

## Remark on Generalization of Minkowski's Inequality

J. JELÍNEK and J. MATKOWSKI

Praha, Bielsko-Biala\*)

Received 15. March 1995

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space such that  $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$ . We give some general conditions for a bijection  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |x + y| d\mu \right) \leq \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |x| d\mu \right) + \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |y| d\mu \right)$$

for all  $\mu$ -integrable simple functions  $x, y: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . This generalizes result from [1].

### 1. Introduction

For a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ , denote by  $S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  the linear space of all  $\mu$ -integrable step functions  $x: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+ (= [0, \infty))$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  be an arbitrary bijection. Then the functional  $P_{\varphi}: S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  given by

$$P_{\varphi}(x) := \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |x| d\mu \right), \quad x \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu),$$

is well defined. For  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) with  $p \geq 1$ , the functional  $P_{\varphi}$  coincides with the  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -norm. In this note we prove the following generalization of Minkowski's inequality:

**Theorem.** *Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space such that  $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$ . Suppose  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  satisfies the following conditions:*

This paper has been written during the 23<sup>th</sup> Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Lhota nad Rohanovem, Czech Republic, 22–29 January 1995.

The first author is supported by Research Grant GAUK 363 and GAČR 201/94/0474.

\*) Department of Math. Anal., Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 00 Prague 8, Czech Republic  
Department of Mathematics, Technical University, Willowa 2, 43-309 Bielsko-Biala, Poland

- 1<sup>o</sup>.  $\varphi$  is bijective, increasing, and differentiable;  
 2<sup>o</sup>.  $\varphi'$  is strictly increasing, and locally absolutely continuous;  
 3<sup>o</sup>. there exists a superadditive function  $g: \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  such that

$$g = \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi^n} \text{ a.e. in } \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Then for all  $x, y \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,

$$P_\varphi(x + y) \leq P_\varphi(x) + P_\varphi(y).$$

This generalizes a result from paper [1] of the second named author where  $\varphi$  is assumed to be of the class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  and such that  $\varphi'' > 0$  and  $\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}$  is superadditive in  $(0, \infty)$ . At the end of this paper we explain the assumption that  $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$ .

## 2. Auxiliary lemma and the proof of Theorem

The proof of the theorem is based on the following.

**Lemma.** If  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  satisfies the conditions 1<sup>o</sup>, 2<sup>o</sup>, 3<sup>o</sup> of the theorem, then there exists a sequence of functions  $\varphi_n: \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  such that:

- a) for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\varphi_n$  is bijective and of the class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ ;  
 b) for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\varphi'_n > 0$ ,  $\varphi''_n > 0$  in  $(0, \infty)$ , and the function  $\frac{\varphi'_n}{\varphi_n}$  is superadditive in  $(0, \infty)$ ;  
 c) for every  $a > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'_n = \varphi', \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, a];$$

d)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi'_n}{\varphi_n} = g \text{ a.e. in } \mathbf{R}_+ \text{ (and in } \mathcal{L}^1_{loc})$$

where  $g$  is defined in the theorem; this convergence is uniform on every compact interval of the continuity of  $g$  contained in  $(0, \infty)$ .

**Proof.** By 1<sup>o</sup> and 2<sup>o</sup> the function  $\log \circ \varphi'$  is locally absolutely continuous. Consequently it is equal to a primitive of its derivative

$$(1) \quad (\log \circ \varphi')' = \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} = \frac{1}{g}.$$

Take a sequence  $\varrho_n: \mathbf{R} \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth even functions such that

$$(2) \quad \text{supp } \varrho_n \subset \left[ -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right], \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varrho_n = 1,$$

and define  $g_n: \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  by the formula

$$g_n(t) = \int_0^x g(ts) \varrho_n(1-s) ds, \quad t \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Note that  $g_n$  is increasing, bijective, superadditive, of the class  $\mathcal{C}^x$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}_+.$$

Since  $g$  is increasing, we have

$$(3) \quad g_n(t) \geq \int_1^x g(ts) \varrho_n(1-s) ds \geq \int_1^x g(t) \varrho_n(1-s) ds = \frac{g(t)}{2}$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Now we are going to define  $\varphi_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . First we define its derivative  $\varphi'_n$  in such a way that  $\log \circ \varphi'_n$  is the primitive of  $\frac{1}{\sin}$  for which  $\varphi'_n(1) = \varphi'(1)$ . The value  $\varphi'_n(0)$  is well-defined if  $\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sin} < \infty$ ; otherwise we put  $\varphi'_n(0) = 0$ . By (1), (3) and the Lebesgue majorization theorem, we have

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'_n = \varphi'$$

pointwise on  $(0, \infty)$ . As all functions here are continuous and increasing, it follows that the convergence (4) is uniform on every compact interval contained in  $(0, \infty)$ . For proving that (4) holds uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  too, we will distinguish two cases depending on  $\varphi'(0) > 0$  or  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ .

If  $\varphi'(0) > 0$ , then by (1) the function  $\frac{1}{g}$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ , and using the Lebesgue majorization theorem, as above, we obtain that (4) holds pointwise, and, therefore, uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ .

Now suppose that  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ . We know that  $\varphi'$  is continuous, increasing, (4) holds uniformly on  $[\varepsilon, 1]$  for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , and that  $\varphi'_n$  is increasing and positive on  $(0, 1]$ . Thus the convergence must be uniform on  $[0, 1]$ , too.

The definition of the function  $\varphi_n$ , for which  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$ , is obvious. Evidently,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$  uniformly on  $[0, a]$  for every  $a > 0$ , and the lemma is proved.

