



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa


Mean-type mappings and invariant curves

 Janusz Matkowski^{a,b,*}
^a Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Sciences and Econometrics, University of Zielona Góra, Podgórna 50, PL-65246 Zielona Góra, Poland

^b Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University, Bankowa 14, PL-40007 Katowice, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 February 2011

Available online 6 June 2011

Submitted by M. Laczko

Keywords:

Mean

Mean-type mapping

Invariant curve

Invariant mean

Iteration

Functional equation

ABSTRACT

The problem of invariant curves for continuous mean-type mappings is considered. The obtained results are applied in solving some functional equations.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

To recall the problem of invariant curves, assume that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a domain, and $T : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $T = (f, g)$ – a mapping. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval and $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function such that $C := \{(x, \varphi(x)) : x \in I\}$, the graph of φ , is contained in D . If the transform T maps C into itself, i.e. if

$$\varphi[f(x, \varphi(x))] = g(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in I, \quad (1.1)$$

the graph C is called an *invariant* (or *T-invariant*) *curve*. Eq. (1.1), where φ is unknown, is called a *functional equation on invariant curves*.

The Lipschitzian solutions of this equation, by means of the Banach contraction principle, were considered by Montel [17] (cf. also Hadamard [7], Kuczma [9], Lattès [10]).

The continuous solutions of Eq. (1.1), in various special cases, were considered, for instance, by Dhombres [5], Jarczyk [8], Matkowski and Okrześik [15,16] (cf. Final remarks).

In this paper we examine the continuous solutions of Eq. (1.1) assuming that T is a continuous mean-type mapping, that is $T = (M, N)$, where M and N are continuous means. The key tool, Theorem 1 on convergence of the sequence of iterates of mean-type mapping (M, N) to a unique continuous (M, N) -invariant mean, is presented in Section 2. The main results on invariant curves, contained in Section 3, are proved without any additional regularity conditions. In Section 4 we apply them to solve some composite functional equations.

* Correspondence to: Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Sciences and Econometrics, University of Zielona Góra, Podgórna 50, PL-65246 Zielona Góra, Poland.

E-mail address: J.Matkowski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl.

2. Preliminaries

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A function $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *mean* on I if, for all $x, y \in I$,

$$\min(x, y) \leq M(x, y) \leq \max(x, y).$$

A mean M in I is called *strict* if these inequalities are sharp whenever $x \neq y$.

If $I = (0, \infty)$ we say that a mean M in I is *positively homogeneous* if

$$M(tx, ty) = tM(x, y), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Note the following easy to verify

Remark 1. Let $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (i) M is a mean;
- (ii) $M(J^2) \subset J$ for every subinterval $J \subset I$;
- (iii) $M(J^2) = J$ for every subinterval $J \subset I$.

Hence we have

Remark 2. If $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mean then M maps I^2 onto I and, moreover, M is reflexive, that is, for all $x \in I$,

$$M(x, x) = x.$$

Let us also note the following

Remark 3. If a function $M : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is reflexive and (strictly) increasing with respect to each variable, then M is a (strict) mean I .

In the sequel a mean $M : I^2 \rightarrow I$ is called *increasing* (*strictly increasing*) if it is increasing (resp. strictly increasing) with respect to each variable.

A mapping $\mathbf{M} : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$ is referred to as *mean-type*, if $\mathbf{M} = (M, N)$ for some means $M, N : I^2 \rightarrow I$. We say that the mean-type mapping is *strict* (*positively homogeneous*) if both its coordinate means M and N are strict (positively homogeneous).

Put $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

If $\mathbf{M} : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$ is a mean-type mapping then, clearly, the sequence $(\mathbf{M}^n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of the iterates of \mathbf{M} ,

$$\mathbf{M}^0 := \text{Id}|_{I^2}; \quad \mathbf{M}^{n+1} := \mathbf{M} \circ \mathbf{M}^n \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

is well defined.

