}_0 .

Property 2. For every $p \in \mathbf{R}$, \mathbf{m}_p is positively homogeneous.

Property 3. The function $\mathbf{R} \ni p \rightarrow \mathbf{m}_p$ is continuous and strictly increasing.

Property 4. For every $p \in \mathbf{R}$, \mathbf{m}_p is strictly internal, i.e.,

$$\min\{x, y\} < \mathbf{m}_p(x, y) < \max\{x, y\}, \quad x, y > 0, x \neq y,$$

In the sequel we denote by A , G , and H , respectively, the arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means. Note that

$$\mathbf{m}_1 = A, \quad \mathbf{m}_0 = G, \quad \mathbf{m}_{-1} = H.$$

2. MAIN RESULT ABOUT COMPOSITIONS OF POWER MEANS

In this section we prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Let $p, q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$. Then*

$$\mathbf{m}_p(\mathbf{m}_q(x, y), \mathbf{m}_r(x, y)) = \mathbf{m}_s(x, y), \quad x, y > 0. \quad (3)$$

if, and only if, one of the following cases occurs:

- (1°) $q = r = s$, and $p \in \mathbf{R}$ is arbitrary;
- (2°) $q = p$, $r = 0$, $s = p/2$, and $p \in \mathbf{R}$ is arbitrary;
- (3°) $r = p$, $q = 0$, $s = p/2$, and $p \in \mathbf{R}$ is arbitrary;
- (4°) $p = 0 = s$, $q + r = 0$.

Proof. It follows from the positive homogeneity of the power means that Eq. (3) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{m}_p(\mathbf{m}_q(x, 1), \mathbf{m}_r(x, 1)) = \mathbf{m}_s(x, 1), \quad x > 0. \quad (4)$$

Suppose first that this relation holds true for some numbers $p, q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$, all different from 0, and such that $q \neq r$, i.e., that

$$\left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q} + \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{p/r} = 2\left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{p/s}, \quad x > 0. \quad (5)$$

Without any loss of generality we can assume that $q < r$. From (4), by the definition of mean, we have

$$\min\{\mathbf{m}_q(x, 1), \mathbf{m}_r(x, 1)\} \leq \mathbf{m}_s(x, 1) \leq \max\{\mathbf{m}_q(x, 1), \mathbf{m}_r(x, 1)\}, \quad x > 0.$$

By Property 3, $q \leq s \leq r$, and

$$\mathbf{m}_q(x, 1) \leq \mathbf{m}_s(x, 1) \leq \mathbf{m}_r(x, 1), \quad x > 0.$$

If $s = q$, then we would have $\mathbf{m}_s = \mathbf{m}_q$. Hence, in view of Property 4, and (4), we infer that $\mathbf{m}_q = \mathbf{m}_s = \mathbf{m}_r$, and consequently $q = r$, which is a contradiction. In the same way we show that $s = r$ implies $q = r$. This discussion proves that $q < s < r$. Taking the derivatives of both sides of (5) gives

$$x^{q-s} \left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{(p-q)/q} + x^{r-s} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{(p-r)/r} = 2 \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{(p-s)/s}, \quad x > 0.$$

Note that

$$\text{if } s > 0 \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} 2 \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-s)/s} = 2^{2-p/s},$$

and

$$\text{if } s < 0 \text{ then } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} 2 \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-s)/s} = 2^{2-p/s}.$$

On the other hand, as $q - s < 0 < r - s$, we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{q-s} = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{r-s} = \infty.$$

The above relation implies that the limits

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{q-s} \left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-q)/q}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{r-s} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-r)/r},$$

as well as

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{q-s} \left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-q)/q}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{r-s} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-r)/r},$$

must be finite, and at least one of them is positive.

