

Convexity of power functions with respect to symmetric homogeneous means

Janusz Matkowski and Jürg Rätz

Abstract

It is well known that the power function $x \mapsto x^p$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* is strictly Jensen-convex if $p^2 - p > 0$, strictly Jensen-concave if $p^2 - p < 0$, Jensen-convex and Jensen-concave if $p^2 - p = 0$. These Jensen type properties are based upon the arithmetic mean $A : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate the convexity/concavity classification of the power functions for symmetric homogeneous means on \mathbb{R}_+^* other than A . In Section 3, a convexity/concavity criterion is presented, and in Section 4 this is applied to the families of Stolarsky means and Gini means (both containing A) as well as to weighted geometric means.

1. Introduction

Throughout the paper, \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}_+ , \mathbb{R}_- , \mathbb{R}_+^* , \mathbb{R}_-^* , \mathbb{R}^* denote the sets of real, nonnegative real, nonpositive real, positive real, negative real, non-zero real numbers, respectively. For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$\varphi_p : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad \varphi_p(x) := x^p \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*). \quad (1)$$

\log denotes the natural logarithm.

Definition 1.

a) The function $M : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is called a *mean* on \mathbb{R}_+^* if

$$\begin{aligned} \min \{x, y\} &\leq M(x, y) \leq \max \{x, y\}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ M(x, y) &\in \text{conv} \{x, y\}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- b) A mean M on \mathbb{R}_+^* is said to be *homogeneous* (more precisely: *positively homogeneous*) if

$$M(ax, ay) = aM(x, y) \quad \text{for all } a, x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad (3)$$

symmetric if

$$M(x, y) = M(y, x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (4)$$

The set of all symmetric homogeneous means on \mathbb{R}_+^* is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$. Note that the degree of homogeneity of a mean has to be one.

Definition 2. If M is a mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* , a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is called

- a) *M-convex* on \mathbb{R}_+^* if

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) \leq M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad (5)$$

- b) *strictly M-convex* on \mathbb{R}_+^* if

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) < M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y, \quad (6)$$

- c) *M-concave* on \mathbb{R}_+^* if

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) \geq M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad (7)$$

- d) *strictly M-concave* on \mathbb{R}_+^* if

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) > M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y, \quad (8)$$

- e) *M-affine* on \mathbb{R}_+^* if

$$\varphi(M(x, y)) = M(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (9)$$

Remark 3. It is clear that the convexity/concavity behavior of φ_p is strongly related to the comparison (also called dominance) problem of the means involved: strict M -convexity of φ_p for $p \in \mathbb{R}^*$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* , e.g., means that

$$M(x, y) < (M(x^p, y^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*; x \neq y)$$

which expresses a comparison result for two means being “conjugate by φ_p ”. So our convexity/concavity problem is a special case of the general comparison problem. The proofs here are easier and more direct for our purposes and, accordingly, the structure of the conditions is simpler than those for the general problem (cf., e.g., [9], [14], [13] in connection with some cases in Theorems 13 and 16). The main reason for our direct access, however, is that we intend to obtain strict inequalities also in the limiting cases (e.g., for $E_{r,r}$ and $E_{r,0}$ in Theorem 13), and this does require an extra effort anyway.

Remark 4. For a different point of view leading to the functional inequalities in Definition 2, cf., e.g. [16], p. 38–39 or [18], p. 103–104.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 5. Let M be a mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

1°. If $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ are

$$M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^*$$

and ψ is increasing, then $\psi \circ \varphi$ is $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* . (There is no analogue for ψ decreasing.)

2°. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ be bijective and (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

If φ is increasing, then φ^{-1} is (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{concave} \\ \text{convex} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

If φ is decreasing, then φ^{-1} is (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

The immediate proof is omitted.

The next statement prepares the convexity/concavity classification of the power functions φ_p ($p \in \mathbb{R}$) and focusses the interest to $p > 1$ and $p = -1$.

Theorem 6. Let M be a mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

1°. For every $p > 1$, the function φ_p is (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* if, and only if, the function $\varphi_{\frac{1}{p}}$ is (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{concave} \\ \text{convex} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

2°. If all φ_p ($p > 1$) are (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* and if φ_{-1} is $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* , then all φ_q ($q < 0$) are (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

3°. If all φ_p ($p > 1$) are $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* and if φ_{-1} is (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* , then all φ_q ($q < 0$) are (strictly) $M\text{-}\begin{matrix} \text{convex} \\ \text{concave} \end{matrix}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

4°. φ_0 and φ_1 are M -affine on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

Proof. 1°. is obtained by the substitution $u = x^p, v = y^p$.