Given a mean-type mapping $\mathbf{M} : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$, $\mathbf{M} = (M, N)$, and a mean $K : I^2 \rightarrow I$, we say that K is *invariant with respect to the mean-type mapping \mathbf{M}* , briefly, *\mathbf{M} -invariant*, if

$$K \circ \mathbf{M} = K,$$

or, equivalently, if

$$K(M(x, y), N(x, y)) = K(x, y), \quad x, y \in I.$$

Let us quote the following two-dimensional counterpart of a more general result proved in [14].

Theorem 1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Suppose that $\mathbf{M} : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$, $\mathbf{M} = (M, N)$, is a continuous mean-type mapping such that, for all $x, y \in I$, $x \neq y$,

$$\max(M(x, y), N(x, y)) - \min(M(x, y), N(x, y)) < \max(x, y) - \min(x, y).$$

Then

- (i) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n -th iterate \mathbf{M}^n is a mean-type mapping of I^2 ;
- (ii) there is a continuous mean $K : I^2 \rightarrow I$ such that the sequence of iterates $(\mathbf{M}^n)_{n=0}^\infty$ converges, uniformly on compact subsets of I^2 , to the mean-type mapping $\mathbf{K} : I^2 \rightarrow I^2$, $\mathbf{K} = (K, K)$;
- (iii) K is \mathbf{M} -invariant;
- (iv) a continuous \mathbf{M} -invariant mean is unique;

- (v) if \mathbf{M} is strict then so is K ;
- (vi) if M and N are (strictly) increasing with respect to each variable then so is K ;
- (vii) if $I = (0, \infty)$ and M, N are positively homogeneous, then every iterate of \mathbf{M} and K are positively homogeneous.

Remark 4. This theorem generalizes the earlier results where beside the continuity, some additional conditions are assumed (cf. Borwein and Borwein [1, Theorem 8.2, p. 244], where it is assumed that the means M and N are strict and comparable, also Bullen [2, p. 414]; Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles [3,4], where both means are strict; and [11–13] where it is assumed that at most one of the means is not strict).

Note that in Theorem 1 neither M nor N must be strict.

Recall also that Gauss cf. [6] was the first who considered the iterations of the mean-type mapping in a special case when M is the arithmetic mean and N is the geometric mean.

3. Main results

Theorem 2. Let $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}, J \subset I$, be intervals. Suppose that $M, N : I^2 \rightarrow I$ are continuous means such that, for all $x, y \in I, x \neq y$,

$$\max(M(x, y), N(x, y)) - \min(M(x, y), N(x, y)) < \max(x, y) - \min(x, y).$$

If the graph of a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ is an invariant curve under the mean-type mapping (M, N) , that is, if

$$\varphi[M(x, \varphi(x))] = N(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J, \tag{3.1}$$

then φ satisfies the functional equation

$$\varphi[K(x, \varphi(x))] = K(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J, \tag{3.2}$$

where K is a unique continuous (M, N) -invariant mean.

Proof. By Theorem 1, there is a unique continuous (M, N) -invariant mean K in I . Put $(M_n, N_n) := (M, N)^n$ for the n -th iterate of (M, N) ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and assume that the graph of a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ is an invariant curve under the transformation (M, N) , that is (3.1) holds true. Note that

$$\varphi[M_n(x, \varphi(x))] = N_n(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.3}$$

Indeed, by (3.1) this equality is true for $n = 1$. Assume that (3.3) holds true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$(M_{n+1}, N_{n+1}) = (M \circ (M_n, N_n), N \circ (M_n, N_n)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

making use of (3.3) and (3.1) we get, for all $x \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi[M_{n+1}(x, \varphi(x))] &= \varphi[M(M_n(x, \varphi(x)), N_n(x, \varphi(x)))] \\ &= \varphi[M(M_n(x, \varphi(x)), \varphi[M_n(x, \varphi(x))])] \\ &= N(M_n(x, \varphi(x)), N_n(x, \varphi(x))) \\ &= N_{n+1}(x, \varphi(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and the induction completes the proof of (3.3). Now, by the continuity of φ , letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Theorem 1, we obtain (3.2). \square

Remark 5. In general, the mean-type mappings are not nonexpansive. Therefore this result cannot be deduced from the Montel theorem [17] (cf. also Kuczma [9]). Moreover, we assume the continuity of the map T and the invariant curve. In [17,9], under the assumption of the Lipschitz-continuity of T , the Lipschitz-continuous invariant curves are considered.