Suppose for instance that the first of these limits is positive. It follows that $q - s = q - p$, i.e., $s = p$. Consequently $q - p < 0 < r - p$, and

$$x^{q-p} \left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-q)/q} + x^{r-p} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2} \right)^{(p-r)/r} = 2, \quad x > 0.$$

Taking the first and the second derivative of both sides and then setting $x = 1$ gives, respectively, $p = (q + r)/2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} 2p^3 + 12p^2 - p(3q^2 + 6q + 3r^2 + 6r - 16) \\ + 2(q^3 - 4q + r(r^2 - 4)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating p from these relations easily gives

$$(q - r)^2(q + r) = 0,$$

i.e., either $r = -q$, and consequently $p = 0$, or $r = q$, which is a desired contradiction. If we assume that one of the remaining three limits is

positive then a similar argument gives a contradiction. Thus we have shown that if $p, q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$, all different from 0, satisfy (3) then $q = r = s$.

Conversely, it is easy to see that for all $p, q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $q = r = s$, Eq. (3) is fulfilled.

Assume now that, in relation (4), exactly one of the numbers p, q, r, s is equal to 0.

First consider the case $p = 0$ and $q \neq 0, r \neq 0, s \neq 0$. From (4), by the definition of a mean, we have either $q \leq s \leq r$ or $r \leq s \leq q$. If $q = s$ or $r = s$, then, making use of Properties 3 and 4, we would have $q = s$, and, consequently, $q = r = s$. Since in this case relation (3) holds true, we can assume that either $q < s < r$ or $r < s < q$. As the roles of q and r are symmetric, it is enough to consider the case

$$q < s < r.$$

We can write (4) in the form

$$\left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{1/r} = \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{2/s}, \quad x > 0.$$

Differentiating both sides of this equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} x^{q-s} m_r(x, 1) \left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{(1-q)/q} + x^{r-s} m_q(x, 1) \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{(1-r)/r} \\ = 2 \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{(2-s)/s}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x > 0$. If $s > 0$, and $x \rightarrow 0$, then the right hand side tends to $2^{(2s-2)/s}$; similarly, if $s < 0$, and $x \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$q - s < 0 < r - s,$$

if $x \rightarrow 0$ or $x \rightarrow \infty$ then the left hand side tends either to 0 or to ∞ . This is a contradiction.

Now consider the case $r = 0$ and $p \neq 0, q \neq 0, s \neq 0$. By the definition of the power means we can write (4) in the form

$$\left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q} + x^{p/2} = 2 \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{p/s}, \quad x > 0.$$

Replacing here x by $x^{2/p}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{x^{2q/p} + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q} + x = 2\left(\frac{x^{2s/p} + 1}{2}\right)^{p/s}, \quad x > 0. \quad (6)$$

Dividing both sides by x^2 and letting $x \rightarrow \infty$, gives $2^{-p/q} = 2^{(1-p)/s}$, and, consequently,

$$s = \frac{pq}{p+q}, \quad \text{and} \quad p+q \neq 0. \quad (7)$$

Hence, taking the first derivative of both sides of (6), for all $x > 0$ we get

$$\left(\frac{x^{2q/p} + 1}{2}\right)^{(p-q)/q} x^{(2q-p)/p} + 1 = 2\left(\frac{x^{2q/(p+q)} + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q} x^{(q-p)/(p+q)}. \quad (8)$$

Now we prove that $p = q$. For an indirect argument suppose that this equation holds true for some $p, q \in \mathbf{R}$, $p \neq 0 \neq q$, $p+q \neq 0$, and consider the following subcases.

(1) $0 < p < q$. Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ gives 1 on the left and 0 on the right hand side of (8).

(2) $0 < q < p$ and $2q > p$. Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ gives 1 on the left and ∞ on the right hand side of (8).

(3) $0 < q$ and $2q = p$. Here we can write relation (8) in the form

$$\frac{x+1}{2} + 1 = 2\left(\frac{x^{2/3} + 1}{2}\right)^2 x^{1/3}, \quad x > 0,$$

and of course it is false.

(4) $0 < q$ and $2q < p$. Letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ gives 1 on the left and 0 on the right hand side of (8).