2°. Assume that all φ_p ($p > 1$) are strictly M -convex and φ_{-1} is M -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* . Suppose first $q < -1$. Then $-q > 1$, and by the assumption we have

$$(M(x, y))^{-q} < M(x^{-q}, y^{-q}) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y).$$

Substituting x, y by x^{-1}, y^{-1} we get

$$(M(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))^{-q} < M(x^q, y^q) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y). \quad (10)$$

M -convexity of φ_{-1} on \mathbb{R}_+^* yields

$$(M(x, y))^{-1} \leq M(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y).$$

Since φ_{-q} is strictly increasing, it follows that

$$(M(x, y))^q \leq (M(x^{-1}, y^{-1}))^{-q} \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y)$$

and together with (10)

$$(M(x, y))^q < M(x^q, y^q) \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y), \quad (11)$$

i.e., that φ_q is strictly M -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

Now suppose that $q \in]-1, 0[$. Then $-\frac{1}{q} > 1$, and by the assumption, $\varphi_{-\frac{1}{q}}$ is strictly M -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* , and since it is increasing, φ_{-q} is strictly M -concave on \mathbb{R}_+^* by Lemma 5.2°, i.e.,

$$(M(x, y))^{-q} > M(x^{-q}, y^{-q}) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y). \quad (12)$$

M -convexity of φ_{-1} on \mathbb{R}_+^* implies

$$(M(x^{-q}, y^{-q}))^{-1} \leq M(x^q, y^q) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y),$$

and together with (12) we obtain again (11).

The non-strict convexity case as well as the two concavity cases can be proved along the same lines.

3°. The proof follows again the same lines, but here the possible strictness of φ_q results from that of φ_{-1} .

4°. is obvious, and the proof of Theorem 6 is complete. \square

Lemma 7. *If M is a mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* , $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}^*$, and ${}_rM(x, y) := (M(x^r, y^r))^{\frac{1}{r}}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, then we have:*

1°. ${}_rM$ is a mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* , the r -conjugate of M .

2°. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, then ${}_rM \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$.

3°. If $r \in \mathbb{R}^*$, then φ_p is ${}_rM$ -affine on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \iff \varphi_p$ is M -affine on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

4°. If $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, then φ_p is ${}_rM$ - $\overset{\text{convex}}{\text{concave}}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \iff \varphi_p$ is M - $\overset{\text{convex}}{\text{concave}}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

5°. If $r \in \mathbb{R}_-^*$, then φ_p is ${}_rM$ - $\overset{\text{convex}}{\text{concave}}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^* \iff \varphi_p$ is M - $\overset{\text{concave}}{\text{convex}}$ on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

The obvious proof is omitted.

3. An M-convexity/-concavity criterion

After having dealt with arbitrary means on \mathbb{R}_+^* in Section 2, we now turn to the question as to how we can recognize whether all power functions $\varphi_p (p > 1)$ have the same convexity/concavity behavior with respect to a symmetric homogeneous mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

Theorem 8. *If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, then all $\varphi_p (p > 1)$ are (strictly) M-convex/concave on \mathbb{R}_+^* if, and only if the function*

$$f_M : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad f_M(x) := (M(e^x, 1))^{\frac{1}{x}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) \quad (13)$$

is (strictly) increasing/decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+^ .*

Proof. We write the argument for the strictly convex case; immediate modifications for the three remaining cases are available.

Using symmetry of M , putting $t = \frac{x}{y}$, using homogeneity of M , dividing by y^p , putting $e^x = t$, and exponentiating with $\frac{1}{px} (> 0)$ generate the following sequence of mutually equivalent statements:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (M(x, y))^p < M(x^p, y^p) & (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*; x \neq y; p > 1) \\ (M(x, y))^p < M(x^p, y^p) & (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*; x > y; p > 1) \\ (M(ty, y))^p < M(t^p y^p, y^p) & (t > 1; y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*; p > 1) \\ y^p (M(t, 1))^p < y^p M(t^p, 1) & (t > 1; y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*; p > 1) \\ (M(t, 1))^p < M(t^p, 1) & (t > 1, p > 1) \\ (M(e^x, 1))^p < M(e^{px}, 1) & (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, p > 1) \\ (M(e^x, 1))^{\frac{1}{x}} < (M(e^{px}, 1))^{\frac{1}{px}} & (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, p > 1) \\ f_M(x) < f_M(px) & (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, p > 1). \end{array}$$

□

4. Applications

We first deal with the quasi-arithmetic means in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, which are precisely the geometric mean $G =: M_0$ and the power means $M_r (r \in \mathbb{R}^*)$ given by

$$M_r(x, y) := \left(\frac{x^r + y^r}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$$

([6], p. 68, Theorem 84), the latter being the r -conjugates ${}_rA$ of the arithmetic mean A (cf. Lemma 7.1°). The result stated at the beginning of the Abstract, Lemma 7, and the fact that all $\varphi_p (p \in \mathbb{R})$ are M_0 -affine on \mathbb{R}_+^* lead to

$$p, r \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{lll} \text{strictly } M_r\text{-convex} & & > \\ \varphi_p \text{ is } M_r\text{-affine} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \Leftrightarrow r(p^2 - p) = 0 & \\ \text{strictly } M_r\text{-concave} & & < \end{array} \right]. \quad (14)$$

Later we shall treat two distinct 2-parameter families $(E_{r,s})_{r,s \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $(M_{r,s})_{r,s \in \mathbb{R}}$ of elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ which contain the means M_r ($r \in \mathbb{R}$); see Remark 17.

