

# Chapter 36

## Generalized weighted arithmetic means

Janusz Matkowski

*Dedicated to the memory of S.M. Ulam  
on the 100th anniversary of his birth*

**Abstract** Means which are the sum of single variable functions are considered. It is shown among other that if such a mean is weighted quasi-arithmetic, or subtranslative or subadditive then it must be a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean. Conditions under which the functions of the form  $f(x) = ax + b$  are affine or convex with respect to such a mean are presented. Invariance of a weighted arithmetic mean with respect to the relevant mean-type mappings is considered. Some open problems are presented.

**Key words:** mean, generalized weighted mean, mean-convex function mean-affine functions, subadditivity, subtransitivity, functional equation, functional inequalities.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 26E30, 26A18, 39B22.

### 36.1 Introduction

A function  $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *mean* in an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , if

$$\min(x, y) \leq M(x, y) \leq \max(x, y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

If for all  $x, y \in I$ ,  $x \neq y$ , these inequalities are sharp,  $M$  is called *strict*; and *symmetric*, if  $M(x, y) = M(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . If  $M$  is a mean in  $I$ , then  $M(J^2) = J$ , for every subinterval  $J \subseteq I$ . Moreover  $M$  is *reflexive*, i.e.

$$M(x, x) = x, \quad x \in I.$$

---

J. Matkowski

Faculty of Mathematics Computer Science and Econometrics, University of Zielona Góra, Podgórna 50, PL-65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland and Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University, Bankowa 14, PL-42-007 Katowice, Poland; e-mail: J.Matkowski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Every reflexive function  $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is increasing with respect to each variable is a mean in  $I$ . If  $I = (0, \infty)$  and

$$M(tx, ty) = tM(x, y), \quad t, x, y > 0,$$

then  $M$  is positively homogeneous.

For a strictly monotonic function  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the function  $M : I^2 \rightarrow I$ ,  $M = \mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]}$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]}(x, y) := \gamma^{-1}(p\gamma(x) + (1-p)\gamma(y)), \quad x, y \in I,$$

is a mean, and it is called *quasi-arithmetic weighted mean*. The function  $\gamma$  is called a *generator* of the mean and  $p$  its *weight*. The symmetric mean

$$\mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]} := \mathcal{A}_{1/2}^{[\gamma]}$$

is called *quasi-arithmetic mean*.

Taking here  $\gamma(x) = ax + b$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , we get

$$\mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]}(x, y) = \mathcal{A}_p(x, y) := px + (1-p)y, \quad x, y \in I.$$

If  $p = 1/2$  we write  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{1/2}$ .

Note that the weighted arithmetic mean is sum of two functions of single variable.

In this paper we examine the means which are of the form  $M(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(y)$  for some functions  $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In section 36.2 we observe that  $M$  is a mean iff  $\psi(x) = x - \varphi(x)$  for all  $x \in I$  and both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are increasing – in particular  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  must be nonexpansive, i.e. Lipschitz continuous with a Lipschitz constant 1. We denote this mean by  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ . For obvious reason, the means of this type can be treated as a generalization of the classical weighted arithmetic means. We show that if  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \leq \mathcal{W}^{[\gamma]}$  then  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{W}^{[\gamma]}$ , and  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is symmetric iff  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{A}$ .

In section 36.3 we prove that a mean  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  defined on  $[0, \infty)^2$  is weighted quasi-arithmetic iff it is weighted arithmetic. The positive homogeneity of  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is also considered.

In sections 36.4 and 36.5 we consider conditions under which the functions of the form  $f(x) = ax + b$  are affine or convex with respect to  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ . It turns out that if the function  $f(x) = ax$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -convex, then it is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine and, under the differentiability of  $\varphi$  at 0 and the condition  $a \neq 1$ , the mean  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  must be a weighted arithmetic. Some related results concerning general convexity are also given.

Recall that the notion of a convex function with respect to two given means was introduced by G. Aumann [3] and, for the first time was considered by J. Aczél [1] (cf. also J. Matkowski and J. Rätz [9]).

In section 36.6 we show that  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is subtranslative iff it is weighted arithmetic. In section 36.7 we deal with subadditivity of  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ . In section 36.8 we consider the invariance of a weighted arithmetic mean with respect to the mean-type mapping of

the form  $(\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}, \mathscr{W}^{[\psi]})$  and we apply the obtained result in solving a functional equation. In section 36.9 we propose a generalization of the weighted quasi-arithmetic means. In section 36.10 the finite dimensional counterparts of the means  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$  are discussed.

At the end we present some open problems related to Daróczy-Páles identity.

