

The Weiszfeld Lipschitzian Operator

DAVID M. GREENBERG

Walter White School

University of Maryland

In an earlier article, page 81 has been provided some desirable properties of mapping the Weiszfeld space of Lipschitzian functions into itself and globally Lipschitzian with respect to the supremum norm in the set of all such mappings. A detailed analysis of the global Lipschitzian function, in this paper, yields a theoretical version of the result.

It has been proved in [2] that every Weiszfeld operator T mapping $Lip[a, b]$ into itself and globally Lipschitzian with respect to the $Lip[a, b]$ norm has to be of the form:

$$Tf(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta(x), \quad \alpha \in [a, b], \quad Lip[a, b],$$

where α is the $Lip[a, b]$. Recently this result has been extended to the Weiszfeld operators mapping a convex space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ into $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ where Γ and Φ are convex spaces and Φ is a convex (or distributed) subset of a convex space X [3, 4].

Similar theorems have also been proved for the Weiszfeld spaces $BP[a, b]$, $C[a, b]$ and $Lip^p[a, b]$ for $1 < p < \infty$ [5].

In the present paper we give a kind of local version of the above result. The "locality" is understood here in the sense of the operators norm, i.e. a reader can find out if the norm of Weiszfeld operator mentioned above.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$, $(Z, \|\cdot\|)$ be convex spaces and let $\Phi \subset X$. Denote by $(\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$ the convex space of all functions $f: \Phi \rightarrow Y$ and by $(\mathcal{G}, \|\cdot\|)$ the convex space of all functions $g: \Phi \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{\|g(x) - g(y)\|}{\|x - y\|} < \infty,$$

where expression is valid over all $x, y \in \Phi$. Assume that for Φ , convex, $(\mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|)$ with the norm defined by the formula

$$\|f\| = \|af\| + \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{\|x - y\|}$$

* Department of Mathematics, Baltimore University, 4100 Johns Hopkins Road.

is a normed space, let

$$\|f\|_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|, \quad f \in \text{Lip}(X, \mathbb{R})$$

and let $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the normed space of all linear and continuous mappings $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Every function $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generates the so called *Fréchet operator* $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{L}_x \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$ defined by the formula

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(h) := \mathcal{L}_x(f, h), \quad x \in X, \quad h \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

Is proved to be, of course, a continuous operator.

We are going to prove the following

Theorem. Let X , $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{L}$ be normed spaces and suppose that $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is normed space with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ the Fréchet operator \mathcal{A} defined by (2) satisfies for a positive number r the following two conditions

$$F_1: \mathcal{A}(\cdot) \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}), \quad \|f\|_{\infty} \leq r \Rightarrow \|\mathcal{A}f\| \leq r,$$

F_2 : there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(h) = \mathcal{A}(f)(h) \quad \& \quad \|f_1 - f_2\|_{\infty} \leq r \Rightarrow \|(\mathcal{A}f_1 - \mathcal{A}f_2)(h)\| \leq \delta,$$

then there exist functions $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(h) = \mathcal{A}(f)(h) + \mathcal{B}(f)(h), \quad x \in X, \quad h \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \|f\|_{\infty} \leq r,$$

\mathcal{B} , moreover, $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space then \mathcal{A} is the $\mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. First we note that $f \in \mathcal{L}$ the normed function $\mathcal{A}(f)(h) = \mathcal{L}_x(f, h)$, $x \in X$, belongs to $\text{Lip}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$, it follows from F_1 that

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}f)(h) \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \|f\|_{\infty} \leq r.$$

Therefore \mathcal{A} is continuous with respect to the first variable the way that f from the ball $\mathcal{B}(0, r) := \{f \in \mathcal{L} \mid \|f\|_{\infty} \leq r\}$.

Using definition (2) we may write assumption (2) in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{A}f_1 - \mathcal{A}f_2)(h)\| = \|(\mathcal{L}_x(f_1, h) - \mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h))\| + \\ & = \frac{\|(\mathcal{L}_x(f_1, h) - \mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h)) - (\mathcal{L}_x(f_1, h) - \mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h))\|}{\|h\|} \leq \delta \|f_1 - f_2\| \end{aligned}$$

where expression is taken over all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\|f_1\|_{\infty}, \|f_2\|_{\infty} \leq r$, $h \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence it follows that

$$\|(\mathcal{L}_x(f_1, h) - \mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h))\| = \|(\mathcal{L}_x(f_1, h) - \mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h)) + (\mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h) - \mathcal{L}_x(f_2, h))\| \leq \delta \|f_1 - f_2\|$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in \text{Lip}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ with that $\|f_1\|_{\infty}, \|f_2\|_{\infty} \leq r$, $h \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\delta, r > 0$, $r > 1$.

