

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications

With Compliments
of the Author

Zagreb, Croatia

Volume 12, Number 1, January 2009

Janusz Matkowski

A converse of the Hlder inequality theorem

MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES & APPLICATIONS

AIMS AND SCOPE

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications (MIA, Math. Inequal. Appl.) brings together original research papers in all areas of mathematics, provided they are concerned with inequalities or their role. From time to time MIA will publish invited survey articles. Short notes with interesting results or open problems will also be accepted.

MIA is published quarterly, in January, April, July and October.

SUBMISSION

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically in PostScript or Adobe Acrobat PDF format to the MIA Editorial Office through MIA page: www.ele-math.com or by e-mail mia@ele-math.com

The author who submitted the article for publication will be denoted as a corresponding author. He/She manages all communication and correspondence with the MIA regarding the article. Authors may indicate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not assured.

In order to facilitate refereeing, copies of those papers (whether by the author or someone else) which are essential and referred to in the manuscript but are not conveniently accessible, should be enclosed.

COPYRIGHT

The acceptance of the article automatically implies the copyright transfer to MIA. Manuscripts are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has not been published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that it will not be submitted to another journal, and that its submission for publication has been approved by all the authors.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

Manuscripts should be written in English.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in TeX or one of its variants LaTeX, AMSTeX or AMSLTeX. On acceptance of the paper, authors will be asked to send final version of file(s) to the Editorial Office.

TITLE PAGE

A title page should include the title of the article, author's name (no degrees) author's affiliation, e-mail addresses, mailing address of the corresponding author and running head less than 60 characters. Authors should be listed alphabetically.

ABSTRACT, KEY WORDS, SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

The manuscript must be accompanied by a brief abstract, no longer than 100-150 words. It should make minimal use of mathematical symbols and displayed formulas. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes and a list of 4-5 key words must be given.

FIGURES

Figures should be prepared in a digital form suitable for direct reproduction, grey scale only, preferably at resolution of 300 dpi or higher, sized to fit a journal page, and in EPS, TIFF or JPEG format. Figures should have a short descriptive title and should be numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. The position of each figure in the text should be indicated.

REFERENCES

Bibliographic references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The author should consult Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journal names.

PROOFS

The author will receive proofs only once. Late return of the proofs will delay the article to a later issue.

PAGE CHARGES, OFFPRINTS

There are no page charges. Authors jointly receive 30 offprints of their articles free of charge. Additional offprints may be ordered from MIA prior to publication.

FORTHCOMING PAPERS

Papers accepted and prepared for publication will appear in the forthcoming section of Journal Web page. They are identical in form as final printed papers, except volume, issue and page numbers.

MIA is published by Publishing House **ELEMENT**, Zagreb, Croatia.

All correspondence and subscription orders should be addressed to the Editorial Office:

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications
Editorial Office
Mencetićeva 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
www.ele-math.com
e-mail: mia@ele-math.com
Fax: +385 1 6008799

A CONVERSE OF THE HÖLDER INEQUALITY THEOREM

JANUSZ MATKOWSKI

(communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space such that $0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty$ for some $A, B \in \Sigma$ and let bijections $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(t)}{t} \leq c \leq \frac{t}{\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t)}$ ($t > 0$). We prove that if

$$\int_{\Omega} xy d\mu \leq \psi_1 \left(\int_{\Omega(x)} \varphi_1 \circ |x| d\mu \right) \psi_2 \left(\int_{\Omega(y)} \varphi_2 \circ |y| d\mu \right)$$

for all nonnegative μ -integrable simple functions $x, y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (where $\Omega(x)$ stands for the support of x), then there exists a real $p > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi_1(t)}{\varphi_1(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi_1(t)}{\psi_1(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad \frac{\varphi_2(t)}{\varphi_2(1)} = t^q, \quad \frac{\psi_2(t)}{\psi_2(1)} = t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. A relevant result for the reversed inequality is also given.