## 36.2 A generalization of weighted arithmetic mean

We begin with

**Theorem 36.1.** *Let  $I$  be an interval and  $\varphi, \psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$M(x, y) := \varphi(x) + \psi(y), \quad x, y \in I, \quad (36.1)$$

*is a (strict) mean if, and only if, the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are (strictly) increasing and*

$$\varphi(x) + \psi(x) = x, \quad x \in I.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  given by (1) is a mean. Setting  $y = x$  in (1) we get  $\varphi(x) + \psi(x) = x$ , whence  $\psi(x) = x - \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in I$ . Hence, taking  $x, y \in I$ ,  $x \leq y$ , from the definition of the mean we have

$$x = \min(x, y) \leq \varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y) \leq \max(x, y) = y,$$

i.e.  $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$  and  $x - \varphi(x) \leq y - \varphi(y)$ , which proves that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are increasing. Conversely, suppose that  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are increasing and such that  $\varphi(x) + \psi(x) = x$  for  $x \in I$ . Take arbitrary  $x, y \in I$ , assume that  $x \leq y$ . Then we have  $\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$  and  $x - \varphi(x) \leq y - \varphi(y)$ , whence  $x \leq \varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y) \leq y$ , which means that  $\min(x, y) \leq M(x, y) \leq \max(x, y)$ . In the case when  $M$  is a strict mean we argue analogously.  $\square$

**Corollary 36.1.** *Let  $I$  be an interval and  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

(i) *the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing iff the function  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]} : I^2 \rightarrow I$  defined by*

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) := \varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y), \quad x, y \in I, \quad (36.2)$$

*is a mean;*

(ii) *the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are strictly increasing iff  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a strict mean.*

**Remark 36.1.** Let  $I$  be an interval and  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then

(i)  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a mean iff  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing and non-expansive, i.e.

$$x \leq y \implies 0 \leq \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) \leq y - x;$$

- (ii)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a strict mean iff  $\varphi$  is strictly increasing and contractive, i.e.

$$x < y \implies 0 < \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) < y - x.$$

Note the following easy to verify

*Property 36.1.* The following conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is symmetric;  
(ii) there is a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{x}{2} + c, \quad x \in I;$$

- (iii)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$  for  $x, y \in I$ .

*Property 36.2.* Let  $I$  be an interval and assume that  $\varphi, \gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  and  $\text{id}_I - \gamma$  are nondecreasing. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \leq \mathcal{W}^{[\gamma]}$ ;  
(ii)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} = \mathcal{W}^{[\gamma]}$ ;  
(iii) there is a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\gamma = \varphi + c$ .

*Proof.* By definitions of the means  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{[\gamma]}$ , the inequality  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \leq \mathcal{W}^{[\gamma]}$  is equivalent to the inequality  $\varphi(x) - \gamma(x) \leq \varphi(y) - \gamma(y)$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , which implies that  $\varphi - \gamma$  is a constant function.  $\square$

### 36.3 When $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ is weighted quasi arithmetic or homogeneous

The main result of this section reads as follows

**Theorem 36.2.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and suppose that  $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. Then  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  defined by (2) is a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean if, and only if, there are  $p \in (0, 1)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , such that  $\varphi(x) = px + c$  for  $x \in I$ , that is iff,

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) = px + (1 - p)y, \quad x, y \in I.$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a weighted quasi-arithmetic mean, then there is a continuous strictly monotonic function  $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \psi(x) = \gamma^{-1}(p\gamma(x) + (1 - p)\gamma(y)), \quad x, y \in I, \quad (36.3)$$

where

$$\psi(x) = x - \varphi(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Put  $J := \gamma(I)$  and take arbitrary  $u, v \in J$ . Setting  $x := \gamma^{-1}(u)$ ,  $y := \gamma^{-1}(v)$  in (36.3) gives

$$\gamma^{-1}(pu + (1-p)v) = \varphi \circ \gamma^{-1}(u) + \psi \circ \gamma^{-1}(v), \quad u, v \in J.$$

Hence, setting

$$f := \gamma^{-1}, \quad g := \varphi \circ \gamma^{-1}, \quad h := \psi \circ \gamma^{-1},$$

we obtain the functional equation

$$f(pu + (1-p)v) = g(u) + h(v), \quad u, v \in J.$$

Interchanging  $u$  and  $v$  we hence get

$$f((1-p)u + pv) = g(v) + h(u), \quad u, v \in J.$$

Adding the respective sides of these two equations we obtain

$$f(pu + (1-p)v) + f((1-p)u + pv) = [g(u) + h(u)] + [g(v) + h(v)]$$

for all  $u, v \in J$ . Taking here  $v = u$  gives

$$g(u) + h(u) = f(u), \quad u, v \in J,$$

whence

$$f(pu + (1-p)v) + f((1-p)u + pv) = f(u) + f(v), \quad u, v \in J,$$

that is  $f$  is  $p$ -Wright affine. In view of Ng's theorem [11], there is an additive function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(u) = \alpha(u) + b$  for all  $u \in J$ . Since  $f = \gamma^{-1}$  is continuous, we have  $\alpha(u) = au$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $\gamma^{-1}(u) = au + b$  for all  $u \in J$  and, obviously,  $a \neq 0$ . From (36.2) we obtain our result.  $\square$

Let us also note the following easy to prove

**Theorem 36.3.** *Let  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_{(0,\infty)} - \varphi$  be nondecreasing. The mean  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is homogeneous iff there is  $p \in [0, 1]$  such that*

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) = px + (1-p)y, \quad x, y > 0.$$