We begin by two specific members of the former family, the *logarithmic mean* L and the *identric mean* I ; L has numerous applications in physics (cf., e.g., [3] p. 376-377; [7]; [12], sect. 8.7, 8.9; [15], sect. 6.2), and it is not a quasi-arithmetic mean ([10], Theorems 2 and 4; [7], Theorem 1).

Theorem 9. Let $L : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$, be defined by

$$L(x, x) := x, \quad L(x, y) := \frac{x - y}{\log x - \log y} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y).$$

Then we have

$$1^\circ. \quad L \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*),$$

$$2^\circ. \quad p \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ll} \text{strictly } L\text{-convex} & > \\ \varphi_p \text{ is } L\text{-affine} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \iff p^2 - p = 0 \\ \text{strictly } L\text{-concave} & < \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. 1° . is well known; the intermediacy property (2) easily follows from the Mean Value Theorem.

2° . (i) For $t > 1$ we have $z := \frac{1}{2} \log t > 0$, so, by looking at the Maclaurin series, $z < \sinh z$, i.e., $2z < e^z - e^{-z}$, therefore $\log t < t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ($t > 1$) (cf. also [11], p.272, 3.6.15, where a different argument is used). It follows that

$$(\log t)^2 < t - 2 + \frac{1}{t} \quad (t > 1). \quad (15)$$

Take $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x > y$ and put $t = \frac{x}{y}$. Then (15) yields $(\log x - \log y)^2 < \frac{x}{y} - 2 + \frac{y}{x} = (x - y)(\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x})$, which can be written in the form $(L(x, y))^{-1} < L(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y})$ ($x > y > 0$), and symmetry of L implies that

$$\varphi_{-1} \text{ is strictly } L\text{-convex on } \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (16)$$

$$(ii) \quad f_L(x) \stackrel{(13)}{=} (L(e^x, 1))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{e^x - 1}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*). \text{ We show that}$$

$$f_L \text{ is strictly increasing on } \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (17)$$

To this end we define $f_1, f_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$f_1(x) := \frac{xe^x}{e^x - 1} - 1, \quad f_2(x) := \log \frac{e^x - 1}{x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*), \quad f_1(0) := 0, \quad f_2(0) := 0. \quad (18)$$

f_1, f_2 are continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+^* . From $\frac{e^x-1}{x} > e^{\frac{x}{2}}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$) we obtain $\frac{1}{x} > \frac{xe^x}{(e^x-1)^2}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), therefore

$$f_1'(x) = \frac{e^x(e^x-1) - xe^x}{(e^x-1)^2} > \frac{e^x}{e^x-1} - \frac{1}{x} = f_2'(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*). \quad (19)$$

Applying the Mean Value Theorem to $f_1 - f_2$ and using (18) and (19) yields

$$f_1(x) > f_2(x) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*). \quad (20)$$

Finally $f_L'(x) = f_L(x) \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} \cdot (f_1(x) - f_2(x)) > 0$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), by (20), and (17) is proved.

(iii) By (17) and Theorem 8, all φ_p ($p > 1$) are strictly L -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* . By Theorem 6.1°, all φ_p ($0 < p < 1$) are strictly L -concave on \mathbb{R}_+^* , and by (16) and Theorem 6.2°, all φ_p ($p < 0$) are strictly L -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* . Theorem 6.4° completes the “ \Leftarrow ” part of 2°.

(iv) It is sufficient to prove the “ \Leftarrow ” statements because on both sides of “ \Leftarrow ” we have threefold alternatives, the one on the right-hand side being complete, i.e., a trichotomy. \square

Theorem 10. Let $I : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$, be defined by

$$I(x, x) := x, \quad I(x, y) := \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{y^y}{x^x} \right)^{\frac{1}{(y-x)}} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, x \neq y).$$

Then we have

1°. $I \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$,

2°. $p \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{lll} \text{strictly } I\text{-convex} & & > \\ \varphi_p \text{ is } I\text{-affine} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \iff p^2 - p = 0 & \\ \text{strictly } I\text{-concave} & & < \end{array} \right].$

Proof. 1° is well known.

2°. (i) We start from $\frac{2t}{2+t} < \log(1+t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$) ([11], p. 273, 3.6.18) and get $2 < (1 + \frac{2}{t}) \log(1+t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$). For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x < y$, $t := \frac{y-x}{x}$, we obtain by a simple calculation $0 < \log I(x, y) + \log I(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$, i.e., $1 < I(x, y) \cdot I(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x < y$). By symmetry of I , this is valid for all $x \neq y$, so

$$\varphi_{-1} \text{ is strictly } I\text{-convex on } \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (21)$$

$$(ii) f_I(x) \stackrel{(13)}{=} (I(e^x, 1))^{\frac{1}{x}} = e^{\frac{x}{(e^x-1)}} - \frac{1}{x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*).$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ we have $\cosh x > 1 + \frac{x^2}{2}$, $e^x + e^{-x} > 2 + x^2$, $e^x - 2 + e^{-x} > x^2$, $\frac{(e^x - 1)^2}{e^x} > x^2$, $0 < -\frac{e^x}{(e^x - 1)^2} + \frac{1}{x^2}$ and finally $\left(\frac{e^x}{e^x - 1} - \frac{1}{x}\right)' > 0$, which guarantees that

$$f_I \text{ is strictly increasing on } \mathbb{R}_+^*. \quad (22)$$

(iii) The proof is completed as for Theorem 9 with (21), (22) instead of (16), (17). \square