Theorem 3. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $K : I^2 \rightarrow I$ a continuous strictly increasing mean, and $M, N : I^2 \rightarrow I$ continuous and strict means. Then:

- (i) For every $c \in I$, the set

$$I_K(c) := \{x \in I : K(x, y) = c \text{ for some } y \in I\}$$

is a nontrivial subinterval of I ,

$$c \in \text{Int } I_K(c),$$

and there exists exactly one function $\varphi_{K,c} : I_K(c) \rightarrow I$ such that

$$K(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x)) = c, \quad x \in I_K(c); \tag{3.4}$$

moreover $\varphi_{K,c}$ is continuous, strictly decreasing, and

$$\varphi_{K,c}(c) = c.$$

- (ii) If K is invariant with respect to the mean-type mapping (M, N) , then for every $c \in I$, the graph of the function $\varphi_{K,c}$ is an invariant curve with respect to the map (M, N) .
- (iii) Suppose that K is (M, N) -invariant. If $J \subset I$ is an interval and the graph of a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ is an invariant curve with respect to the map (M, N) , that is if (3.2):

$$\varphi[M(x, \varphi(x))] = N(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J,$$

then either there is a unique $c \in I$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_{K,c}|_J$, that is φ is the restriction of $\varphi_{K,c}$ described above to J , where K is a unique continuous (M, N) -invariant mean, or there are $a, b \in \text{cl } I$, $-\infty \leq a \leq b \leq +\infty$, such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{K,a}(x) & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, a] \cap J, \\ x & \text{for } x \in (a, b) \cap J, \\ \varphi_{K,b}(x) & \text{for } x \in [b, \infty) \cap J. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Ad. (i). Take $c \in I$. Since $K(c, c) = c$, we conclude that $c \in I_K(c)$, so $I_K(c)$ is non-empty. There are $x, y \in I_K(c)$ such that $x < c < y$. In the opposite case we would have either $K(x, y) < c$ for all $x, y \in I$, $x < y$, or $K(x, y) > c$ for all $x, y \in I$, $x < y$. Indeed, the set $K(\{(x, y) \in I^2 : x < y\})$, as a continuous image of the connected set, is an interval. Hence, by the continuity of K , we would get that either $K(x, x) = x \leq c$ for all $x \in I$ or $K(x, x) = x \geq c$ for all $x \in I$. This is impossible as $K(x, x) = x$ for all $x \in I$ and $c \in I = \text{Int } I$. This proves that $I_K(c)$ is not a singleton.

To show that $I_K(c)$ is an interval take arbitrary points $x_1 < x_2$ of $I_K(c)$ and fix $x \in]x_1, x_2[$. Then there exist elements y_1 and y_2 of I such that

$$K(x_1, y_1) = c = K(x_2, y_2).$$

Clearly, $y_1 > y_2$ by the strict monotonicity of K . Consider now the continuous function $h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(y) := h(x, y)$. Applying again the strict monotonicity of K , we have

$$h(y_1) = K(x, y_1) > K(x_1, y_1) = c;$$

$$h(y_2) = K(x, y_2) > K(x_1, y_1) = c.$$

The Darboux property of h guarantees the existence of an element $y \in I$ such that $h(y) = c$; that is $K(x, y) = c$. This means that $x \in I_K(c)$, which was to be proved.

By the strict monotonicity of K , for every $x \in I_K(c)$ there is a unique $y \in I$ such that $K(x, y) = c$. Thus there exists a unique function $\varphi_{K,c} : I_K(c) \rightarrow I$ such that

$$K(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x)) = c, \quad x \in I_K(c).$$

The assumed increasing monotonicity of K implies that $\varphi_{K,c}$ is strictly decreasing. Take $x_0 \in I_K(c)$, $x_0 > \inf I_K(c)$, and an increasing sequence (x_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0$. By the monotonicity of $\varphi_{K,c}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{K,c}(x_n) = \varphi_{K,c}(x_0-).$$

Hence, by the continuity of K and the definition of $\varphi_{K,c}$,

$$K(x_0, \varphi_{K,c}(x_0-)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K(x_n, \varphi_{K,c}(x_n)) = c,$$

whence $\varphi_{K,c}(x_0-) = \varphi_{K,c}(x_0)$. Thus $\varphi_{K,c}$ is left continuous at x_0 . In the same way we can show that $\varphi_{K,c}$ is right continuous at every point $x_0 \in I_K(c)$ such that $x_0 < \sup I_K(c)$.