### 36.4 $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine functions

Recall the following

**Definition 36.1.** (cf. [9]) Let  $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $J \subset I$  be intervals, and let  $M : I^2 \rightarrow I$  be a mean. A function  $f : J \rightarrow I$  is said to be affine with respect  $M$ , briefly,  $M$ -affine, if

$$f(M(x, y)) = M(f(x), f(y)), \quad x, y \in J.$$

*Remark 36.2.* It easy to see that, under the assumptions of the above definition, the following three statements are valid:

- (i) if  $f$  is one-to-one, onto and  $M$ -affine, then  $f^{-1}$  is  $M$ -affine;
- (ii) if  $J = I$  and  $f, g : I \rightarrow I$  are  $M$ -affine then so is  $f \circ g$ ;
- (iii) the function  $f = \text{id}_{(0,\infty)}$  and the constant function  $f = c$  for  $c \in I$  are  $M$ -affine.

**Theorem 36.4.** Let  $I = \mathbb{R}$  or  $I = [0, \infty)$ . Suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_{(0,\infty)} - \varphi$  are strictly increasing.

- (i) If  $I = \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine, then there exist an additive function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = \alpha(x) + b, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If moreover  $f$  is bounded from above (from below) or measurable in a neighborhood of a point then  $f(x) = ax + b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (ii) If  $I = [0, \infty)$  and  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine, then there are  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = \alpha(x) + b, \quad x \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* 1) We can assume without any loss of generality that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine. From Definition 36.1 we have

$$f(\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y)) = \varphi(f(x)) + f(y) - \varphi(f(y)), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taking here  $y = 0$  we get

$$f(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(f(x)) + f(0) - \varphi(f(0)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and taking  $x = 0$  we get

$$f(y - \varphi(y)) = \varphi(f(0)) + f(0) - \varphi(f(y)), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, setting  $b := f(0)$ , and adding the respective sides of these two equations, we obtain

$$f(\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y)) = f(\varphi(x)) - b + f(y - \varphi(y)), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

that is,

$$f(\varphi(x) + \psi(y)) - b = [f(\varphi(x)) - b] + [f(\psi(y)) - b], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\psi(y) = y - \varphi(y)$  for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . As  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a strict mean (cf. Corollary 36.1(ii)), we have  $\varphi(\mathbb{R}) = (r_1, r_2)$ ,  $\psi(\mathbb{R}) = (s_1, s_2)$  where  $-\infty \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq \infty$ . It follows that the function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\alpha(u) := f(u) - c, \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfies the functional equation

$$\alpha(u+v) = \alpha(u) + \alpha(v), \quad u \in (r_1, r_2), v \in (s_1, s_2).$$

Since at least one of the numbers  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$  is not finite, it follows that

$$\alpha(u+v) = \alpha(u) + \alpha(v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R},$$

which shows that  $\alpha$  is additive. The remaining part of the theorem is well known (cf. Aczél [2], Kuczma [5]) and the proof of part (i) is completed.

In case (ii) the argument showing the additivity of  $\alpha$  is analogous. The nonnegativity of  $f$  implies that  $f$  is of the desired form.  $\square$

**Theorem 36.5.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a closed interval and  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a nondecreasing function such that  $\text{id}_{(0,\infty)} - \varphi$  is nondecreasing. Suppose that  $f : I \rightarrow I$ ,  $f(x) = ax + b$  ( $x \in I$ ) for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

(i)  *$f$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine iff the function*

$$I \ni x \longrightarrow \varphi(ax+b) - a\varphi(x) \text{ is constant};$$

(ii) *if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine,  $a \neq 1$  and  $\varphi$  is differentiable at the point  $x_0 = \frac{b}{1-a}$ , then*

$$f(x) = px + c, \quad x \in I,$$

*for some  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ; moreover*

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} = px + (1-p)y, \quad x, y \in I;$$

(iii) *assuming that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine and  $a = 1$ , if either*

$$b > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} \text{ exists,}$$

*or*

$$b < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} \text{ exists,}$$

*then the conclusion of (ii) remains valid.*

*Proof.* The  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affinity of  $f$ , that is the equality

$$a(\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y)) = \varphi(ax+b) + ay + b - \varphi(ay+b), \quad x, y \in I,$$

simplifies to

$$\varphi(ax+b) - a\varphi(x) = \varphi(ay+b) - a\varphi(y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

that is equivalent to the fact that, for some  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\varphi(ax+b) - a\varphi(x) = C, \quad x \in I.$$

To prove (ii) note that this equation can be written in the form

$$\left[ \varphi(ax+b) - \frac{C}{1-a} \right] = a \left[ \varphi(x) - \frac{C}{1-a} \right], \quad x \in I.$$