Definition 11. The *Stolarsky means* ([17], p. 88)

$$E_{r,s} : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad (r, s \in \mathbb{R})$$

are defined by

$$E_{r,s}(x, x) := x \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*)$$

and for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x \neq y$, by

$$E_{r,s}(x, y) := \left(\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{y^s - x^s}{y^r - x^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{s-r}} \quad \text{if } rs(s-r) \neq 0 \quad (23)$$

$$E_{r,0}(x, y) := E_{0,r}(x, y) := \left(\frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{y^r - x^r}{\log y - \log x} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad \text{if } r \neq 0 \quad (24)$$

$$E_{r,r}(x, y) := e^{-\frac{1}{r}} \cdot \left(\frac{y^{y^r}}{x^{x^r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{y^r - x^r}} \quad \text{if } r = s \neq 0 \quad (25)$$

$$E_{0,0}(x, y) := G(x, y). \quad (26)$$

Remark 12. a) All $E_{r,s}$ ($r, s \in \mathbb{R}$) are means on \mathbb{R}_+^* : they are so-called mean-value means, arising from the Cauchy Mean Value Theorem ([1], p. 345); furthermore $E_{r,s} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$.

b) For numerous further properties of $E_{r,s}$ cf. [8], p. 86. Some of them will be needed here, namely

$$E_{r,2r} = M_r \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}^*, \quad (27)$$

$$E_{r,-r} = G \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (28)$$

$$E_{r,kr} = {}_rE_{1,k} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}^*, k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (29)$$

$$E_{1,0} = L, \quad \text{the logarithmic mean}, \quad (30)$$

$$E_{1,1} = I, \quad \text{the identric mean}. \quad (31)$$

Theorem 13. For $p, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \text{strictly } E_{r,s}\text{-convex} & > \\ \varphi_p \text{ is } & E_{r,s}\text{-affine} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \iff (r+s)(p^2-p) = 0. \\ & \text{strictly } E_{r,s}\text{-concave} & < \end{array}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the " \Leftarrow " statements (see (iv)) in the proof of Theorem 9.

The case $(r+s)(p^2-p)=0$ is settled by Theorem 6.4° ($p^2-p=0$) and (28) together with the remark before (14) ($r+s=0$).

According to the structure of Definition 11 and taking into account that $r=-s$ is already settled, we treat the following three cases separately:

Case 1: $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $r \neq s, r \neq -s$ (cf.(23)),

Case 2: $r \in \mathbb{R}^*, s=0$ (cf.(24)),

Case 3: $r=s \in \mathbb{R}^*$ (cf.(25)).

Case 1. (i) By (23) and (13)

$$f_{E_{r,s}}(t) = \left(\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{e^{ts} - 1}{e^{tr} - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{t(s-r)}} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*).$$

Therefore

$$(\log f_{E_{r,s}}(t))' = \frac{1}{(s-r)t^2} \cdot (g_1(t) - g_2(t)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*), \quad (32)$$

where $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g_1(t) := \frac{t s e^{ts}}{e^{ts} - 1} - \frac{t r e^{tr}}{e^{tr} - 1}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), $g_1(0) := 0$, $g_2(t) := \log \left(\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{e^{ts} - 1}{e^{tr} - 1} \right)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), $g_2(0) := 0$.

g_1 and g_2 are continuous on \mathbb{R}_+ and differentiable on \mathbb{R}_+^* . Furthermore

$$(g_1(t) - g_2(t))' = t \cdot g_2''(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*), \quad (33)$$

$$g_2''(t) = r^2 \cdot \frac{e^{tr}}{(e^{tr} - 1)^2} - s^2 \cdot \frac{e^{ts}}{(e^{ts} - 1)^2} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*). \quad (34)$$

Because of (32), (33), $\text{sgn } g_2''(t)$ is of high importance. We define

$$h_t : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h_t(v) := \frac{v^2 e^{tv}}{(e^{tv} - 1)^2} \quad (v \in \mathbb{R}^*; t \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \text{ fixed})$$

and get $t^2 \cdot h_t(v) = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}v}{\sinh \frac{1}{2}v} \right)^2$ ($v \in \mathbb{R}^*; t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$).

The Maclaurin series (or strict convexity on \mathbb{R}_+^*) of \sinh shows that $u \mapsto \frac{\sinh u}{u}$ is strictly increasing on \mathbb{R}_+^* , so for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, the function h_t is strictly decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+^* , moreover it is even.