Ad. (ii). In view of (M, N) -invariance of K and (3.4) we have

$$K(M(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x)), N(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x))) = K(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x)) = c,$$

for all $x \in I_K(c)$, whence, by the uniqueness of $\varphi_{K,c}$ proved in part (i),

$$\varphi_{K,c}(M(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x))) = N(x, \varphi_{K,c}(x)), \quad x \in I_K(c).$$

Ad. (iii). Let $J \subset I$ be an interval and suppose that a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ satisfies Eq. (3.1). In view of Theorem 1, the mean K is a unique continuous (M, N) -invariant mean. By Theorem 2 the function φ satisfies the functional equation (3.2):

$$\varphi[K(x, \varphi(x))] = K(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J.$$

Clearly, the range of the function $J \ni x \rightarrow K(x, \varphi(x))$ is an interval of the endpoints $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, $a \leq b$. If $a = b$, then this function is constant, and setting $c := a$, we obtain

$$K(x, \varphi(x)) = c, \quad x \in J.$$

Since K is strictly increasing, according to part (i) of our result, we have $\varphi(x) = \varphi_{K,c}(x)$ for all $x \in J \cap I_K(c)$ and, obviously, $J \subset I_K(c)$.

If $a < b$ then, setting $z := K(x, \varphi(x))$ in Eq. (3.2), we get

$$\varphi(z) = z, \quad z \in J \cap (a, b).$$

Now it is obvious that the function $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ defined by formula (3.5) satisfies Eq. (3.2). This completes the proof. \square

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem we obtain

Corollary 1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and $M, N : I^2 \rightarrow I$ be means which are continuous and strictly increasing in each variable. Suppose that $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ is monotonic and continuous.

Then the graph of the function φ is an (M, N) -invariant curve if, and only if, either there is a unique $c \in I$ such that $\varphi = \varphi_{K,c}|_J$, where K is a unique continuous (M, N) -invariant mean, or

$$\varphi(x) = x, \quad x \in J.$$

We end this section with the following easy to verify

Remark 6. Using Theorem 3 one can easily find all (M, N) -invariant curves the graphs of which need not be the graphs of functions.

4. Application in solving some functional equations in a single variable

Proposition 1. Let $f, g : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be given continuous functions and let $J \subset (0, \infty)$ be an interval.

(i) If a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\varphi\left(\frac{xf(x, \varphi(x)) + \varphi(x)g(x, \varphi(x))}{f(x, \varphi(x)) + g(x, \varphi(x))}\right) = \frac{x\varphi(x)[f(x, \varphi(x)) + g(x, \varphi(x))]}{xf(x, \varphi(x)) + \varphi(x)g(x, \varphi(x))}, \quad x \in J, \tag{4.1}$$

then

$$\varphi(\sqrt{x\varphi(x)}) = \sqrt{x\varphi(x)}, \quad x \in J. \tag{4.2}$$

(ii) A continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies Eq. (4.2) if, and only if, either there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{c^2}{x}, \quad x \in J,$$

or there are $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $0 \leq a < b \leq +\infty$, such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{a^2}{x} & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, a] \cap J, \\ x & \text{for } x \in (a, b) \cap J, \\ \frac{b^2}{x} & \text{for } x \in [b, \infty) \cap J. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

(iii) A continuous and monotonic function $\varphi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies Eq. (4.1) if, and only if, either there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{c^2}{x}, \quad x \in J,$$

or

$$\varphi(x) = x, \quad x \in J.$$

Proof. Put

$$M(x, y) := \frac{xf(x, y) + yg(x, y)}{f(x, y) + g(x, y)}, \quad N(x, y) := \frac{xy[f(x, y) + g(x, y)]}{xf(x, y) + yg(x, y)}, \quad x, y > 0.$$