Setting  $\phi(x) := \varphi(x) - \frac{C}{1-a}$  we get

$$\phi(ax+b) = a\gamma(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Taking into account that  $f$  maps  $I$  into itself and considering the four possible cases  $I = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I$  is unbounded from below,  $I$  is unbounded from above, and  $I$  is bounded, it is easy to see that, without any loss of generality, we can assume that  $|a| < 1$ . It follows that  $x_0 := \frac{b}{1-a}$ , the only fixed point of  $f$  (which of course belongs to  $I$ ) is contractive. Moreover, setting  $x = x_0$  in the above equation gives  $\phi(x_0) = 0$ . Setting

$$\gamma(x) := \frac{\phi(x)}{x-x_0}, \quad x \in I, x \neq x_0,$$

in the above functional equation gives

$$\gamma(x) = \gamma(ax+b), \quad x \in I, x \neq x_0,$$

whence, by induction,

$$\gamma(x) = \gamma\left(a^n x + b \frac{1-a^n}{1-a}\right), \quad x \in I, x \neq x_0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The differentiability of  $\varphi$  at  $x_0$  implies the existence of  $p := \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \gamma(x)$ . Therefore, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above formula, we obtain  $\gamma(x) = p$  for  $x \in I \setminus \{x_0\}$ , and the proof of (ii) is completed.

We omit similar reasoning in the case (iii).  $\square$

*Remark 36.3.* According to Corollary 36.1, the function  $\varphi$  in the above result is Lipschitzian and, consequently, it is absolutely continuous. Nevertheless, in the second part of this theorem, the assumption of differentiability of  $\varphi$  at the fixed point of  $f$  is essential. In fact we have the following

**Proposition 36.1.** *Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  be fixed. Suppose that  $\varphi_0 : [a, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, nonexpansive and such that  $\varphi_0(a) = a\varphi_0(1)$ . Then there exists a unique increasing nonexpansive function  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\varphi|_{[a,1]} = \varphi_0$ , and the function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = ax$ , is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine.*

*Proof.* Since

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (a^{k+1}, a^k] = (0, \infty), \quad (a^{k+1}, a^k] \cap (a^{m+1}, a^m] = \emptyset, \quad k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq m,$$

(where  $\mathbb{Z}$  stands for the set of integers), the function  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,



$$\varphi(x) := a^k \varphi_0(a^{-k}x), \quad x \in (a^{k+1}, a^k], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is correctly defined. Note that

$$\varphi(a^k) := a^k \varphi_0(1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and, for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the function  $\varphi$  is left-continuous at the point  $a^k$ . Since  $\varphi_0(a) = a\varphi_0(1)$ , we have

$$\varphi(a^k+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^k+} \varphi_0(a^{a^{-k+1}x}) = a^{k-1} \varphi_0(a) = a^k \varphi_0(1) = \varphi(a^k),$$

so the function  $\varphi$  is continuous at each point  $a^k$  and, consequently,  $\varphi$  is continuous. The increasing monotonicity of  $\varphi_0$  implies that, for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the function  $\varphi$  is increasing on the interval  $(a^{k+1}, a^k]$ . The continuity of  $\varphi$  implies that  $\varphi$  is increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ . Since  $\varphi_0$  is nonexpansive, for a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and arbitrary  $x, y \in (a^{k+1}, a^k]$ ,  $x < y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \varphi(y) - \varphi(x) &= a^k \left[ \varphi_0(a^{-k}y) - \varphi_0(a^{-k}x) \right] \\ &\leq a^k \left[ (a^{-k}y) - (a^{-k}x) \right] = y - x, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that  $\varphi$  is nonexpansive on every interval  $(a^{k+1}, a^k]$ . The continuity of  $\varphi$  at every point  $a^k$  implies that  $\varphi$  is nonexpansive on  $(0, \infty)$ . Thus we have shown that the function  $\varphi$  satisfies condition (i) of Remark 36.1 and, consequently,  $\mathscr{M}^{[\varphi]}$  is a mean.

Now take arbitrary  $x > 0$ . There is a unique  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x \in (a^{k+1}, a^k]$ . Then  $ax \in (a^{k+2}, a^{k+1}]$  and, by the definition of  $\varphi$  we have

$$\varphi(ax) = a^{k+1} \varphi_0(a^{-k+1}(ax)) = a(a^k \varphi_0(a^{-k}x)) = a\varphi(x)$$

which proves that that mean  $\varphi(ax) - a\varphi(x)$  is constant on  $(0, \infty)$  and, in view of Theorem 36.5(i), the function  $f(x) = ax$  for  $x > 0$ , is  $\mathscr{M}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine.  $\square$

*Remark 36.4.* Proposition 36.1 gives a construction of all  $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = f(1)x$ , is  $\mathscr{M}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine. Moreover it shows that the converse of Theorem 36.5 is false.

Let us note the following

**Lemma 36.1.** *Let  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be a continuous mean. If there are  $a, b > 0$ ,  $a \neq 1 \neq b$ , such that  $\log(b-a)$  is irrational, and the functions  $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = ax$ ,  $g(x) = bx$  are  $M$ -affine, then  $M$  is positively homogeneous.*

*Proof.* By assumption we have  $M(ax, ay) = aM(x, y)$ ,  $M(bx, by) = bM(x, y)$  for all  $x, y > 0$ , whence, by induction,

$$M(a^k x, a^k y) = a^k M(x, y), \quad M(b^m x, b^m y) = b^m M(x, y), \quad k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

that is

$$M(tx,ty) = tM(x,y) , \quad t \in D, x,y > 0 ,$$

where  $D := \{a^k b^m : k,m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Since  $\log(b-a)$  is irrational, by Kronecker theorem,  $D$  is a dense in  $(0,\infty)$ . The continuity of  $M$  implies that  $M(tx,ty) = tM(x,y)$  for  $t,x,y > 0$ .  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of this lemma and Theorem 36.4 we obtain