Now by (34), (33)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} g_2''(t) & (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) & \quad \left(\begin{matrix} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right), \\ 0 & \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} (g_1(t) - g_2(t))' & (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) & \quad \left(\begin{matrix} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Mean Value Theorem and $g_1(0) = 0 = g_2(0)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< g_1(t) - g_2(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{array} \right), \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ 0 &< \frac{1}{s^2 - r^2} (g_1(t) - g_2(t)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*), \quad \text{i.e., by (32)} \\ 0 &< \frac{1}{r+s} (\log f_{E_{r,s}}(t))' \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*), \end{aligned}$$

therefore by Theorem 8

$$\text{all } \varphi_p(p > 1) \text{ are strictly } \begin{array}{l} E_{r,s}\text{-convex} \\ E_{r,s}\text{-concave} \end{array} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad (r+s > 0). \quad (35)$$

(ii) For every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ we define

$$\tilde{h}_t(v) := \left(\frac{\sinh \frac{t}{2} v}{\frac{t}{2} \cdot v} \right)^2 \quad (v \in \mathbb{R}^*).$$

Then \tilde{h}_t is strictly increasing on \mathbb{R}_+^* and even, so

$$\tilde{h}_t(s) > \tilde{h}_t(r) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{array} \right).$$

By putting $z := e^t$ we obtain

$$r^2(2 - z^s - z^{-s}) < s^2(2 - z^r - z^{-r}) \quad (z > 1) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{array} \right). \quad (36)$$

Let be $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ arbitrary, $x \neq y$. Then $z := \max\{\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{x}\} > 1$, and (36) yields

$$r^2(y^{-s} - x^{-s})(y^s - x^s) < s^2(y^{-r} - x^{-r})(y^r - x^r) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{array} \right).$$

Dividing by the (negative) right-hand side gives

$$\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{y^{-s} - x^{-s}}{y^{-r} - x^{-r}} \cdot \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{y^s - x^s}{y^r - x^r} > 1 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} 0 < |r| < |s| \\ 0 < |s| < |r| \end{array} \right).$$

Raising to the power with exponent $\frac{1}{s-r} > 0$ $\left(\begin{array}{l} r < s \\ s < r \end{array} \right)$ and using (23) leads to

$$E_{r,s}(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \cdot E_{r,s}(x, y) > 1 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} r+s > 0 \\ r+s < 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$\varphi_{-1} \text{ is strictly } \begin{array}{l} E_{r,s}\text{-convex} \\ E_{r,s}\text{-concave} \end{array} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad (r+s > 0). \quad (37)$$

(iii) In Case 1, the assertion follows from (35), (37), Theorem 6.1° and 2°.

Case 2. Here $r + s = r$. $E_{r,0} \stackrel{(29)}{=} {}_rE_{1,0} \stackrel{(30)}{=} {}_rL$. By Theorem 9.2° and Lemma 7.3°, 4°, 5°, the assertion follows.

Case 3. Here $r + s = 2r$. $E_{r,r} \stackrel{(29)}{=} {}_rE_{1,1} \stackrel{(31)}{=} {}_rI$. By Theorem 10.2° and Lemma 7.3°, 4°, 5°, the assertion follows. \square

Definition 14. The Gini means $M_{r,s} : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ ($r, s \in \mathbb{R}$) are defined by

$$M_{r,s}(x, x) := x \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*)$$

and for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x \neq y$, by

$$M_{r,s}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^s + y^s}{x^r + y^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{(s-r)}} & \text{if } r \neq s \\ e^{\frac{x^r \log x + y^r \log y}{x^r + y^r}} & \text{if } r = s \end{cases}$$

([4]; J. Aczél informed the authors about the earlier reference [5]). There are applications in statistics. For further references cf. [2].

Remark 15. It is well-known that $M_{r,s} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore

$$M_{r,0} = M_r \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}^*, \quad (38)$$

$$M_{r,-r} = G \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (39)$$

Theorem 16. For $p, r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\varphi_p \text{ is } \begin{array}{l} \text{strictly } M_{r,s}\text{-convex} \\ M_{r,s}\text{-affine} \\ \text{strictly } M_{r,s}\text{-concave} \end{array} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \iff (r+s)(p^2-p) \begin{array}{l} > \\ = \\ < \end{array} 0.$$

Proof. As for Theorem 13, proving “ \Leftarrow ” is enough, and the case $(r+s)(p^2-p) = 0$ can again be isolated (cf. (39)), so $r \neq -s$.

Case 1: $r \neq s$.

(i) From Definition 14 and (13) we obtain

$$(\log f_{M_{r,s}}(t))' = \frac{1}{(s-r)t^2} \cdot (j_t(s) - j_t(r)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) \quad (40)$$

where $j_t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$j_t(v) := \frac{tv \cdot e^{tv}}{e^{tv} + 1} - \log(e^{tv} + 1) \quad (v \in \mathbb{R}; t \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \text{ fixed}).$$

Simple computations show that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, the function j_t is even and $(\frac{d}{dv}j_t)(v) = \frac{t^2 v e^{tv}}{(e^{tv}+1)^2}$ ($v \in \mathbb{R}$), i.e. j_t is strictly increasing on \mathbb{R}_+^* . Hence

$$j_t(s) - j_t(r) \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*) \quad \left(\begin{matrix} |r| < |s| \\ |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right)$$

