

# *Funkciaĵaj Ekvacioj*

(Serio Intermedia)

Volumo 20, Numero 2

April 1982

---

Functional Equations and Nonlocal Operators

By

SAOJI MATSUOKA

---

Order 1982F	Pages 13-24
Volume 20	April 1982
Number 2	Whole No. 44

PAŬO DE UNIVERSITATAS EFFACIOB  
JAPONIA MATHEMATICA SOCIETY

## Functional Equations and Nonlinear Operators

by

**Janusz Mikusiński**

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland*

**1.** In this paper we consider the so-called *Liouville equation* of convolution, which appears in a natural way when we are looking for solutions of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = \psi(x) + \varphi(x) \psi(x)$$

where  $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is a *Bourginian* function.

It is known (cf. [1]) that the existence as well as the quantity of solutions of equation (1) depends mainly on the class of regularity of the unknown function. Under some general assumptions, the basic theorems on the uniqueness and existence of the solution of equation (1) in the classes  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  can be proved by means of the classical Banach fixed point theorem (cf. [2,3]). Concerning these cases, the proof of the existence of the solution in the class  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is a little more complicated and goes via the so-called fixed point theorem. It is of interest that the uniqueness of such solutions can be proved independently (cf. [4]). Hence, one can remark that in this case the proof should always be using only the Banach fixed point theorem. It is important because of the possibility of determining of the solution in the class with respect to the Liouville Equation of the equation of the non-linear approximation.

We are going to present solutions which show that in the nonlinear case the Liouville solution of equation (1) cannot be obtained by a direct application of Banach's principle. We also prove that Banach's method can be applied for the linear equation

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \psi(x) + \varphi(x) \psi(x) + \psi(x)$$

to find the Liouville solution.

**2.** Suppose that we are looking for a solution  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  of equation (1) where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Banach space of functions  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the norm  $\|\varphi\|$ . The equation for the form

$$(3) \quad \varphi = \mathcal{L}(\varphi + \psi)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -linear map, is general, continuous mapping from  $\mathcal{F}$  into itself, and  $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  given by the formula

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{H}(y) := \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{F})(y, y), \quad y \in \mathcal{F},$$

is the so-called Fréchet operator of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{H}$  is, in general, a non-linear operator.

To apply the Banach's principle to equation (9) it is necessary for  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  to be a complete topological linear space. For this "practical" reason  $\mathcal{F}$  is here a Lipschitz space, i.e., that there is an  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$(12) \quad \|\mathcal{H}(y_1) - \mathcal{H}(y_2)\| \leq c \|y_1 - y_2\| \quad \text{for } y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$$

is satisfied, where that this is the case for every  $\mathcal{F}$  which maps  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -into  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and is invertible. Indeed, by the open mapping theorem  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is continuous and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}(y_1) - \mathcal{H}(y_2)\| &\leq \|\mathcal{D}^*(y_1) - \mathcal{D}^*(y_2)\| \|\mathcal{D}(y_1) - \mathcal{D}(y_2)\| \\ &\leq c \|y_1 - y_2\| c \|y_1 - y_2\| = c^2 \|y_1 - y_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  and hence (12).

**3.** Now we recall our considerations in the case  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$  with the norm defined by the formula

$$(13) \quad \|y\| := \|y\|_{\text{Lip}} := \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|y(x) - y(0)|}{|x|^\mu}, \quad y \in \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta),$$

where operators in above (9) are all  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -linear. Of course  $\text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$  is a Banach space.

We are going to prove the following

**Lemma.** Suppose that  $\delta \in \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$ ,  $\delta \in \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$  and

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{H} \in \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta) \rightarrow \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$$

where operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined by (11). Under these conditions the operator  $\mathcal{H}$  is Lipschitz, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}$  maps Lipschitz  $\mu$ - $\delta$  into Lipschitz  $\mu$ - $\delta$  with some function  $\delta_1$  in  $\text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$  such that

$$(15) \quad \|\mathcal{H}(y_1) - \mathcal{H}(y_2)\| \leq \delta_1 \|y_1 - y_2\|, \quad y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Proof.** It follows from (11) that for every  $y \in \mathcal{F}$  the function  $\mathcal{H}'(y) \in \text{Lip}(\mu, \delta)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{H}$  is continuous with respect to the first variable. Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies inequality (15). By (15) this inequality has the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)) \\
 (17) \quad & + \exp\left(\frac{(f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)) - (f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1))}{(x_1 - x_2)}\right) \\
 & + \exp\left(\frac{(f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)) - (f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1))}{(x_1 - x_2)}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us fix  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the function

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha & x \geq \beta \\ \frac{\beta - \delta}{\alpha - \beta}x - \frac{\beta\delta - \alpha\delta}{\alpha - \beta} & x \in (\beta, \delta) \\ \gamma & x < \delta \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that  $\varphi$  satisfies (16) for (17) and

$$(\varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2)) \leq \frac{(\beta - \delta)(\alpha - \gamma) + \beta\delta}{(x_1 - x_2)}$$