**Theorem 36.6.** *Let  $I = (0,\infty)$  and suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. If there are  $a,b > 0, a \neq 1 \neq b$ , such that  $\log(b-a)$  is irrational, and the functions  $f,g : (0,\infty) \rightarrow (0,\infty), f(x) = ax, g(x) = bx$  are  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine, then  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a weighted arithmetic mean.*

**Theorem 36.7.** *Let  $I = \mathbb{R}$  and suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. If there are  $a,b > 0, a \neq 1 \neq b$ , such that  $a/b$  is irrational, and the functions  $f,g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x+a, g(x) = x+b$  are  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine, then  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a weighted arithmetic mean.*

In connection with the above two result note that the conditions under which the simultaneous system of functional equations

$$\varphi(Ax + \alpha) = a\varphi(x) , \quad \varphi(Bx + \beta) = b\varphi(x)$$

has a nontrivial continuous solution is given in [8].

### 36.5 $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -convex functions

**Definition 36.2.** (Aczél [1], Aumann [3], also [9]) Let  $I,J \subset \mathbb{R}, I \subset J$ , be intervals and  $M : I^2 \rightarrow I$  be a mean. A function  $f : J \rightarrow I$  is said to be

1<sup>0</sup> convex with respect to  $M$ , briefly  $M$ -convex, if

$$f(M(x,y)) \leq M(f(x),f(y)) , \quad x,y \in J ,$$

2<sup>0</sup>  $M$ -concave, if

$$f(M(x,y)) \geq M(f(x),f(y)) , \quad x,y \in J .$$

**Proposition 36.2.** *Let  $I,J \subset \mathbb{R}, I \subset J$ , be intervals and  $M,N : I^2 \rightarrow I$  some means.*

1<sup>0</sup> *If a decreasing function  $f : J \rightarrow I$  is  $M$ -convex and  $M \leq N$ , then  $f$  is  $N$ -convex.*

2<sup>0</sup> *If a decreasing function  $f : J \rightarrow I$  is  $M$ -convex and  $M \leq N$ , then  $f$  is  $N$ -convex.*

*Proof.* Applying in turn: the inequality  $M \leq N$  and the decreasing monotonicity of  $f$ , the  $M$ -convexity of  $f$  and again the inequality  $M \leq N$ , we get, for all  $x,y \in J$ ,

$$f(N(x, y)) \leq f(M(x, y)) \leq M(f(x), f(y)) \leq N(f(x), f(y)),$$

which proves  $1^0$ . The proof of  $2^0$  is similar. □

From Property 36.1(ii) we obtain

**Corollary 36.2.** *Let  $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I \subset J$ , be intervals. Suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing and there is  $p \in (0, 1)$  such that either*

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) \leq px + (1 - p)y, \quad x, y \in I,$$

or

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) \geq px + (1 - p)y, \quad x, y \in I.$$

If  $f : J \rightarrow I$  is  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -convex ( $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -concave), then  $f$  is Jensen-convex (resp. Jensen concave).

*Proof.* If  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) \leq px + (1 - p)y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then, in view of Property 36.2(ii) with  $\gamma(x) = px$  ( $x \in I$ ), we have  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) = px + (1 - p)y$  for all  $x, y \in I$ . It follows that

$$f(px + (1 - p)y) \leq pf(x) + (1 - p)f(y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

By Kuhn's theorem [6],  $f$  satisfies the inequality with  $p$  replaced by  $1/2$ , i.e.  $f$  is Jensen convex (for a simple proof of Kuhn's result see Daróczy and Páles [4]). □

**Theorem 36.8.** *Let  $I = (0, \infty)$  and suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing.*

- (i) *The function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = f(1)x$ , is  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -convex iff it is  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -afine.*
- (ii) *Let  $C_\varphi$  denote the set of all  $a > 0$  such that the function  $f(x) = ax$  ( $x > 0$ ) is  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -convex. Then*

$$\text{either } C_\varphi = (0, \infty) \quad \text{or} \quad C_\varphi = \{d^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \text{ for some } d > 0.$$

*Proof.* 1) Put  $a := f(1)$ . By the definition of  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$  the function  $f(x) = ax$  ( $x \in I$ ) is  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -convex iff

$$a(\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y)) \leq \varphi(ax) + ay - \varphi(ay), \quad x, y \in I,$$

that is, iff

$$a\varphi(x) - \varphi(ax) \leq a\varphi(y) - \varphi(ay), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Obviously, this inequality is equivalent to the equality

$$a\varphi(x) - \varphi(ax) = a\varphi(y) - \varphi(ay), \quad x, y \in I,$$

which holds iff

$$a(\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y)) \leq \varphi(ax) + ay - \varphi(ay), \quad x, y \in I,$$

that is iff  $f$  is  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine. Now the first part follows from Theorem 36.5(i).