(notice that the case $r = -s$ is excluded), i.e. $\frac{1}{s^2 - r^2} \cdot (j_t(s) - j_t(r)) > 0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), i.e., by (40), $\frac{1}{r+s} \cdot (\log f_{M_{r,s}}(t))' > 0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), and finally by Theorem 8

$$\text{all } \varphi_p (p > 1) \text{ are strictly } \begin{cases} M_{r,s}\text{-convex} \\ M_{r,s}\text{-concave} \end{cases} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad (r+s \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0). \quad (41)$$

(ii) For $t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $\cosh tr \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} \cosh ts \quad \left(\begin{matrix} |r| < |s| \\ |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right)$ and putting $z := e^t$, we have

$$z^r + z^{-r} \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} z^s + z^{-s} \quad (z > 1) \quad \left(\begin{matrix} |r| < |s| \\ |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right). \quad (42)$$

Let be $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x \neq y$. Then $z := \max \left\{ \frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{x} \right\} > 1$, and (42) implies

$$(x^r + y^r)(x^{-r} + y^{-r}) \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} (x^s + y^s)(x^{-s} + y^{-s}) \quad \left(\begin{matrix} |r| < |s| \\ |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right),$$

i.e.,

$$1 \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} \frac{x^s + y^s}{x^r + y^r} \cdot \frac{x^{-s} + y^{-s}}{x^{-r} + y^{-r}} \quad \left(\begin{matrix} |r| < |s| \\ |s| < |r| \end{matrix} \right).$$

Raising to the power with exponent $\frac{1}{s-r} \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0$ $\left(\begin{matrix} r < s \\ s < r \end{matrix} \right)$ and using Definition 14 leads to

$$1 \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} M_{r,s}(x, y) \cdot M_{r,s}(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \quad \left(r+s \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0 \right),$$

i.e.,

$$\varphi_{-1} \text{ is strictly } \begin{cases} M_{r,s}\text{-convex} \\ M_{r,s}\text{-concave} \end{cases} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+^* \quad \left(r+s \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0 \right). \quad (43)$$

(iii) In Case 1, the assertion follows from (41), (43), Theorem 6. 1° and 2°.

Case 2: $r = s$.

(i) From Definition (14) and (13) $f_{M_{r,r}}(t) = e^{\frac{t^2}{(e^{tr}+1)}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$). This is strictly increasing on \mathbb{R}_+^* $\left(r \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0 \right)$, and since $r+s=2r$, (41) holds again.

(ii) Let be $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x \neq y$. Then

$$M_{r,r}(x, y) \cdot M_{r,r}(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) > 1 \iff \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{x^r - y^r} > 1. \quad (44)$$

On the other hand, $\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{x^r - y^r} > 1 \iff \begin{pmatrix} x > y \\ r > 0 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e., by (44)

$$M_{r,r}(x, y) \cdot M_{r,r}(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) > 1 \iff \begin{pmatrix} r > 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $r + s = 2r$, (43) holds again, and the proof ends as in Case 1. \square

Remark 17. By (27), (28), (38), (39)

$$E_{r,2r} = M_r = M_{r,0} \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R},$$

and now (14) appears as a very special case of both Theorems 13 and 16.

Now we turn to a family of means which contains the limiting cases *min* and *max* of the family $(M_c)_{c \in \mathbb{R}}$ of power means and of other 1-parameter families of means (cf., e.g., [1], p. 346, for the generalized logarithmic means). The convexity/concavity situation of the power functions will be completely different from that of Theorems 13 and 16 (cf. Theorem 20). We begin by some characterization results.

Lemma 18. Let M be a homogeneous mean on \mathbb{R}_+^* and

$$g_M : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad g_M(t) := (M(e^t, 1))^{\frac{1}{t}} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}^*). \quad (45)$$

Then we have:

1°. For every $c \in [0, 1]$

$$g_M(t) = e^c \text{ for all } t \in \begin{matrix} \mathbb{R}_+^* \\ \mathbb{R}_-^* \\ \mathbb{R}^* \end{matrix} \iff M(x, y) = \begin{matrix} x^c y^{1-c} & \text{for } x \geq y \\ x^c y^{1-c} & \text{for } x \leq y \\ \text{arbitrary} & \end{matrix}.$$

2°. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, then for every $c \in [0, 1]$

$$g_M(t) = e^c \text{ for all } t \in \begin{matrix} \mathbb{R}_+^* \\ \mathbb{R}_-^* \end{matrix} \iff M(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\max\{x, y\})^c \cdot (\min\{x, y\})^{1-c} \\ (\min\{x, y\})^c \cdot (\max\{x, y\})^{1-c} \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$.

3°. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, then

$$g_M \text{ is constant on } \mathbb{R}^* \iff M = G.$$

Proof. By (45), we have for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$

$$g_M(t) = e^c \iff M(e^t, 1) = e^{ct}, \quad (46)$$

so, by (2), necessarily $c \in [0, 1]$. Conversely, for $c \in [0, 1]$, the expressions for $M(x, y)$ in 1°, 2°, 3° do provide homogeneous means on \mathbb{R}_+^* , namely weighted geometric means; the ones in 1° need not be symmetric.

1°. \Leftarrow immediately follows from (46).