It is easily seen that $M, N : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are continuous and strict means. Since

$$\sqrt{M(x, y)N(x, y)} = \sqrt{xy}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

the geometric mean $K(x, y) := \sqrt{xy}$ is (M, N) -invariant. Suppose that $\varphi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies Eq. (4.1). Then the graph of a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ is an invariant curve under the mean-type mapping (M, N) . Since K is strictly increasing, in view of Theorem 2, the function φ satisfies Eq. (3.2) with the geometric mean K , that is

$$\varphi(\sqrt{x\varphi(x)}) = \sqrt{x\varphi(x)}, \quad x \in J,$$

which completes the proof of the first part. The second part and formula (4.3) follow from part (iii) of Theorem 3.

If φ is monotonic, then the domains of the functions φ_a and φ_b in formula (3.5) are at most the end-points of J . Part (ii) and the continuity of φ imply that either $\varphi(x) = \frac{c^2}{x}$ for all $x \in J$ or $\varphi(x) = x$ for all $x \in J$. A simple verification shows that these functions satisfy Eq. (4.1). The proof is completed. \square

Proposition 2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a continuous function and let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval.

(i) If a continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\varphi(xf(x, \varphi(x)) + \varphi(x)(1 - f(x, \varphi(x)))) = x(1 - f(x, \varphi(x))) + \varphi(x)f(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J, \tag{4.4}$$

then

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) = \frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}, \quad x \in J. \tag{4.5}$$

(ii) A continuous function $\varphi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Eq. (4.5) if, and only if, either there is $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = 2c - x, \quad x \in J,$$

or there are $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $0 \leq a < b \leq +\infty$, such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_a(x) & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, a] \cap J, \\ x & \text{for } x \in (a, b), \\ \varphi_b(x) & \text{for } x \in [b, \infty) \cap J, \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi_a : ((-\infty, a] \cap J) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\varphi_b : ([b, \infty) \cap J) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are some continuous functions such that

$$2a - x \leq \varphi_a(x) \leq 2b - x \quad \text{for } x \in (-\infty, a] \cap J; \quad 2a - x \leq \varphi_b(x) \leq 2b - x \quad \text{for } x \in [b, \infty) \cap J,$$

and

$$\varphi_a(a) = a, \quad \varphi_b(b) = b.$$

(iii) A continuous and monotonic function $\varphi : J \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies Eq. (4.4) if, and only if, either there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = 2c - x, \quad x \in J,$$

or

$$\varphi(x) = x, \quad x \in J.$$

Proof. Put

$$M(x, y) := xf(x, y) + (1 - f(x, y))y, \quad N(x, y) := (1 - f(x, y))x + f(x, y)y, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easily seen that $M, N : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and strict means. Since

$$M(x, y) + N(x, y) = x + y, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

the arithmetic mean $K(x, y) := \frac{x+y}{2}$ is (M, N) -invariant. Now we can argue similarly as in the proof of Proposition 2. \square

Remark 7. In the same way we can solve the functional equations

$$\varphi(M(x, \varphi(x))) = x + \varphi(x) - M(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x \in J,$$

where M is a continuous strict mean in an interval I , $J \subset I$, and $\varphi : J \rightarrow I$ is a continuous function.

Example 1. Consider the functional equation

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x + \varphi(x)}{2}\right) = \sqrt{x\varphi(x)}, \quad x > 0, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an unknown continuous function.

Putting $A(x, y) := \frac{x+y}{2}$ and $G(x, y) := \sqrt{xy}$, we can write this equation in the form

$$\varphi(A(x, \varphi(x))) = G(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x > 0,$$

which means that the graph of φ is an invariant curve under the mean mapping $(A, G) : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. According to a theorem of Gauss (cf. [6], cf. also [1]), the mean $K : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$,

$$K(x, y) := \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{x^2(\cos t)^2 + y^2(\sin t)^2}}\right)^{-1}, \quad x, y > 0,$$

is (A, G) -invariant. By Theorem 2 the function φ satisfies the functional equation

$$\varphi[K(x, \varphi(x))] = K(x, \varphi(x)), \quad x > 0.$$

Since A and G are strictly increasing, in view of Theorem 1, so is K . Thus to determine all continuous solutions φ of Eq. (4.6), in view of Theorem 3, it is enough to find the continuous and decreasing functions φ satisfying the equation $K(x, \varphi(x)) = c$ for $x > 0$, that is the equation

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{x^2(\cos t)^2 + [\varphi(x)]^2(\sin t)^2}} = \frac{\pi}{2c}, \quad x > 0,$$

where $c > 0$.

