

On the existence of a convex solution of the functional equation $\varphi(x) = f(\varphi, \sigma)(x, y)$

by E. KOENIG and J. MOURAHOV (Bratislava)

Abstract. In this paper we consider the functional equation $\varphi(x) = f(\varphi, \sigma)(x, y)$. Under some conditions we give conditions under which we obtain the existence of a convex solution $\varphi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(1) = 1$. It is assumed that $f(\varphi) = 1$.

In the present paper we consider the problem of the existence of a convex solution of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = f(\varphi, \sigma)(x, y),$$

where f and σ are given and φ is an unknown function.

A real function φ defined in a convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{y = 0\}$ is convex if for all x, σ, β and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)\sigma) \leq \lambda \varphi(x) + (1-\lambda)\varphi(\sigma).$$

We assume that

(H1) f is increasing, convex in an interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and

$$f(1) = 1, \quad f(\sigma) = 1 \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \sigma(I),$$

(H2) $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a convex set such that $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ is increasing with respect to each variable and converges to \mathbb{R}_+ and $f(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mathbb{R}_+$.

(H3) for every $x \in I$, $\exists \beta(x), \sigma(x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ where $\sigma(x) = (y(x), \varphi(x))$.

THEOREM 1. The convexity of f implies that the function $\varphi = \text{inf } \mathbb{R}_+$ is convex in I and that its $\text{sup } \mathbb{R}_+$ is convex in I . Moreover, if for a certain $x_0 \in I$ we have $\varphi(x_0) = +\infty$, then $\varphi(x) = +\infty$ for every $x \in I$. Similarly, if for a $x_0 \in I$ we have $\varphi(x_0) = -\infty$, then $\varphi = -\infty$ in I .

Thus the key condition for establishing in the following two cases $f(x) = 1$ and $f = 1$ is

(*) Here \mathbb{R}^2 is a linear metric space with the operations and the metric defined in [1]. We let $\sigma = (x, y) \rightarrow (x, \varphi(x))$ and $f = (x, y) \rightarrow f(x, y)$. Then $f \circ \sigma = (x, \varphi(x)) \rightarrow f(x, \varphi(x))$ denoted by $f(\varphi)$ and $\varphi(x) = \text{inf } \mathbb{R}_+ \circ f(\varphi) = \text{inf } \mathbb{R}_+ \circ f \circ \sigma = \text{inf } \mathbb{R}_+ \circ f \circ \sigma^{-1}$.

1. In this section we consider the singular case $J = 0$. We shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Suppose that (1) is closed and let conditions (C)-(III) be fulfilled. If for a certain $\alpha_0 \in I$ we have $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \subset J \subset \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, then there exists at least one increasing and convex function $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $g(\alpha) = 0$, fulfilling equation (2) in J .

PROOF. If we suppose that there exists a positive number ε_0 , such that

$$(i) \quad \alpha_0 \in \text{int} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon,$$

and let us put

$$(ii) \quad \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \varepsilon.$$

Then, we define the sequence α_n by the recurrent relation

$$(iii) \quad \alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \mathcal{H}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from (i) and (ii) that (iii) is \emptyset for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we have $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This together with (iii) is a problem $\mathcal{H}(\alpha_n, \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that the sequence $n \in \mathbb{N}$ will be all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if we have $\alpha_{n+1} \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$. In view of (i) this means that α_n is well defined in $(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Thus $\alpha_{n+1} \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ and according to (i) and (ii) we get

$$\alpha_{n+1} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}(\alpha_n, \alpha_n, \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon.$$

Hence, $\alpha_n \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove by induction that $\alpha_n \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ for each n , and hence (iii) follows that α_n is well defined in $(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$ for each n . It follows from (i) and (ii) (induction) that α_n is an increasing sequence of increasing and convex functions in $(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Since $J = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, Remark 2, $\alpha_n \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ is bounded for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus there exists a point $\alpha \in \text{int}(\alpha_n \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and, evidently, g is increasing and convex in $(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Taking into account (i), (ii) and (iii), we obtain $g(\alpha) = 0$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (ii), we see that g satisfies equation (2) in $(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. Using (i), (ii) and equation (2), we can extend this relation onto the whole interval I (compare M. Krasnosel'skiĭ the proof of a theorem of Kreĭn'skiĭ), the simple as we describe this extension by g . We shall prove that g is increasing and convex in J . Let α be the supremum of all β such that g is increasing in $(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\alpha)$. For the follow proof suppose that $\alpha < \alpha_0$. Since $J \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, it follows from the continuity of J that there exists $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$ such that $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha \in (\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon, \alpha_1)$. Thus, in view of (i) and (ii), we have $\text{int}(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \cap \mathcal{D}_\alpha) \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$.

$$g(\alpha) = \mathcal{H}(\alpha, \alpha, \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \mathcal{D}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon = g(\alpha_1).$$

⁽¹⁾ M. Krasnosel'skiĭ, *Functional operators in a convex cone*, *Uspehi Matem. Nauk*, 1959, 14, 193, Moscow 1959, p. 19.

Let φ be increasing in (β, η) . This contradiction completes the proof of the nonexistence of φ in L .

Now we denote by α the supremum of all α such that φ is convex in (β, η) and suppose that $\alpha < \alpha_0$. Since $f(\alpha) = \alpha$, it follows from the continuity of f that there exists $\alpha_1 > \alpha$ such that $f(\alpha_1) = \alpha$. Let $\alpha \in (\beta, \alpha_1)$. Then from the nonexistence of φ and from conditions (i), (ii) we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1 &= \alpha \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1 + (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) \\ &= \alpha \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1 + \lambda_3 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) \\ &= \alpha \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1 + \lambda_4 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) + \lambda_5 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) \\ &= \alpha \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1 + \lambda_6 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) + \lambda_7 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) \\ &= \lambda_8 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1) + \lambda_9 (\beta \lambda_1 \alpha_1 + \lambda_2 \alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ is convex in (β, α_1) . This contradiction proves that we must have $\alpha = \alpha_0$, or that φ is convex in L .

If now, suppose that there is no $\alpha > \beta$ such that (2) holds. Then according to the continuity of f , the function $\alpha(x) = f(x)$, has the following properties with respect to β :

(3) $\alpha(\beta) = \beta$, α is increasing and convex in L .

We define

(4) $\alpha(x) = \alpha(\beta)$, $x \in L$.

Using (3)-(4), it is easy to verify (directly) that the sequence (4) with α_0 defined above is well-defined for $\alpha > \beta$ and forms an increasing sequence of increasing and convex functions in J and such that $\alpha_0(\beta) = \beta$. Moreover, $\alpha_0(\beta) = (\alpha_0(\beta))^{-1} \alpha_0(\beta) = \beta$ for $\alpha > \beta$. Thus, the function $\alpha_0(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(x)$ for $\alpha > \beta$ is increasing, convex, fulfils equation (1) in J and condition $\alpha_0(\beta) = \beta$. This completes the proof.

3. In this section we assume that

(5) the group (G, Γ) , $\alpha(\beta) = \beta$ and there exists a $\beta > \beta_0$ such that $\alpha(\beta) > \beta$ for $\alpha \in (\beta, \beta_0)$.

It follows from (3) and (5) that there exist partial derivatives

$$\alpha_1(\beta, \beta_0) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \beta_0} \frac{\alpha(\beta) - \alpha(\beta_0)}{\beta - \beta_0}, \quad \alpha_2(\beta, \beta_0) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \beta_0} \frac{\alpha_1(\beta, \beta_0)}{\beta - \beta_0}.$$

By (3) we have

$$\alpha_1(\beta, \beta_0) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \beta_0} \frac{\alpha(\beta)}{\beta}.$$

We shall prove the following result.

THEOREM 4. Let condition (10) be fulfilled (7)

$$(7) \quad f''(0) + \alpha Q_0(0, 0) > 0.$$

Then there exists an increasing and convex function $p: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, fulfilling equation (1) on I and condition (4) on \mathbb{R} .

PROOF. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote

$$J = \alpha Q_0(0, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon > 0, \quad I = \alpha Q_0(0, 0) + \varepsilon > 0, \quad \nu = f''(0) + \varepsilon > 0.$$

In view of (7) we can choose the $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$(8) \quad J < I,$$

It follows from (8) and (7) that there exist a $\delta_0 > 0$ and a δ_1 such that

$$(9) \quad \alpha Q_0(x, y) \geq \alpha \nu y, \quad -\delta_0 \leq x \leq \delta_0,$$

and

$$(10) \quad f(x) \geq \alpha \nu x, \quad -\delta_1 \leq x \leq \delta_1.$$

Let us put

$$(11) \quad m = \alpha \nu \delta_0 - \alpha \nu^2 \delta_1^2,$$

$$(12) \quad \alpha = \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1 m\}$$

and denote by \mathcal{D} the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \alpha \nu x \leq y \leq \alpha \nu(x + m)\}.$$

It follows from (9) that $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $J_0 = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : y \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Obviously, $J_0 = (0, m)$. We shall show that

$$(13) \quad \alpha Q_0(x, \alpha \nu y) \in J_0, \quad \alpha \nu(x + m) \in J_0.$$

Take $y \in J_0 = (0, m)$. Then by (9), (10), (11) and (12) we obtain

$$\alpha \nu \alpha Q_0(x, \alpha \nu y) \geq \alpha \nu^2 y \geq \alpha \nu(x + \alpha \nu y) \geq \alpha \nu(x + m)$$

and (13) has been proved. Obviously, \mathcal{D} is closed and convex. If we put $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}$, then all the assumptions of Theorem 1 will be fulfilled. Then there exists an increasing and convex function $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, fulfilling equation (1) in \mathcal{E} , and condition (4) on \mathbb{R} . The solution has a unique extension onto the whole interval I , which may easily be obtained by using (9) and equation (1) (compare with Theorem 3). A similar argument as in Theorem 3 proves that this extension is increasing and convex in I . This completes the proof. \square

[7] M. Sion, *ib. id.*, p. 95 (Theorem 3.1).