\Rightarrow : From the assumption and (46) and from $M(e^0, 1) = e^{c0}$ we obtain

$$M(e^t, 1) = e^{ct} \text{ for all } t \in \begin{matrix} \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \mathbb{R} \end{matrix}. \quad (47)$$

Now let be $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $\begin{matrix} x \geq y \\ x \leq y \\ \text{arbitrary} \end{matrix}$.

For $t := \log \frac{x}{y}$, the homogeneity of M and (47) yield

$$\frac{1}{y} M(x, y) = M\left(\frac{x}{y}, 1\right) = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^c \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \begin{matrix} x \geq y \\ x \leq y \\ \text{arbitrary} \end{matrix}$$

2°. $N_c(x, y) := (\max\{x, y\})^c \cdot (\min\{x, y\})^{1-c}$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Then

$$x^c y^{1-c} = \begin{cases} N_c(x, y) & x \geq y \\ N_{1-c}(x, y) & x \leq y \end{cases},$$

and by 1° and symmetry of M, N_c, N_{1-c}

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(t) = e^c \text{ for all } t \in \begin{matrix} \mathbb{R}_+^* \\ \mathbb{R}_-^* \end{matrix} &\iff M(x, y) = \begin{cases} N_c(x, y) \\ N_{1-c}(x, y) \end{cases} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \begin{matrix} x \geq y \\ x \leq y \end{matrix} \\ &\iff M(x, y) = \begin{cases} N_c(x, y) \\ N_{1-c}(x, y) \end{cases} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*. \end{aligned}$$

3°. \Leftarrow is immediate from 1°.

\Rightarrow : By 1° (lowest case) there exists $c \in [0, 1]$ such that $M(x, y) = x^c y^{1-c}$ for all \mathbb{R}_+^* . Symmetry of M implies $c = 1 - c$, i.e., $c = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., $M = G$. \square

Corollary 19. For $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, the function φ_{-1} is M -affine if and only if $M = G$.

Proof. φ_{-1} is certainly G -affine. Conversely, by hypothesis $(M(x, y))^{-1} = M(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), so $(M(x, x^{-1}))^{-1} = M(x^{-1}, x) = M(x, x^{-1})$. Since $M(x, x^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, we get $M(x, x^{-1}) = 1$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$). If $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $g_M(t) \stackrel{(45)}{=} (M(e^t, 1))^{\frac{1}{t}} = (e^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot M(e^{\frac{t}{2}}, e^{-\frac{t}{2}}))^{\frac{1}{t}} = (e^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot 1)^{\frac{1}{t}} = e^{\frac{t}{2}}$, and by Lemma 18.3°, $M = G$. \square

Theorem 20. If $c \in [0, 1]$ and $N_c(x, y) := (\max\{x, y\})^c \cdot (\min\{x, y\})^{1-c}$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), then

1°. $p \in \mathbb{R}_+ \implies \varphi_p$ is N_c -affine on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

2°. $p \in \mathbb{R}_-^* \implies \left[\begin{array}{lll} \text{strictly } N_c\text{-convex} & & > \\ \varphi_p \text{ is } N_c\text{-affine} & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+^* & \iff c = \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{strictly } N_c\text{-concave} & & < \end{array} \right]$.

Proof. 1°. By Lemma 18.2°, g_{N_c} is constant on \mathbb{R}_+^* . Since $N_c \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, all φ_p ($p > 1$) are N_c -affine on \mathbb{R}_+^* by Theorem 8, and by Theorem 6.1° and 4° so are all φ_p ($0 < p < 1$) as well as φ_0 and φ_1 . (There is also a simple autonomous proof for 1°).

2°. Let be $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ arbitrary, $x \neq y$. The fact $\max\{x^{-1}, y^{-1}\} = (\min\{x, y\})^{-1}$ easily leads to

$$(N_c(x, y))^{-1} \begin{array}{c} < \\ = \\ > \end{array} N_c(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \Leftrightarrow (\min\{x, y\})^{2c-1} \begin{array}{c} < \\ = \\ > \end{array} (\max\{x, y\})^{2c-1} \Leftrightarrow c \begin{array}{c} > \\ = \\ < \end{array} \frac{1}{2}$$

which says that the assertion holds for $p = -1$. The general assertion now follows from 1° and Theorem 6.3°. \square

Remark 21. Theorem 20 shows that in Theorem 6.2° and 3°, the hypothesis on φ_{-1} is essential: All φ_p ($p > 1$) are N_1 -concave N_0 -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* , but no φ_q ($q < 0$) is

N_1 -concave
 N_0 -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* .

Also, strict convexity/concavity of all φ_q ($q < 0$) does not imply that of all φ_p ($p > 1$).

5. Miscellaneous remarks and comments

Remark 22. For $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$, the function φ_{-1} is M -convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* if, and only if,

$$\sqrt{t} \leq M(t, 1) \text{ for all } t > 1$$

(see Corollary 19 for a related result). In fact: $(M(x, y))^{-1} \leq M(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$) is equivalent to $(M(t, 1))^{-1} \leq M(t^{-1}, 1)$ ($t > 1$) (see the procedure in the proof of Theorem 8), and this latter is equivalent to $1 \leq M(t, 1) \cdot M(t^{-1}, 1)$ ($t > 1$), $t \leq M(t, 1) \cdot M(1, t)$ ($t > 1$) and finally to $t \leq (M(t, 1))^2$ ($t > 1$).

