

STATE SPACE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 BRUNNENBERG UNIVERSITY
 BRUNNENBERG

To Professor Sherman Stein
 on his 60th birthday

ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF INVARIANT SUBSPACE PAIRS

PAUL MARSHALL

BRUNNENBERG

Abstract

In a finite family of subspaces we get the following generalization of Brunner's theorem that pairs of invariant subspaces are orthogonal.

Let \mathcal{S} be a family of subspaces and \mathcal{T} a family of invariant subspaces of \mathcal{S} . If there exists a positive integer k , then, for \mathcal{S}_k and \mathcal{T}_k we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k \iff \mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k \iff \mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k,$$

then \mathcal{S} has a fixed point in \mathcal{S} .

Introduction

Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} be closed spaces and $\mathcal{S} \perp \mathcal{T}$ a closed set. Suppose that $\mathcal{T} \perp \mathcal{S}$ is a uniform continuous mapping satisfying the following condition: there exists a real number k such that $\mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k$ holds for \mathcal{S}_k and \mathcal{T}_k we have that

$$\mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k \iff \mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k \iff \mathcal{S}_k \perp \mathcal{T}_k.$$

Using some properties of orthogonal families we prove that, under these conditions, \mathcal{T} is a uniform continuous mapping and a uniform mapping \mathcal{S} .

The result generalizes the fixed point theorem for uniform mappings to a more general setting.

1.4 property of continuous functions

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be continuous if $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$.

The above definition is a direct translation of the epsilon- δ definition. For example, let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then, in order to show that f is continuous, we give a direct proof.

Lemma. If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x} \right) = \lim \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right).$$

Proof. Suppose that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M.$$

is false. Then, we have either a) or b) such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M$. Let ϵ be a positive number. For every δ , such that $x \in (a-\delta, a+\delta)$ we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M$, by the continuity of f , therefore,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x} + \epsilon \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M. \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x} \right) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) - \epsilon = M - \epsilon.$$

Note that $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M$, we have $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{L}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M$. By the continuity, there exists δ such that

$$\left| \frac{L}{x} + \epsilon - M \right| < \epsilon, \quad \text{for } |x-a| < \delta.$$

Thus, for $|x-a| < \delta$ we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x} + \epsilon \right) = M + \epsilon \text{ for } |x-a| < \delta.$$

which contradicts the proof in the case a). We reach an analogous argument in the remaining case when b).

2. Results on algebraic mappings

The main result reads as follows:

Theorem 1. Let \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{F} be normal domains and $\mathbb{K}[X]$ a complete local Gorenstein local ring of regular dimension d . Let $\mathbb{K}[X]$ and $\mathbb{F}[X]$ be localizations of \mathbb{K} , \mathbb{F} at $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{F}}$, respectively.

$$\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}[X] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{K}[X] \quad \text{with } \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{K}[X] \quad (2.1)$$

Ass. (2.1) is satisfied for all $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$ denote the fraction field of \mathbb{K} . We have either $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$ or $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$. In the latter case the fraction field $\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$ is a finite extension of \mathbb{K} . We are going to show that

$$\text{rank} \begin{cases} \varphi \in \mathbb{K}[X] \text{ is a unit, } \psi \in \mathbb{K}[X] \text{ is a unit for } \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{K}} \\ \varphi \in \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X] \text{ is a unit, } \psi \in \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X] \text{ is a unit for } \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{F}} \end{cases}$$

The latter condition of \mathbb{F} and the condition of \mathbb{K} already imply the first, that is, that $\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}[X]$ is surjective and, by the latter condition of \mathbb{F} , the fraction ψ is invertible in \mathbb{K} . This now implies $\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{K}[X]$. We are going to show that

$$\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X] \quad \text{is surjective.}$$

It is clearly true if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$. Suppose that $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$ and take $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})$. By the condition of \mathbb{K} , there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{K} containing $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{K}}$. We have

$$\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X] \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X]$$

and taking the quotient over an equal ideal that contained no prime divisor of $\mathbb{K}[X]$, which proves that \mathbb{F} is surjective.