(5) $p < 0 < q$ and $p+q > 0$. Dividing both sides of (8) by $x^{(q-p)/(p+q)}$, for all $x > 0$ we get

$$\left(\frac{x^{2q/p} + 1}{2}\right)^{(p-q)/q} x^{2q^2/(p(p+q))} + x^{(q+p)/(p-q)} = 2\left(\frac{x^{2q/(p+q)} + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q}. \quad (9)$$

Letting here $x \rightarrow 0$ gives ∞ on the left, and $2^{(1-p)/q}$ on the right.

(6) $p < 0 < q$ and $p + q < 0$. Letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ in (9) gives ∞ on the left and $2^{1-p/q}$ on the right.

Observe that the relations (8) and (9) remain valid on replacing p and q by $(-p)$ and $(-q)$. It follows that all the remaining possible subcases can be reduced to the above already considered.

The above discussion shows that relation (6) implies $q = p$. From (7) we have $s = p/2$, and, by the assumption, $r = 0$.

On the other hand, making use of the definition of the family (m_p) , it is easy to verify that, for every $p \in \mathbf{R}$, the numbers

$$p, \quad q := p, \quad r := 0, \quad s := \frac{p}{2}$$

satisfy Eq. (3).

Since the role of q and r is symmetric we can omit analogous considerations in the case $q = 0$ and $p, r, s \neq 0$.

If $s = 0$ and $p \neq 0, q \neq 0, r \neq 0$, in (4), then either $q < 0 < r$ or $r < 0 < q$. It is easy to check that relation (4), having the form

$$\left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q} + \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{p/r} = 2x^{p/2}, \quad x > 0,$$

cannot occur.

Now assume that exactly two of the numbers p, q, r, s are equal to 0. Suppose first that $p = 0 = q, r \neq 0 \neq s$. Properties 3 and 4 imply that $s \in (0, r)$ if $r > 0$, and $s \in (r, 0)$ if $r < 0$. Suppose first that $r > 0$. From (4) we have

$$\sqrt{x} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{1/r} = \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{2/s}, \quad x > 0.$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ gives a contradictory relation $0 = 2^{-2/s}$. If $r < 0$ then $s < 0$, and setting $r := m, s := -n, m, n > 0$, allows us to write the above equation in the form

$$\frac{2^{1/m}}{(x^m + 1)^{1/m}} = \frac{2^{1/n}}{(x^n + 1)^{1/n}} \sqrt{x}.$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ gives $2^{1/m} = 0$, which is a contradiction.

The same argument shows that if $p = 0 = r$ then there are no real numbers q and $s, q \neq 0 \neq s$, such that (3) is satisfied.

Assume that $p = 0 = s$, and $q \neq 0 \neq r$. From (4) we have

$$\left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{x^r + 1}{2}\right)^{1/r} = x, \quad x > 0.$$

The internality of the mean \mathbf{m}_p and its increasing monotonicity with respect to p imply that either $q < 0 < r$ or $r < 0 < q$. Put $q := -m$. Then $m, r > 0$ and, with some simple calculations, we can write the above relation in the equivalent form

$$2^{1/m}(x^r + 1)^{1/r} = 2^{1/r}(x^m + 1)^{1/m}, \quad x > 0.$$

Letting $x \rightarrow 0$ gives $2^{1/m} = 2^{1/r}$. Thus $r = m$ and, consequently, $r = -q$.

Conversely, taking $p = 0 = s$, arbitrary $q \in \mathbf{R}$, and $r := -q$, we have for all $x, y > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}_p(\mathbf{m}_q(x, y), \mathbf{m}_r(x, y)) &= \mathbf{m}_0(\mathbf{m}_q(x, y), \mathbf{m}_{-q}(x, y)) \\ \left(\left(\frac{x^q + y^q}{2}\right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{x^{-q} + y^{-q}}{2}\right)^{-1/q}\right)^{1/2} &= \left(\left(\frac{x^q + y^q}{2} \frac{2x^q y^q}{x^q + y^q}\right)^{1/q}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{xy} = \mathbf{m}_s(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

With respect to the symmetrical role of q and r , in the same way we can show that the numbers $p = 0 = s$, and $q < 0 < r$ satisfy (3) if, and only if, $r = -q$.