Remark 23. The fact $G(x, y) < L(x, y) < A(x, y)$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x \neq y$) is well-known (cf., e.g. [1], p. 348). In the light of Remark 3, this can be interpreted as the strict L -convexity of φ_{-1} and φ_2 on \mathbb{R}_+^* , the latter being guaranteed by Theorem 9.2°. In fact: For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, $x \neq y$, $(G(x, y))^2 < (L(x, y))^2$ is equivalent to $(L(x, y))^{-1} < L(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$, and $(L(x, y))^2 < A(x, y) \cdot L(x, y)$ is equivalent to $(L(x, y))^2 < L(x^2, y^2)$.

Remark 24. All means $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ occurring in Section 4 are continuous in each variable (notice that the contraharmonic mean $M_{1,2}$, in the sense of Definition 14, is not monotonic in x and in y ; cf. [2], bottom of p. 604). It then turned out that every φ_p ($p \in \mathbb{R}$) is convex on \mathbb{R}_+^* and/or concave on \mathbb{R}_+^* (see Theorems 9, 10, 13, 16, 20). This is not so in general (a more general discussion will follow in a forthcoming paper).

Example 25. For $M(x, y) := \min\{x, y\} \cdot \left[\frac{\max\{x, y\}}{\min\{x, y\}} \right]$ ($x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), we have $M \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ and $f_M(t) = [e^t]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), so f_M is not monotonic on \mathbb{R}_+^* . Accordingly, by Theorem 8, $\varphi_{\frac{2}{3}}$ is neither M -convex nor M -concave on \mathbb{R}_+^* : $M(1^{\frac{2}{3}}, (2^{\frac{2}{3}})^{\frac{2}{3}}) = 2 > 1 = (M(1, 2^{\frac{2}{3}}))^{\frac{2}{3}}$, while $M(1^{\frac{2}{3}}, 2^{\frac{2}{3}}) = 2 < 2^{\frac{2}{3}} = (M(1, 2))^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Furthermore, φ_{-1} has the same behavior: $M(2, 3) \cdot M(2^{-1}, 3^{-1}) = \frac{2}{3} < 1$, but $M(1, 2) \cdot M(1^{-1}, 2^{-1}) = 2 > 1$.

References

- [1] P.S. Bullen, D.S. Mitrinović and P.M. Vasić, *Means and their inequalities*. D. Reidel Publ. Comp. Dordrecht 1988.
- [2] D. Farnsworth and R. Orr, *Gini means*. Amer. Math. Monthly **93** (1986), 603–607.
- [3] S.J. Gdula, *Podstawy techniki cieplnej dla automatyków*. Politechnika Śląska, Skrypty Uczelniane Nr. 543, Gliwice 1974.

- [4] C. Gini, *Di una formula comprensiva delle medie*. Metron **13**, no. 2 (1938), 3–22.
- [5] K. Goldziher, *Über die Verwendung von Mittelwertprozessen in der Bevölkerungsstatistik und in der Zinsrechnung*. Skandinavisk Aktuarietidskrift **1920**, 72–96. (Jahrb. Fortschr. Math. **47** (1919–1920), 496–497).
- [6] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*. Cambridge Univ. Press 1934.
- [7] P. Kahlig and J. Matkowski, *On functional equations involving the logarithmic mean*. To appear in Z. Angew. Math. Mech.
- [8] E.B. Leach and M.C. Sholander, *Extended Mean Values*. Amer. Math. Monthly **85** (1978), 84–90.
- [9] E.B. Leach and M.C. Sholander, *Extended Mean Values II*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **92** (1983), 207–223.
- [10] T.-P. Lin, *The power mean and the logarithmic mean*. Amer. Math. Monthly **81** (1974), 879–883.
- [11] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic inequalities*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.
- [12] J. Nozička, *Mechanika a termomechanika pro elektroenergetika*. SNTL/ALFA, Praha, 1987.
- [13] Zs. Páles, *Inequalities for sums of powers*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **131** (1988), 265–270.
- [14] Zs. Páles, *Inequalities for differences of powers*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **131** (1988), 271–281.
- [15] H. Pichler, *Dynamik der Atmosphäre*. BI, Mannheim-Wien-Zürich, 1986.
- [16] J. Sándor and Gh. Toader, *On some exponential means*. Babeş-Bolyai Univ. Sem. Math. Anal. Preprint No. **7** (1990), 35–40.
- [17] K.B. Stolarsky, *Generalizations of the logarithmic mean*. Math. Mag. **48** (1975), 87–92.
- [18] Gh. Toader, *Some remarks on means*. L'Anal. Numér. Théorie Approx. **20** (1991), 97–109.

Janusz Matkowski, Dept. of Mathematics, Technical University, Willowa 2, PL-43-309 Bielsko-Biała, Poland.

Jürg Rätz, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland.