

ON HENKEL'S OPERATOR

David Heston¹

School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

Abstract. Let (E, α) , (F, β) , (G, γ) be normed spaces and let $H \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ be a normed map. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_H(E, F)$ the normed space of bounded linear mappings $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ into (G, γ) . It is shown that the norm algebra $\mathcal{L}_H(E, F)$ is a Banach algebra under composition. Let $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ be a normed space of bounded linear maps $\alpha \in E \rightarrow \beta \in F$ of normed E into a normed F and let $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ be a normed space of bounded linear maps $\beta \in F \rightarrow \gamma \in G$.

Let (E, α) , (F, β) , (G, γ) be normed spaces and let $H \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\|H\| \leq 1$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_H(E, F)$ the norm space of all functions $\alpha \in E \rightarrow F$ and let $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ be the norm space of all functions $\beta \in F(G, \gamma)$ such that

$$\sup_{\beta \in F} \frac{\|\beta(H\alpha) - \beta(\alpha)\|}{\|\alpha - \beta\|} < \infty,$$

where operations in this case are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}_H(E, F)$ given by $(\alpha + \beta)(x) = \alpha(x) + \beta(x)$ with the norm defined by the formula

$$\|\alpha + \beta\| = \|\alpha\| + \|\beta\| + \sup_{\alpha \in E} \frac{\|\alpha(H\alpha) - \alpha(\alpha)\|}{\|\alpha - \beta\|}$$

It is demonstrated that the same may be achieved for normed spaces $\mathcal{L}(E, F, G)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}(E, F, G)$ the normed space of all linear and continuous mappings $\alpha \in E \rightarrow G$. Consequently, $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ denotes the operator norm of α .

Every function $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ generates the so called Henkel's operator or operator of substitution $\mathcal{L}_H(E, F) = \mathcal{L}(E, F, G)$ defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{L}_H(E, F) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha, \alpha \circ H, \alpha \circ H \circ H, \dots) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F, G),$$

¹2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46N20, 46N30.

Key words and phrases. Normed spaces, linear spaces, normed space, linear maps, linear mappings, Banach spaces.

A Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK.

This operator norm property is consistent with integral equations and integral functional equations.

The next result of this paper needs no proof.

Theorem 8. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, $(F, \|\cdot\|)$, $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed spaces and suppose that $A \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is a linear (not necessarily positive) operator of algebraic type (1) such that $\|A\| \in \mathcal{L}(F, F)$ and is algebraically idempotent, i.e. there is a $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|A\|A_0 = \beta \|A\|A_0 \quad (\beta \text{ being } \|A_0\|^{-1} \|A_0\|^{-1} \|A_0\| \|A\| \|A_0\|^{-1}),$$

then there exists functions $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(F, F)$ such that

$$(3) \quad A(x, y) = \alpha(x) + \beta(y), \quad x \in E, y \in F,$$

if, moreover, $\|A\| \in \mathcal{L}(F, F)$ is a closed operator, $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(F, F)$.

Proof. Since the map from $x \in E$ to the function $y \in \mathcal{L}(F, F)$, $y(x) = A(x, \cdot)$ being constant, belongs to $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$, it follows that

$$A(x, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}(F, F), \quad x \in E.$$

Consequently, A is continuous with respect to the first variable for every fixed $x \in E$.

Using Definition (1) we may write compactly (1) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|A\|A_0 \|B\|B = \|A\|A_0 \|B\|B + \\ (4) \quad & \frac{\|A\|A_0 \|C\|C - \|A\|A_0 \|D\|D - \|A\|A_0 \|E\|E + \|A\|A_0 \|F\|F}{\|E - F\|} \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \|A\|A_0 = A_0 \|A\|$$

where A_0 is a linear map from E to F . Hence it follows that

$$(5) \quad \frac{\|A\|A_0 \|C\|C - \|A\|A_0 \|D\|D - \|A\|A_0 \|E\|E + \|A\|A_0 \|F\|F}{\|E - F\|} = A_0 \|A\|$$

$$A = \|A\|A_0 = A_0 \|A\|$$

Hence $A_0, A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\|A\| \in \mathcal{L}(F, F) + F$.

Let us take $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ or α_1, β_1 random (if $\beta_1 \neq 0$) and $\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5 \in \mathbb{R}$ and define the function

$$(6) \quad \alpha_1(z) = \begin{cases} \beta_2 & (\text{if } z \in \mathbb{R}) \\ \frac{\beta_3 - \beta_4}{(z) - (z')} + \beta_5 & (z) \neq (z') \\ \beta_4 & (z) = (z') \end{cases}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Evidently $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{lip}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\text{lip}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (\beta_3 - \beta_4) + \frac{\beta_3 - \beta_4 - \beta_5 + \beta_5(z)'}{(z) - (z')}.$$