Assume that $p, q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$ are such that $q = 0 = r$, $p \neq 0 \neq s$. If they satisfy (4) then

$$\sqrt{x} = \left(\frac{x^s + 1}{2}\right)^{1/s}, \quad x > 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now assume that $p, q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$, $r = 0 = s$, $p \neq 0 \neq q$. Then (4) reduces to the contradictory relation

$$\left(\frac{x^q + 1}{2}\right)^{p/q} = x^{p/2}, \quad x > 0.$$

Since it is easy to see that relation (4) is false if exactly three of the numbers p, q, r, s are equal to 0, the proof is completed. ■

Applying Theorem 1(4°) with $p = 0 = s$, $r = -q$, where $q \neq 0$ is arbitrary, and Theorem 1(2°) with $q = p$, $r = 0$, $s = p/2$, where $p \neq 0$ is

arbitrary, we obtain the following

COROLLARY 1. *For all $p, q \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and for all $x, y > 0$*

$$\left(\left(\frac{x^q + y^q}{2} \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{x^{-q} + y^{-q}}{2} \right)^{-1/q} \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{xy}, \quad (10)$$

$$\left(\frac{\left(((x^p + y^p)/2)^{1/p} \right)^p + (\sqrt{xy})^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} = \left(\frac{x^{p/2} + y^{p/2}}{2} \right)^{2/p}. \quad (11)$$

Remark 1. Note that the relations

$$G(A(x, y), H(x, y)) = G(x, y), \quad x, y > 0; \quad (12)$$

$$A(A(x, y), G(x, y)) = m_{1/2}(x, y), \quad x, y > 0, \quad (13)$$

play here a fundamental role. They are equivalent to the relations mentioned in the statements (2°), (3°), and (4°) of Theorem 1. To get for instance (10) it is enough to replace x and y , respectively, by x^q and y^q in (12), and raise both sides to the power $1/q$. Similarly, replacing, respectively, x and y by x^p and y^p in (13), and then raising it to the power $1/p$, gives relation (11).

3. NOTES ON COMPOSITIONS OF HOMOGENEOUS QUASI-ARITHMETIC MEANS

We need the following (cf. J. Aczél [1, 3.1.2, Theorem 2, p. 153])

LEMMA 1. *Let $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous and strictly monotonic function. Then M_ϕ is positively homogeneous if, and only if, either there exist $a, p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $b \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\phi(x) = ax^p + b$, $x > 0$, or there exist $a \in \mathbf{R}$, $a \neq 0$, such that $\phi(x) = a \log(x) + b$, $x > 0$.*

Now we can prove the following

THEOREM 2. *Let $\phi, \psi, \gamma, \beta: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be strictly monotonic, and continuous. Suppose that M_ϕ and at least two of the means M_ψ , M_γ , M_β are positively homogeneous. Then*

$$M_\phi(M_\psi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)) = M_\beta(x, y), \quad x, y > 0, \quad (14)$$

if, and only if, one of the following cases occurs:

(1°) there exist $p, q \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= a_1 x^p + b_1, & \psi(x) &= a_2 x^q + b_2, \\ \gamma(x) &= a_3 x^q + b_3, & \beta(x) &= a_4 x^q + b_4,\end{aligned}$$

(2°) there exists $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= a_1 x^p + b_1, & \psi(x) &= a_2 x^p + b_2, \\ \gamma(x) &= a_3 \log(x) + b_3, & \beta(x) &= a_4 x^{p/2} + b_4,\end{aligned}$$

(3°) there exists $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= a_1 x^p + b_1, & \psi(x) &= a_2 \log(x) + b_2, \\ \gamma(x) &= a_3 x^p + b_3, & \beta(x) &= a_4 x^{p/2} + b_4,\end{aligned}$$

(4°) there exists $q \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= a_1 \log(x) + b_1, & \psi(x) &= a_2 x^q + b_2, \\ \gamma(x) &= a_3 x^{-q} + b_3, & \beta(x) &= a_4 \log(x) + b_4,\end{aligned}$$

for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbf{R}$, $a_i \neq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), and all $x > 0$.

Proof. By assumption M_ϕ is positively homogeneous. First we show that all the means $M_\phi, M_\gamma, M_\beta$ are positively homogeneous. To this end it is enough to consider four cases.

If M_ϕ, M_γ are positively homogeneous, then by (14) so is M_β .

If M_ϕ, M_β are positively homogeneous then for all $t, x, y > 0$,

$$M_\beta(tx, ty) = M_\phi(M_\phi(tx, ty), M_\gamma(tx, ty)) = M_\phi(tM_\phi(x, y), M_\gamma(tx, ty))$$

and

$$tM_\beta(x, y) = tM_\phi(M_\phi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)) = M_\phi(tM_\phi(x, y), tM_\gamma(x, y)).$$

The positive homogeneity of M_β implies that

$$M_\phi(tM_\phi(x, y), M_\gamma(tx, ty)) = M_\phi(tM_\phi(x, y), tM_\gamma(x, y)), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Since every quasi-arithmetic mean is strictly increasing with respect to each variable, it follows that $M_\gamma(tx, ty) = tM_\gamma(x, y)$ for all $t, x, y > 0$.

In the same way we can show that if M_γ, M_β are positively homogeneous, then so is M_ϕ . Now the result is a consequence of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1. ■

Remark 2. Suppose that $M_\phi, M_\gamma, M_\beta$ are positively homogeneous. Then for all $t, x, y > 0$ we have

$$M_\beta(tx, ty) = M_\phi(M_\phi(tx, ty), M_\gamma(tx, ty)) = M_\phi(tM_\phi(x, y), tM_\gamma(x, y)),$$

and

$$tM_\beta(x, y) = tM_\phi(M_\phi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)).$$

From the positive homogeneity of M_β we get

$$M_\phi(tM_\phi(x, y), tM_\gamma(x, y)) = tM_\phi(M_\phi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Let $u, v > 0$ be such that the system of equations

$$M_\phi(x, y) = u, \quad M_\gamma(x, y) = v$$

has a solution $x, y > 0$. Then we have

$$M_\phi(tu, tv) = tM_\phi(u, v), \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

To show that, in general, relation (14) and the positive homogeneity of $M_\phi, M_\gamma, M_\beta$ do not yield the homogeneity of M_ϕ , consider the following

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be an arbitrary non-power monotonic and continuous function, and $\psi, \gamma, \beta: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\psi(x) = \gamma(x) = \beta(x) = x$, $x > 0$. Then

$$M_\psi(x, y) = M_\gamma(x, y) = M_\beta(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

are positively homogeneous, and M_ϕ is not. However, we have

$$M_\phi(M_\psi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)) = M_\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) = \frac{x+y}{2} = M_\beta(x, y),$$

for all $x, y > 0$.

In connection with the first statement in Theorem 1 note the following obvious

Remark 3. Let $\phi, \psi, \gamma, \beta: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be strictly monotonic, continuous, and such that $\gamma(x) = a\psi(x) + b$, and $\beta(x) = c\psi(x) + d$, $x > 0$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$. Then, by (1),

$$M_\phi(M_\psi(x, y), M_\gamma(x, y)) = M_\beta(x, y), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Remark 4. Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an interval. It is known (cf. J. Aczél and J. Dhombres [2, p. 291]), that a continuous and strictly monotonic in each variable function $M: I^2 \rightarrow I$ such that

$$M(x, x) = x, \quad M(x, y) = M(y, x), \quad x, y \in I,$$

satisfies the bisymmetry functional equation

$$M[M(x, y), M(z, w)] = M[M(x, z), M(y, w)], \quad x, y, z, w \in I,$$

if, and only if, M is a quasi-arithmetic mean, i.e.,

$$M(x, y) = \phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\phi(x) + \phi(y)}{2} \right), \quad x, y \in I,$$

where $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous and strictly monotonic function.