Check the sign expression on the left-hand side of inequality (16). Repeating the construction of inequality (16), we obtain above with  $x_1 = x_2 = \alpha < \beta < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)) \leq \frac{(f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)) - (f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1))}{(x_1 - x_2)} \\
 & + \exp\left(\frac{(f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1)) - (f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1))}{(x_1 - x_2)}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of this inequality by  $(x_1 - x_2)$  and letting  $x_1 = x_2$  it follows from the continuity of  $(f_{x_1, y_1})$  that

$$(18) \quad (f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_1)) \leq (f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_1)) + (f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_1))(x_1 - x_2)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and define the function  $F_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$(19) \quad F_1(y) = (f(x, y) - f(x, y_1)) \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting  $\alpha = \alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha$ ,  $\beta = \alpha$ ,  $\delta = \alpha$ ,  $\gamma = \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  in (18) we get

$$(f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_1)) \leq (f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_1))$$

which using (19) can be written in the following form

$$F_1(y_1) - F_1(y_1) \leq F_1(y_1) \quad y_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus,  $F_1$  is additive. Setting  $y_1 = y_2 = \alpha$  in (19) we get by (19)

$$|F(x) - F(x')| \leq \alpha \|x - x'\|, \quad \forall x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and consequently  $F$  is continuous. Moreover additive and continuous function is linear thus exists a function  $F(x, y) = \alpha \|x - y\|$  such that

$$F(x, y) = \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Setting

$$H(x, y) = \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

we have  $H(x, y) = F(x, y)$  thus

$$H(x, y) = \alpha \|x - y\| = H(x', y'), \quad \forall x, y, x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and consequently we have  $H \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$  thus

$$H(x, y) = H(x', y') = \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y, x', y' \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we also have  $F(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and suppose that  $F$  is a field operator. It is guaranteed by function  $H(x, y)$ . One can easily verify that

$$(10) \quad \|\mathcal{L}(x) - \mathcal{M}(x)\| \leq \alpha \|x - x'\|, \quad \forall x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $x' = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n)$  and

$$\alpha = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}_i - \mathcal{M}_i\|}{|x_i - x'_i|}.$$

Thus  $H$  is a Lipschitz-type which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. Remarks to the Theorem

(1) From the Theorem it follows that every Lipschitz and Thompson operator  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$  admits the following structure.

(2) From the condition  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$  follows that (9) meaning that  $\mathcal{L}(x) \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . In fact, we have for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|\mathcal{L}(\gamma(x))\| \leq \alpha \|x\| = \alpha \|\gamma(x)\| \leq \alpha \|\gamma\| \|x\| = \alpha \|\gamma\| \|x\|.$$

(3) It is easily seen that the Theorem remains valid if we change  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by the open interval  $(a, b) \rightarrow (a', b')$  or  $(a, b) \rightarrow (a, b)$ . Moreover it is not longer true that the class  $\mathcal{L}ip(\alpha, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is not linear. In order to consider the theorem upon  $\mathcal{L}ip(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the norm

$$\|\mathcal{L}\| = \alpha \|\mathcal{L}\| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\mathcal{L}(x) - \mathcal{L}(x')\|}{|x - x'|}, \quad \forall \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}ip(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

In the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 we get that every Neumann operator  $N \in \text{Lip}(D) = \text{Lip}(\partial D)$  has to be generated by the function  $N(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) + \beta(x) + \gamma(y) + \delta(x, y) + \eta(x, y) + \xi(x, y)$ . For the converse is not true. In fact, taking the pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $(\gamma, \delta)$  as the  $u, v$  and  $w, z$  in Lemma 3.10, we have  $\beta(x) = \alpha(x, x)$ ,  $\delta(y) = \gamma(y, y) + \xi(y, y)$ . Thus  $\beta(x) + \delta(y) = \alpha(x, x) + \gamma(y, y) + \xi(x, y)$  is not a Lipschitz map.

Let us consider the following sufficient condition for  $N \in \text{Lip}(D) = \text{Lip}(\partial D)$  to be a Lipschitz map. Let  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{Lip}(D)$  and suppose that

$$\lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x \\ y \neq x}} \frac{\alpha(x, y) - \alpha(y, x)}{y - x} = \alpha'(x, x), \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \beta'(y) = \alpha'(x, x).$$

Then operator  $N$  generated by the function  $N(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) + \beta(x) + \gamma(y) + \delta(x, y) + \eta(x, y) + \xi(x, y)$  satisfies the following Lipschitz condition

$$\|N\varphi_1 - N\varphi_2\|_{C(\partial D)} \leq C\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{C(\partial D)}, \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C(\partial D).$$

(34) Note that in the Theorem the space  $C(\partial D)$  can be replaced by  $C^1(\partial D)$  or  $C^2(\partial D)$ . In fact, we have for the Neumann operator  $N$  generated by the function  $N(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$

$$\|N\varphi_1 - N\varphi_2\|_{C(\partial D)} = \sup_{x \in \partial D} |\alpha(x, x) - \alpha(x, x)| = 0, \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^1(\partial D),$$

and also

$$\|N\varphi_1 - N\varphi_2\|_{C^1(\partial D)} = \int_{\partial D} |\alpha(x, y)| dx + \int_{\partial D} |\alpha(y, x)| dy = 0, \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^2(\partial D).$$

for  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C^2(\partial D)$ . Thus in the last case  $N$  is a nonlinear Lipschitz map.

(35) Taking into account considerations of Section 1 and inequality (33) one can easily establish the conditions under which the theorem that just is applied to operator  $N$ .

(36) The continuity and boundedness properties of nonlinear Neumann operators acting in spaces of integrable functions are considered in Heston's book [23].

## References

- [1] Eidelman, S. Fractional equations in a half-space. *Math. Methods*, 1985.
- [2] Heston, S. M. M. Nonlinear operators and differential operators in Banach spaces. New York, 1976.
- [3] Heston, S. M. Integral solutions of fractional equations. *Stochastics*, 1985, 1-20.
- [4] ———. On Spectral solutions of fractional equations. *Ann. Inst. Math.*, 88 (1979), 103-124.

1995  
1996  
1997  
1998

1999