In view of the definition of \mathbb{F} and if we have $\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X]$, then, in equivalent,

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{F}}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \frac{\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})}{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{F}}} \quad \text{is surjective.}$$

Since $\mathbb{K}[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{K})[X]$, the Lemma implies that also

$$\lim \left(\frac{C_{ij}^k}{C_{ij}^k} - \tau_{ij}^k \right) = 0$$

and, conversely, if τ_{ij}^k holds, taking τ_{ij}^k as one of the defining axioms of τ we must get $\lim(C_{ij}^k/C_{ij}^k) = \tau_{ij}^k$, thus completing the proof.

Remark 1. A metric space (M, ρ) is said to be uniformly convex, for stronger reasons, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$, where, with the usual notations, δ is larger provided that in every triangle ABC with sides $AB = \delta$, $BC = \delta$ and $AC = \delta$, the distance of C from the chord AB is $\geq \eta$. It is known (see, e.g., [1], p. 100) that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, there exists a function $\eta(\epsilon, \delta) > 0$ such that $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \eta(\epsilon, \delta) = 0$, and for all $\delta > 0$, $\eta(\epsilon, \delta) > 0$.

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1, in the following

Theorem 2. Let (M, ρ) be a uniformly convex metric space which satisfies (C_{ij}^k) and (C_{ij}^k) is uniformly convex. Suppose that τ_{ij}^k is uniformly continuous, τ_{ij}^k holds and τ_{ij}^k is uniformly convex, τ_{ij}^k holds and τ_{ij}^k holds.

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau_{ij}^k = \tau_{ij}^k \quad \text{for all } i, j, k.$$

and τ_{ij}^k holds for all i, j, k .

3. Some consequences for fixed point theory

The above results enable us to replace formally the contractivity condition in most fixed point theorems. For instance, replacing Theorem 1 we get the following improvement of Browder-Göhde-Kirk's theorem (cf. J. L. Browder [2], S. Goebel [3], T. A. Kirk [4], and J. Pajoohi and A. Ghobad [5], pp. 12, 13, 14).

Theorem 3. Let T be a uniformly convex mapping and (C_{ij}^k) is uniformly convex. Suppose that τ_{ij}^k is uniformly continuous, τ_{ij}^k holds and τ_{ij}^k is uniformly convex, τ_{ij}^k holds and τ_{ij}^k holds.

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \tau_{ij}^k = \tau_{ij}^k \quad \text{for all } i, j, k.$$

and T has a fixed point.

Proof. By Theorem 1 T is contractive and the result follows from the Browder-Göhde-Kirk fixed point theorem.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 allows us to derive the new fixed point theorem for contractive mappings (cf., e.g. H. A. Kato, *H. A. Kato* [6]).

References

- [1] H. A. KATO, *H. A. KATO* [6]: Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings of contractive type. *Pacific J. Math.*, 22, 1957-1962.
- [2] L. J. KILMER [10]: Theory and applications of distance geometry. The Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [3] P. S. MINKOWSKI [11]: *Mathematische Grundlagen der Geometrie*. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig.
- [4] J. NEUBAUER, A. WILSON [12]: Fixed point theory. Vol. 1. Springer's Monographs, Friedr. Vieweg's Publ., Braunschweig.
- [5] S. SCHUBERT [13]: *Line Geometry and Projective Geometry*. West. World, St. Louis.
- [6] H. A. KATO, H. A. KATO [6]: Functional analysis and applications. Amer. Math. Soc., Coling. Publ., G. 1969. Amer. Math. Providence, R. I.
- [7] H. A. KATO [6]: A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not contract distances. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 75, 1968-1970.

Department of Mathematics
Michigan University
4810 Tappan St
East Lansing, Michigan
48824