2) Suppose that  $a, b \in C_\varphi$ . Then, according to what has been already shown, the functions  $f(x) = ax, g(x) = bx$  ( $x > 0$ ) are  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine. In view of Remark 36.2, the function  $f \circ g^{-1}$  is also  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -affine and, consequently,  $ab^{-1} \in C_\varphi$ . It follows that  $C_\varphi$  is a subgroup of the multiplicative group  $(0, \infty)$ . It is obvious that every such a subgroup is either dense or discrete. If  $C_\varphi$  is discrete then, which is a well known fact, it must be a cyclic subgroup of  $(0, \infty)$ , i.e. there is a number  $d > 0$  such that  $C_\varphi = \{d^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $\square$

### 36.6 Translativity, subtranslativity and supertranslativity of $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$

Recall that a mean  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is *translative* (cf. Aczél [2, p. 234]) if

$$M(x+t, y+t) = M(x, y) + t, \quad x, y, t > 0.$$

We call a mean  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  *subtranslative* if

$$M(x+t, y+t) \leq M(x, y) + t, \quad x, y, t > 0;$$

and *supertranslative* if the reversed inequality holds true.

**Theorem 36.9.** *Let  $I = (0, \infty)$  and suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is subtranslative;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is supertranslative;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is translative;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is a weighted arithmetic mean.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is subtranslative. Then from the definition of  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  we easily get

$$\varphi(x+t) + \varphi(y) \leq \varphi(y+t) + \varphi(x), \quad x, y, t > 0.$$

Interchanging  $x$  and  $y$  we infer that

$$\varphi(x+t) + \varphi(y) = \varphi(y+t) + \varphi(x), \quad x, y, t > 0,$$

whence

$$\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x) = \varphi(y+t) - \varphi(y), \quad x, y, t > 0.$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x) = \beta(t), \quad x, t > 0,$$

for some function  $\beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Solving this Pexider equation we conclude that, for some real constant  $c$ , the function  $\varphi - c$  is additive. The conditions on  $\varphi$  imply that

$$\varphi(x) = px - c \quad (x > 0)$$

for some  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Now all the remaining statements are obvious.  $\square$

### 36.7 Subadditivity and superadditivity of $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$

The subadditivity and superadditivity of a mean plays important role in the theory of convexity with respect to the mean. Suppose, for instance, that  $M : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a superadditive mean and  $I \subset (0, \infty)$  is an open interval. It is shown in [9] that if  $f, g : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  are  $M$ -convex in  $I$ , then  $f + g$  is  $M$ -convex.

**Theorem 36.10.** *Let  $I = (0, \infty)$  and suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. The following conditions are equivalent*

- (i) *the mean  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is subadditive (or superadditive);*
- (ii) *there are  $p \in [0, 1]$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\varphi(x) = px + c, \quad x > 0;$$

- (iii) *there is  $p \in [0, 1]$  such that*

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y) = px + (1 - p)y, \quad x, y > 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$  is subadditive, i.e.

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) \leq \mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x_1, y_1) + \mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}(x_2, y_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

Hence, by the definition of  $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ ,

$$\varphi(x_1 + x_2) - \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) \leq \varphi(y_1 + y_2) - \varphi(y_1) - \varphi(y_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

which, obviously implies that there is a constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\varphi(x_1 + x_2) - \varphi(x_1) - \varphi(x_2) = -c, \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

Writing this equality in the form

$$\varphi(x_1 + x_2) - c = [\varphi(x_1) - c] + [\varphi(x_2) - c], \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

we conclude that  $\varphi - c$  is additive. Since the remaining statements are obvious, the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 36.8 Invariance of the arithmetic mean with respect to the mean-type mappings of $\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}$ -type

Let  $M, N : I^2 \rightarrow I$  be means. A mean  $K : I^2 \rightarrow I$  is called *invariant with respect to the mean-type mapping*  $(M, N) : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$  (briefly,  $K$  is  $(M, N)$ -invariant), if

$$K(M(x, y), N(x, y)) = K(x, y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

The invariant mean is useful when we are looking for the limits of the sequence of iterates of the mean-type mapping  $(M, N) : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$  (cf. [10]).

Let us note that the proportion

$$x : \frac{x+y}{2} = \frac{2xy}{x+y} : y,$$

the base of the theory of harmony made by Pythagorean school, can be written in the form

$$\sqrt{\frac{x+y}{2} \cdot \frac{2xy}{x+y}} = \sqrt{xy}.$$

Setting

$$A(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}, \quad H(x, y) = \frac{2xy}{x+y}, \quad G(x, y) = \sqrt{xy}$$

for the arithmetic, harmonic and geometric mean, respectively, we hence get

$$G \circ (A, H) = G$$

which says that the geometric mean  $G$  is  $(A, H)$ -invariant. This fact allows to determine effectively the limit of the sequence of iterates  $((A, H)^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the mean-type mapping  $(A, H) : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)^2$ .