Note that this result permits us to determine all continuous and strictly monotonic functions $M, N, K: I^2 \rightarrow I$ such that

$$M(x, x) = N(x, x) = K(x, x) = x, \quad x \in I, \quad (15)$$

$$N(x, y) = N(y, x), \quad K(x, y) = K(y, x), \quad x, y \in I, \quad (16)$$

and satisfying the functional equation

$$M[N(x, y), K(z, w)] = M[N(x, z), K(y, w)], \quad x, y, z, w \in I. \quad (17)$$

To show it first observe that M is symmetric, i.e.,

$$M(x, y) = M(y, x), \quad x, y \in I.$$

In fact, applying in turn (15), (16), (17), and (15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y) &= M[N(x, x), K(y, y)] = M[N(x, y), K(x, y)] \\ &= M[N(y, x), K(y, x)] = M[N(y, y), K(x, x)] = M(y, x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. From using (17), (16), and again (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M[N(x, y), K(z, w)] &= M[N(x, z), K(y, w)] = M[N(z, x), K(w, y)] \\ &= M[N(z, w), K(x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in I$. Setting $w = z$ in this relation gives

$$M[N(x, y), z] = M[z, K(x, y)], \quad x, y, z \in I,$$

and by the symmetry of M we get

$$M[N(x, y), z] = M[K(x, y), z], \quad x, y, z \in I.$$

The strict monotonicity of M implies that $N = K$. Now from (17) we have

$$M[K(x, y), K(z, w)] = M[K(x, z), K(y, w)], \quad x, y, z, w \in I.$$

Setting $z := x, w := y$ gives

$$M[K(x, y), K(x, y)] = M[K(x, x), K(y, y)], \quad x, y \in I,$$

which, in view of (15), means that $K = M$. Thus the Pexider type equation (17) reduces to the bisymmetry equation. (A more general functional equation than (17) was considered by J. Aczél and Gy. Maksa, cf. [3].)

A weaker form of the Pexider bisymmetry equation (17) is the functional equation

$$M[N(x, y), K(z, x)] = M[N(x, z), K(y, z)], \quad x, y, z \in I, \quad (18)$$

where $M, N, K: I^2 \rightarrow I$ are the unknown functions.

We shall prove the following

Remark 5. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval.

(1°) Suppose that $M, N, K: I^2 \rightarrow I$ satisfy Eq. (18). If M is symmetric, injective with respect to the first variable, and

$$N(x, x) = x = K(x, x), \quad x \in I, \quad (19)$$

then

$$N(x, y) = K(y, x), \quad x, y \in I.$$

If moreover N or K is symmetric then $N = K$.

(2°) If the functions $M, N, K: I^2 \rightarrow I$ are such that M and N are symmetric and $K = N$, then Eq. (18) is fulfilled.

Proof. (1°). Setting $z := x$ in (18), and making use of (19) gives

$$M[N(x, y), x] = M[x, K(y, x)], \quad x, y \in I.$$

The symmetry of M implies that

$$M[N(x, y), x] = M[K(y, x), x], \quad x, y \in I.$$

Hence, by the strict monotonicity of M (with respect to the first variable) we obtain $N(x, y) = K(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in I$. Hence, if N or K is symmetric then $N = K$.