Thus using in (7) α_1, α_2 defined by (6) and $z \in \mathbb{R}, z' \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{|\text{lip}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - \text{lip}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2) + \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2)|}{|(z) - (z')|} \\ \leq \text{lip}(\beta_3 - \beta_4) + \frac{|\beta_3 - \beta_4 - \beta_5 + \beta_5(z)'|}{|(z) - (z')|}.$$

whence we obtain the following form

$$(7) \quad \text{lip}(\beta_3 - \beta_4) \leq \text{lip}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) - \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2) + \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2) + \text{lip} \\ \text{lip}(\beta_3 - \beta_4) \leq \text{lip}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \frac{|\beta_3 - \beta_4|}{|(z) - (z')|} + \text{lip}(\beta_3 - \beta_4 - \beta_5 + \beta_5(z)')$$

Since $\frac{|\beta_3 - \beta_4|}{|(z) - (z')|} \leq 1$ and $\text{lip}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \leq \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2) + \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2) + 1$ then

$$\text{lip}(\beta_3 - \beta_4) \leq \text{lip}(\beta_2, \beta_2) + \frac{|\beta_3 - \beta_4|}{|(z) - (z')|} + 1.$$

(the fact, it is enough to show) if $x_n, y_n \in X$. Hence, using the linearity of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{I}$ in the both above CTS, except on account of the continuity of $\mathcal{I}(\cdot) = \mathcal{I}(\cdot)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x_n, y_n)) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y))\| &= \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x_n, y_n) - \mathcal{I}(x, y))\| \\ &= \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x_n - x, y_n - y))\| \\ &= \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y_n - y) + \mathcal{I}(x_n - x, y))\| \\ &= \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y_n - y)) + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x_n - x, y))\| \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{I}(\cdot) = \mathcal{A}(\cdot)$ it follows that (2.1) also becomes a CTS, since the norm is in \mathbb{R} and define the function $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) = \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y))\| \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Following (2.1) $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$ we get

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y) + \mathcal{I}(x', y')) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x', y'))\| = \|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x', y')) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x', y'))\|$$

which means that

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y) + \mathcal{I}(x', y')) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x', y')) \quad \forall x, y, x', y' \in E,$$

i.e. $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(\cdot))$ is additive mapping. Setting $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{I}$ we get the following relation

$$\mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I}(x, y))) \quad \forall x, y \in E, \quad \mathcal{I}(x, y) \in E,$$

which implies the continuity of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(\cdot))$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for the all $x, y \in E$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \quad \forall x, y \in E,$$

which, according to CTS,

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y))\| = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in E,$$

with $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{I}(E, E) = E$ is a Banach space. We have to prove that $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) \in \mathbb{R}$ that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) = \frac{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y)) - \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(x, y))}{\|x - y\|} \quad \forall x, y \in E,$$

is the smallest number of the following family of linear transformations mappings

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \frac{dF(x) - dF(y)}{x - y} \mid x, y \in D, x \neq y \right\}.$$

(Clearly, for any $x, y \in D, x \neq y$, we have $\frac{dF(x) - dF(y)}{x - y} \in L(C, D)$.)

Since $D' = \text{Lip}(D, F) = \text{Lip}(D, D)$ and for any fixed $x \in D$, the constant function $g(y) = y, y \in D$, belongs to $\text{Lip}(D, D)$, it follows that $(F)g(x) = 0$ belongs to $\text{Lip}(D, F)$. From the just-proved part of the theorem we have $\|g(x)\| = 1 = \|0\|_F$. Consequently, for any $x \in D, \|x\| = L \cdot \text{rad}(\text{Lip}(D, D))$, and, therefore

$$\sup_{x \in D} \frac{\|dF(x) - dF(y)\|}{\|x - y\|} = \sup_{x, y \in D} \left\| \frac{dF(x) - dF(y)}{x - y} \right\|.$$

This means that family (11) is uniformly bounded, according to the Weierstrass theorem the number $\|0\|_F$ in (11) is finite. This completes the proof.

Remark 1. One can easily verify that instead of assuming $d'F$ to be sufficient to ensure that D' is starlike with respect to the point $d'F(x)$.

Theorem 2 gives necessary conditions for linearizable operators to be globally Lipschitzian maps from $\text{Lip}(D, D)$ into $\text{Lip}(D, D)$. The conditions provide some sufficient conditions:

Theorem 3. Let $F: D \rightarrow E, F: D \rightarrow E, F: D \rightarrow E$ be normed spaces and let D be a convex subset of E with the origin $0 \in D$. Suppose that $d \in \text{Lip}(D, D) \in F, F, d \in \text{Lip}(D, D)$ and $\|d\|_F = \|d\|_D$ is implied by the identity $\|d(x)\|_F + \|d(x)\|_D + \|d(x)\|_E = \|d(x)\|_E, x \in D, x \neq 0$.

Then the Lipschitz operator d' generated by the function d maps $\text{Lip}(D, F)$ into $\text{Lip}(D, D)$ and is globally Lipschitzian. Moreover,

$$\|d'\| = \sup_{x \in D} \frac{\|dF(x) - dF(y)\|}{\|x - y\|} = \|0\|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \|d'\| = \sup_{x \in D} \|dF(x)\| = \|0\|_F$$