We prove the following

**Theorem 36.11.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval,  $p \in (0, 1)$ , and  $M : I^2 \rightarrow I$  be a mean. Suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. The weighted arithmetic mean  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is invariant with respect to the mean-type mapping  $(\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}, M)$ , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{A}_p \circ (\mathscr{W}^{[\varphi]}, M) = \mathcal{A}_p,$$

if, and only if,

$$M = \mathscr{W}^{[\psi]}$$

where, for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\psi(x) = \frac{p}{1-p}(x - \varphi(x)) + c, \quad x \in I.$$

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is  $(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}, M)$ -invariant then, by the definition of the involved means, we have

$$p[\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y)] + (1-p)M(x, y) = px + (1-p)y, \quad x, y \in I,$$

whence, after simple calculations,

$$M(x, y) = \frac{p}{1-p}(x - \varphi(x)) + \left[ y - \frac{p}{1-p}(y - \varphi(y)) \right], \quad x, y \in I,$$

and, consequently,

$$M(x, y) = \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}(x, y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

where, by Property 36.2(iii), there is  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\psi(x) = \frac{p}{1-p}(x - \varphi(x)) + c, \quad x \in I.$$

The converse implication is easy to verify.  $\square$

Hence, applying the main result of [10] we obtain

**Corollary 36.3.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval,  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. If*

$$\psi(x) = \frac{p}{1-p}(x - \varphi(x)) + c, \quad x \in I,$$

*then  $\psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \psi$  are nondecreasing, and the sequence of iterates*

$$\left( \left( \mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}, \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]} \right)^n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

*of the mean-type mapping  $(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}, \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]})$  converges to the mean-type mapping  $(\mathcal{A}_p, \mathcal{A}_p)$ .*

**Corollary 36.4.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. Suppose that  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing and  $F : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on the diagonal  $\{(x, x) : x \in I\}$ . Then the function  $F$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$F(\varphi(x) + y - \varphi(y), x - \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)) = F(x, y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

*if, and only if, there is a continuous function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$F(x, y) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in I.$$

*Proof.* Putting  $\psi(x) = x - \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in I$ , we can write the above functional equation in the form

$$F(x, y) = F\left(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y), \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}(x, y)\right), \quad x, y \in I,$$

whence, by induction,

$$F(x, y) = F\left(\left(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y), \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}(x, y)\right)^n\right), \quad x, y \in I, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\left(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}(x, y), \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}(x, y)\right)^n = \left(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}, \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}\right)^n(x, y)$$

and  $\left(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}, \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}\right)^n$  is the  $n$ th iterate of the mean-type mapping  $\left(\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}, \mathcal{W}^{[\psi]}\right)$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and, applying the previous corollary for  $p = 1/2$  and making use of the continuity of  $F$ , we obtain

$$F(x, y) = F\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}\right) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right), \quad x, y \in I,$$

where  $f(x) := F(x, x)$  for  $x \in I$ . The converse implication is easy to verify.  $\square$

### 36.9 A generalization of the weighted quasi-arithmetic means

We begin this section with the following easy to verify

*Remark 36.5.* Let  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and  $\gamma: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous strictly monotonic function and let  $I = \gamma(J)$ . Suppose that  $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing. Then the function  $M_{\gamma, \varphi}: J^2 \rightarrow J$ ,

$$M_{\gamma, \varphi}(x, y) := \gamma^{-1}\left(\varphi(\gamma(x)) + \gamma(y) - \varphi(\varphi(y))\right), \quad x, y \in J,$$

is a mean.

For  $\varphi(x) = px$  with  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the mean  $M_{\gamma, \varphi}$  reduces to the weighted quasi-arithmetic mean.

We prove the following

**Theorem 36.12.** *Let  $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable strictly monotonic and suppose that a differentiable  $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\text{id}_I - \varphi$  are nondecreasing in  $I := \gamma([0, \infty))$ . Then the mean  $M_{\gamma, \varphi}$  is homogeneous if, and only if, there is  $p \in [0, 1]$  such that*

$$M_{\gamma, \varphi}(x, y) = px + (1-p)y, \quad x, y \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M_{\gamma, \varphi}$  is homogeneous, i.e.

$$M_{\gamma, \varphi}(tx, ty) = tM_{\gamma, \varphi}(x, y), \quad x, y, t \geq 0.$$

By the definition of  $M_{\gamma, \varphi}$  we can write this equality in the form



$$\gamma^{-1}(\phi(\gamma(tx)) + \gamma(ty) - \phi(\phi(ty))) = t\gamma^{-1}(\phi(\gamma(x)) + \gamma(y) - \phi(\phi(y)))$$

for  $x, y, t \geq 0$ . Differentiating both sides with respect to  $t$  and then setting  $t = 0$  and  $p := \phi'[\gamma(0)]$  gives  $\gamma^{-1}(\phi(\gamma(x)) + \gamma(y) - \phi(\phi(y))) = px + (1-p)y$  for  $x, y \geq 0$ .  $\square$

### 36.10 Finite dimensional counterparts of $\mathscr{W}[\phi]$

In a similar way as Theorem 36.1 we can prove the following

**Theorem 36.13.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the function  $M : I^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_k) := \phi_1(x_1) + \dots + \phi_k(x_k)$$

is a mean, i.e.

$$\min(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq M(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq \max(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in I,$$

if, and only if, the functions  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$  are nondecreasing and

$$\phi_1(x) + \dots + \phi_k(x) = x, \quad x \in I.$$

Thus, if the nondecreasing functions  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are summing up to the identity, the function

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1}]}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i(x_i) + x_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i(x_k), \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in I,$$

is a mean a  $k$ -variable mean in  $I$ .