Let us fix $x \in \mathbb{C}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and β from the segment joining β with α . Take $v_1, v_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and define the function

$$(4) \quad \varphi(\beta) := \begin{cases} \beta & \text{if } \beta = \beta_1 \\ \frac{\beta_2 - \beta_1}{|\beta_2 - \beta_1|} (|\beta| - |\beta_1|) + \beta_1 & \text{if } |\beta| \in (|\beta_1|, |\beta_2|) \\ \beta_2 & \text{if } |\beta| \geq |\beta_2| \end{cases}$$

where β and $i \in \{1, 2\}$ satisfy $\alpha_1 \leq |\beta| < \beta_2$, $|\beta_1| < |\beta| < \beta_2$, and $v_i \cdot \alpha > 0$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and

$$|\beta_1| = |\alpha_1| = |\beta_2| = |\alpha_2| = \frac{|\beta_2 - \beta_1| + |\beta_1| + |\beta_2|}{2}.$$

Since, using (4), v_1, v_2 defined by (4) and $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we obtain the inequality $|\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_i| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \alpha_i| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| + |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| \geq \alpha \left(|\beta_1| - |\beta_2| + \frac{|\beta_2 - \beta_1| + |\beta_1| + |\beta_2|}{2} \right)$,

which can be rewritten in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_i| &= |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \alpha| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| + |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| \geq \\ &\geq \alpha \left(|\beta_1| - |\beta_2| + \frac{|\beta_2 - \beta_1|}{2} (|\beta_1| + |\beta_2|) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting β tend to α , using the continuity of $|\varphi(\cdot) \cdot v_i|$, we have that

$$(5) \quad |\varphi(\alpha) \cdot v_1| = |\varphi(\alpha) \cdot v_2| = |\varphi(\alpha) \cdot \beta_1| = |\varphi(\alpha) \cdot \beta_2| \geq \alpha (|\beta_1| - |\beta_2| + |\beta_1| + |\beta_2|).$$

For $\alpha \neq 0$ we get $v_1, v_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

By the continuity of $|\varphi(\cdot) \cdot v_i|$ it follows that $\varphi(\beta)$ tends to α as $|\beta|$ tends to $|\alpha|$ and define the function $\varphi(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by the formula

$$(6) \quad \varphi(\beta) := |\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_1| - |\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_2|.$$

Taking in (7), $\beta_1 := \beta + \alpha, \beta_2 := \beta, \beta_3 := \alpha, \beta_4 := \beta$ and then $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain

$$|\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_1| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \alpha| + |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| \geq \alpha,$$

which means that

$$|\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_1 + v_1| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_1| + |\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_1| \geq \alpha + \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\},$$

i.e. $\varphi(\beta)$ is a bijection mapping in the ball $B(\beta, \alpha/2)$. It is well known that $\varphi(\beta)$ has the unique extension to an additive map from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} (cf. [4] and [5]). Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 we obtain by $\varphi(\beta)$, setting $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta$ that $\beta \neq \alpha$ we get

$$|\varphi(\beta) \cdot v_1| = |\varphi(\beta) \cdot \beta| \geq \alpha (|\beta_1| - |\beta_2| + |\beta_1| + |\beta_2|) = 2\alpha,$$

which implies the surjectivity of $\varphi(\beta)$. Since every additive and continuous map is

Since we have proved that $\mathcal{A}(z) \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, Putting

$$\mathcal{A}(z) := \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

we have, according to (7),

$$\mathcal{B}(z, z) = \mathcal{B}(z) z + \mathcal{B}(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad z \neq \mathcal{B}, \quad (8)$$

where $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})\}$ and $z \neq \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})$.

Suppose now that (X, Y) is a Banach space, that $\mathcal{B}(z) \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(z) - \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})}{z - \mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y).$$

From the just proved part of the theorem we have that $\|z - \mathcal{B}\| = \mathcal{A}(z) z$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consequently, for every $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}\}$, $\mathcal{A}(z) z \in \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})$, and, therefore

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}(z) z - \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})}{z - \mathcal{B}} \right| = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}(z) - \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})}{z - \mathcal{B}} \right| < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}\},$$

this shows that the family of functions

$$\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{A}(z) - \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})}{z - \mathcal{B}} \right\}_{z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{\mathcal{B}\}}$$

is pointwise bounded. In view of Banach-Steinhaus Theorem the number

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}(z) - \mathcal{B}(z, \mathcal{B})}{z - \mathcal{B}} \right| < \infty$$

is finite. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] BOURBAKI, N., *General topology*, second ed. (1978) Pt. 1, §10, p. 55–56.
- [2] BOURBAKI, N., *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Lecture Notes, (1974), Cheltenham University, Newport.
- [3] BOURBAKI, N., *Functional Analysis and Normed spaces*, *Mathémathématique (Clarendon)* 22 (1986) p. 107–108.
- [4] BOURBAKI, N., *Les Espaces vectoriels topologiques* (Mathématique Supérieure).
- [5] BOURBAKI, N., *Int. L. des A. Communes des Universités de Strasbourg* (in French) 1974–75, Math. Notes, 10 (1983), p. 107–109.
- [6] BOURBAKI, N., *Some topological properties of subspaces of Banach spaces of separable topological vector spaces*, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 1 (1969), p. 1–16.
- [7] BOURBAKI, N., *On characterizations of topological properties of subspaces of the class of Banach's Spaces*, *Israel Acad. Sci. Publ. Ser.* 17 (1984), p. 10–15.