5. Final remarks

The continuous solutions of the functional equation

$$\varphi(x\varphi(x)) = [\varphi(x)]^2 \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \infty)$$

(called a division model of population) were established by Dhombres [5]. Note that this is a T -invariant curve equation where the mapping $T = (f, g)$ is such that $f(x, y) = xy$ and $g(x, y) = y^2$.

The continuous solutions of the functional equation

$$\varphi(x + \varphi(x)) = P(\varphi(x)),$$

stemming from the problem of invariant curves for the mapping $T = (f, g)$ with $f(x, y) = x + y$ and $g(x, y) = P(y)$ were considered by Jarczyk [8].

The continuous solutions of the invariant curve equation for the mappings $T(x, y) = (xG(y), [G(y)])$ and $T(x, y) = (x^r G(y), x^{p(r-1)}[G(y)]^p)$ where $p, r \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed and $G : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a given continuous function, have been established, respectively, in [15] and [16].

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the Silesian University Mathematical Department (Iterative Functional Equations and Real Analysis Programme). The author wishes to thank to the anonymous referee for valuable remarks.

References

- [1] J.M. Borwein, P.B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM*, Wiley–Interscience Publication, New York/Chichester/Brisbane/Toronto/Singapore, 1987.
- [2] P.S. Bullen, *Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities*, Math. Appl., vol. 560, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003.
- [3] Z. Daróczy, Zs. Páles, *Functional Equations – Results and Advances*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2002.
- [4] Z. Daróczy, Zs. Páles, Gauss-composition of means and the solution of the Matkowski–Sutô problem, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 61 (2002) 157–218.
- [5] J. Dhombres, *Some Aspects of Functional Equation*, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, 1979.
- [6] C.F. Gauss, Bestimmung der Anziehung eines elliptischen Ringen, in: *Nachlass zur Theorie des arithmetisch-geometrischen Mittels und der Modulfunktion*, Akad. Verlag, M.B.H., Leipzig, New York, 1927.
- [7] J. Hadamard, Sur l'itération et solutions asymptotiques des équation différentielles, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 29 (1901) 224–228.
- [8] W. Jarczyk, On continuous solutions of the equation of invariant curves, in: *Constantin Carathéodory: An International Tribute*, vols. I, II, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1991, pp. 527–542.
- [9] M. Kuczma, *Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 46, PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1968.
- [10] S. Lattès, Sur les courbes invariante par polaires réciproques, *Nouv. Ann. Math.* (4) 6 (1906) 308–312.
- [11] J. Matkowski, Iterations of mean-type mappings and invariant means, *Ann. Math. Sil.* 13 (1999) 211–226.
- [12] J. Matkowski, Invariant and complementary means, *Aequationes Math.* 57 (1999) 87–107.
- [13] J. Matkowski, On iterations of means and functional equations, in: *Iteration Theory (ECIT'04)*, in: *Grazer Math. Ber.*, vol. 350, 2006, pp. 184–197.
- [14] J. Matkowski, Iterations of the mean-type mappings, in: *Iteration Theory (ECIT'08)*, in: A.N. Sharkovsky, I.M. Sushko (Eds.), *Grazer Math. Ber.*, vol. 354, 2009, pp. 158–179.
- [15] J. Matkowski, J. Okrześ, On a composite functional equation, *Demonstratio Math.* 36 (2003) 653–658.
- [16] J. Matkowski, J. Okrześ, A composite functional equation and invariant means, *J. Appl. Anal.* 16 (2010) 121–133.
- [17] P. Montel, *Leçons sur les récurrences et leurs applications*, Gauthier–Villars, Paris, 1957.