Now we give the

**Proof of theorem.** Let  $\varphi_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , be the sequence of functions constructed in the lemma, and let  $x, y \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be arbitrary. Then by Theorem 3 in [1] we have

$$\varphi_n^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n \circ |x + y| d\mu \right) \leq \varphi_n^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n \circ |x| d\mu \right) + \varphi_n^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n \circ |y| d\mu \right).$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  here and making use of the lemma, we get

$$\varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |x + y| d\mu \right) \leq \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |x| d\mu \right) + \varphi^{-1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ |y| d\mu \right),$$

which, by the definition of  $P_{\varphi}$ , completes the proof.

### 3. Additional remarks and proposition about geometrically convex functions

**Remark 1.** Suppose that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space such that there exist  $A, B \in \Sigma$  satisfying the condition

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty.$$

In [1] it is shown that if  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  is bijective,  $\varphi^{-1}$  continuous at 0, and

$$P_\varphi(x + y) \leq P_\varphi(x) + P_\varphi(y) \quad \text{holds for all } x, y \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu),$$

then  $\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p$  ( $t \geq 0$ ), for some  $p \geq 1$ . This shows in particular that the assumption  $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$  is essential.

In this connection let us also mention the following

**Remark 2.** Suppose that  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  has the following property: for every  $A \in \Sigma$

$$\mu(A) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu(A) \geq 1.$$

Under this assumption it is proved in [2] that if  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbf{R}_+$  is a convex homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}_+$  such that  $\varphi$  is geometrically convex in  $(0, \infty)$ , i.e. that

$$\varphi(\sqrt{st}) \leq \sqrt{\varphi(s)\varphi(t)} \quad \text{for all } s, t > 0,$$

then

$$P_\varphi(x + y) \leq P_\varphi(x) + P_\varphi(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu),$$

In the proof of this result the one-sided derivatives and Zygmund's lemma are used. It turns out that the argument can be simplified if we work with smooth functions  $\varphi$ . The following result permits us to do it.

**Proposition.** *Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a convex and geometrically convex homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}_+$  onto itself. Then there exists a sequence  $\varphi_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -smooth convex and geometrically convex diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}_+$  onto itself such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$$

uniformly on  $[0, a]$  for every  $a > 0$ .

**Proof.** Taking the function  $\varrho_n$  given by (2) in the previous proof, we define  $\varphi_n$  as follows

$$\varphi_n(t) := \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \log \varphi(t e^{-u}) du, \quad t > 0,$$

and  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$  to have  $\varphi_n$  continuous at 0. Since  $\{\varphi_n\}$  converges to  $\varphi$  pointwise on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , the monotonicity of  $\varphi_n$  and  $\varphi$  implies that the convergence is uniform on  $[0, a]$  for every  $a > 0$ .

Now we have for all  $s, t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n(\sqrt{st}) &= \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \log \varphi(\sqrt{st} e^{-u}) du \leq \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \log \sqrt{\varphi(se^{-u})\varphi(te^{-u})} du = \\ &= \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\log \varphi(se^{-u}) + \log \varphi(te^{-u})) \right] du = \sqrt{\varphi_n(s)\varphi_n(t)}\end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $\varphi_n$  is geometrically convex.

Now we shall show that  $\varphi_n$  is convex. As  $\varphi$  is convex with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , the function  $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$  is increasing, too. For  $0 < s < t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_n(s) &= \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \log \varphi(s e^{-u}) du \leq \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \log \frac{s}{t} \varphi(te^{-u}) du = \\ &= \exp \int \varrho_n(u) \left[ \log \frac{s}{t} + \log \varphi(te^{-u}) \right] du = \frac{s}{t} \varphi_n(t),\end{aligned}$$

which was to be shown.

For showing that  $\varphi_n$  is convex, we use the following known property of geometrically convex functions  $\varphi$ : if the function  $\frac{\varphi(t)}{t}$  is increasing, then  $\varphi_n$  is convex. Let us show it briefly. Suppose that  $\varphi_n$  is not convex; then there are points  $0 < s < u < t$  and a linear function  $l$  such that

$$(5) \quad \varphi_n(s) - l(s) = \varphi_n(t) - l(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(u) - l(u) > 0.$$

The points  $s, t$  can be changed without changing  $l$  so that (5) holds for all  $u \in (s, t)$ . For  $u = \sqrt{st}$  we get from (5) by a simple calculation

$$\varphi_n(\sqrt{st}) > \varphi_n(s) \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}} + \varphi_n(t) \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}}.$$

Thanks to the geometrical convexity of  $\varphi_n$ , it follows

$$(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}) \sqrt{\varphi_n(s)\varphi_n(t)} > \varphi_n(s)\sqrt{t} + \varphi_n(t)\sqrt{s},$$

$$(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t}) \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(s)\varphi_n(t)}{st}} > \frac{\varphi_n(s)}{s} \sqrt{s} + \frac{\varphi_n(t)}{t} \sqrt{t},$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(s)}{s}} \sqrt{s} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(t)}{t}} - \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(s)}{s}} \right) > \sqrt{\varphi_n(t)t} \sqrt{t} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(t)}{t}} - \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(s)}{s}} \right).$$

We see that the inequality  $\sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(t)}{t}} + \sqrt{\frac{\varphi_n(s)}{s}} \geq 0$  is not possible, so the function  $\frac{\varphi_n(t)}{t}$  could not be increasing if  $\varphi_n$  were not convex. the proposition is proved.

## References

- [1] MATKOWSKI, J.: *The converse of Minkowski's inequality and its generalization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109.3** (1990), 663–675.
- [2] MATKOWSKI, J.: *On a generalization of Mulholland's inequality*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **63** (1993), 97–103.