Let us note the following easy to show

**Theorem 36.14.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. Suppose that the functions  $\phi_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and*

$$\text{id}|_I - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \phi_i,$$

are nondecreasing. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i) the mean  $\mathscr{W}^{[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1}]}$  is symmetric;
- (ii) the mean  $\mathscr{W}^{[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1}]}$  is subadditive;
- (iii) there are  $p_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$  and

$$\mathscr{W}^{[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{k-1}]}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i, \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in I.$$

*Remark 36.6.* Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. Suppose that the functions  $\varphi_i, \psi_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and

$$\text{id}|_I - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varphi_i, \quad \text{id}|_I - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \psi_i$$

are nondecreasing. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $\mathcal{W}[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}] \leq \mathcal{W}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}]$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{W}[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}] = \mathcal{W}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}]$ .

*Proof.* Assume that inequality (i) holds true. From the definition of  $\mathcal{W}[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}]$  we have

$$\mathcal{W}[\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}](x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\varphi_i(x_i) - \varphi_i(x_k)] + x_k, \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in I,$$

and, similarly,

$$\mathcal{W}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}](x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [\psi_i(x_i) - \psi_i(x_k)] + x_k, \quad x_1, \dots, x_k \in I.$$

Let us fix arbitrarily  $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  and take  $x, y \in I$ . Hence, putting  $x_i = x_k = y$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , in inequality (i) we get

$$\varphi_j(x) - \varphi_j(y) \leq \psi_j(x) - \psi_j(y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

whence

$$\varphi_j(x) - \psi_j(x) \leq \varphi_j(y) - \psi_j(y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Since  $x, y \in I$  are arbitrary, it follows that

$$\varphi_j(x) - \psi_j(x) = \varphi_j(y) - \psi_j(y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ . □

### 36.11 Final remark and some questions

For a fixed  $p \in (0, 1)$  denote the weighted arithmetic mean

$$\mathcal{A}_p(x, y) = px + (1-p)y, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Daróczy and Páles [4] observed the following identity

$$\mathcal{A}_p \left( \mathcal{A}_p \left( \frac{x+y}{2}, x \right), \mathcal{A}_p \left( y, \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{x+y}{2}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

which appears very useful in the theory of convex functions.

Note that if  $\gamma$  is continuous strictly increasing in an interval  $I$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$\mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]} \left( \mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]} \left( \mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]}(x), \mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]} \left( y, \mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]} \right) \right) \right) = \mathcal{A}_p^{[\gamma]}(x, y), \quad x, y \in I,$$

which reduces to the previous identity for  $\gamma = id|_I$ .

In this connection the following problems arise.

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and let  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $id_I - \varphi$  be strictly increasing.

**Problem 36.1.** Suppose that the following equation

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \left( \mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \left( \frac{x+y}{2}, x \right), \mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \left( y, \frac{x+y}{2} \right) \right) = \frac{x+y}{2}, \quad x, y \in I,$$

is satisfied. Does it imply that  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{A}_p$  for some  $p \in (0, 1)$ ?

**Problem 36.2.** Do there exist  $\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]}$ , being not weighted quasi-arithmetic, and a quasi-arithmetic mean  $\mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]}$  such that

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \left( \mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \left( \mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]}(x, y), x \right), \mathcal{W}^{[\varphi]} \left( y, \mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]}(x, y) \right) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{[\gamma]}(x, y), \quad x, y \in I?$$

## References

1. Aczél, J.: A generalization of the notion of convex functions. D.K.N.V.S Forh **19**, 87–90 (1946)
2. Aczél, J.: Functional equations and their applications. Academic Press, New York–London (1966)
3. Aumann, G.: Aufbau von Mittelwerten mehrerer Argumente I. Math. Ann. **109**, 235–253 (1934)
4. Daróczy, Z., Páles, Zs.: Convexity with given infinite weight sequences. Stochastica **11**, 5–12 (1987)
5. Kuczma, M.: An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equations and Jensen Inequality. Uniwersytet Śląski–PWN, Warszawa–Kraków–Katowice (1985)
6. Kuhn, N.: A note on  $t$ -convex functions In: General Inequalities 4, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. **71**, 269–276 (1984)
7. Matkowski, J.: On  $a$ -Wright convexity and the converse of Minkowski's inequality. Aequationes Math. **43**, 106–112 (1992)
8. Matkowski, J.: On a system of simultaneous iterative functional equations. Ann. Math. Siles. **9**, 123–135 (1995)
9. Matkowski, J., Rätz, J.: Convex functions with respect to an arbitrary mean. Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. **123**, 249–259 (1997)
10. Matkowski, J.: Iterations of mean-type mappings and invariant means. Ann. Math. Siles. **13**, 211–226 (1999)
11. Ng, C.T.: On midconvex functions with midconcave bounds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **102**, 538–540 (1988).