The proof of (2°) is obvious. ■

Setting $z := x$ and $w := y$ in (17) gives the functional equation

$$M[N(x, y), K(x, y)] = M[N(x, x), K(y, y)] = M(x, y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Assuming condition (15) is fulfilled we get the functional equation

$$M[N(x, y), K(x, y)] = M(x, y), \quad x, y \in I. \quad (20)$$

Suppose that $M, N, K : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are homogeneous quasi-arithmetic means. In particular, in this paper we have shown that M, N , and K satisfy Eq. (20) if, and only if, there is a $q \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$M = G, \quad N = m_q, \quad K = m_{-q}.$$

In this connection let us note the following

COROLLARY 2. *Let $M, N : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be arbitrary means on $(0, \infty)$, and $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a function. Then $K : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by*

$$K(x, y) := f(M(x, y) \cdot N(x, y)), \quad (21)$$

is a mean on $(0, \infty)$ if, and only if, $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ for all $x > 0$, and, consequently

$$K(x, y) = G(M(x, y) \cdot N(x, y)), \quad x, y > 0. \quad (22)$$

Suppose that M and N are positively homogeneous and quasi-arithmetic means. Then, apart from the trivial case $M = N (= K)$, K given by (21) is quasi-arithmetic if, and only if, there exists a $q \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$M = m_q \quad \text{and} \quad N = m_{-q}.$$

Proof. Suppose that K is a mean on $(0, \infty)$. Setting $y = x$ in (21) gives

$$x = K(x, x) = f(M(x, x) \cdot N(x, x)) = f(x^2), \quad x > 0.$$

Thus $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$, for all $x > 0$, and consequently, (22) holds true. The converse implication is obvious.

Now suppose that M and N are positively homogeneous and quasi-arithmetic. Then K is positively homogeneous. If K is quasi-arithmetic, then M, N , and K must be some power means. Therefore there exist $q, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$M = m_q, \quad N = m_r, \quad K = m_s,$$

and, since $G = m_0$, from (22) we have

$$m_s(x, y) = m_0(m_q(x, y), m_r(x, y)), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Now the result follows from Theorem 1(4°).

Remark 6. Suppose that M and N are means on $(0, \infty)$, and $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an arbitrary function. It is easy to verify that if the function $K : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$,

$$K(x, y) := \frac{g(M(x, y))}{N(x, y)}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

is a mean, then $g(x) = x^2$, $x > 0$, and consequently,

$$K(x, y) = \frac{(M(x, y))^2}{N(x, y)}, \quad x, y > 0.$$

Note that Corollary 2 answers the question when the function M^2/N is a positively homogeneous and quasi-arithmetic mean on $(0, \infty)$, namely if so are M and N .

In a similar way we obtain

COROLLARY 3. *Let $M, N : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be arbitrary means on $(0, \infty)$, and $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a function. Then $K : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by*

$$K(x, y) := f(M(x, y) + N(x, y)),$$

is a mean on $(0, \infty)$ if, and only if, $f(x) = x/2$ for all $x > 0$, and, consequently,

$$K(x, y) = \frac{M(x, y) + N(x, y)}{2}, \quad x, y > 0.$$

Suppose that M and N are positively homogeneous and quasi-arithmetic means. Then K is quasi-arithmetic if, and only if, $M = N (= K)$.

Remark 7. Suppose that M and N are arbitrary means on $(0, \infty)$, and $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ a function. If $K : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$,

$$K(x, y) := g(M(x, y)) - N(x, y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

is a mean on $(0, \infty)$, then $g(x) = 2x$, $x > 0$, and consequently,

$$K(x, y) = 2M(x, y) - N(x, y), \quad x, y > 0.$$

Note that Corollary 3 answers the question when the function $2M - N$ is a positively homogeneous and quasi-arithmetic mean on $(0, \infty)$, namely if so are M and N .

REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél "Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications," Academic Press, New York/London, 1966.
2. J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, Functional equations in several variables, in "Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications," Vol. 31, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1989.
3. J. Aczél and Gy. Maksa, Problem 1, *Aequationes Math.* **51** (1996), 161–162.