1. Introduction

For a measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) denote by $S = S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ the real linear space of all μ -integrable simple functions $x : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For two arbitrarily fixed bijections $\varphi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ define the functional $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi} : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(x) := \begin{cases} \psi \left(\int_{\Omega(x)} \varphi \circ |x| d\mu \right) & \text{if } \mu(\Omega(x)) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu(\Omega(x)) = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $\Omega(x) := \{\omega \in \Omega : x(\omega) \neq 0\}$.

Supposing that there are $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty$ we show that if the bijections $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are such that

$$\frac{\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(t)}{t} \leq c \leq \frac{t}{\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t)}, \quad t > 0, \quad (c)$$

for a constant $c > 0$, and satisfy the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} xy d\mu \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(x) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(y) \quad (H)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): Primary 26D15, 26A51, 39C05; Secondary 46E30.

Keywords and phrases: Hölder inequality, a converse theorem, measure space, multiplicative functions.

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}_+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) := \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \}$, then there is a real $p > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi_1(t)}{\varphi_1(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi_1(t)}{\psi_1(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad \frac{\varphi_2(t)}{\varphi_2(1)} = t^q, \quad \frac{\psi_2(t)}{\psi_2(1)} = t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ (Theorem 1). Concerning the bijections, no regularity conditions are assumed.

This converse of Hölder's inequality theorem generalizes the main result of [5] where the case $\varphi_1 := \varphi$, $\varphi_2 := \psi$, $\psi_1 := \varphi^{-1}$, $\psi_2 := \psi^{-1}$ (with only two unknown functions) was considered. Note that, in this case, $\frac{\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(t)}{t} = 1 = \frac{t}{\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t)}$, and condition (c) is obviously satisfied. Since $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2$ are defined in $(0, \infty)$, Theorem 1 improves the main result of [5] where φ_1, φ_2 are defined on $[0, \infty)$ and it is assumed that $\varphi_1(0) = \varphi_2(0) = 0$ (cf. Corollary 1).

A relevant result for the reversed inequality is also presented (Theorem 2).

The existence of two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty$ plays a crucial role. If a measure space fails to satisfy this condition, then there are some broad classes of non-power bijections $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2$ for which the functionals $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}$ satisfy the inequality (H).

The suitable results for the Minkowski inequality are given in [3] and [7].

2. Some lemmas

LEMMA 1. ([4], [6]) *Let real numbers a, b such that $0 < a < 1 < a + b$ be fixed. Then a function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+} f(t) \leq 0$ satisfies the inequality*

$$f(as + bt) \leq af(s) + bf(t), \quad s, t > 0,$$

if, and only if, $f(t) = f(1)t$ for all $t > 0$.

Applying this lemma we obtain:

LEMMA 2. *Let real numbers a, b such that $0 < a < 1 < a + b$ be fixed. If a function $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$F(ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2) \leq aF(x_1, y_1) + bF(x_2, y_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

and the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+} F(tx, ty) \leq 0, \quad x, y > 0,$$

then F is positively homogeneous, i.e.

$$F(tx, ty) = tF(x, y), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

REMARK 1. A finite dimensional counterpart of this lemma is also true (cf. [4], Theorem 2, and [6]). Let a positive integer $n \geq 2$ real numbers a, b such that $0 < a < 1 < a + b$ be fixed. If $F : (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+} F(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) \leq 0, \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (0, \infty)^n,$$

and the inequality

$$F(ax_1 + by_1, \dots, ax_n + by_n) \leq aF(x_1, \dots, x_n) + bF(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n > 0$, then

$$F(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = tF(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad t, x_1, \dots, x_n > 0.$$

3. The converse of Hölder's inequality

The main result of this paper reads as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space such that there are two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition*

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty.$$

Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective functions such that

$$\frac{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_1(t)}{t} \leq c \leq \frac{t}{\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t)}, \quad t > 0, \quad (1)$$

for a constant $c > 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{x} y d\mu \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}_+; \quad (2)$$

(ii) *there is a real $p > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{\varphi_1(t)}{\varphi_1(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi_1(t)}{\psi_1(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad \frac{\varphi_2(t)}{\varphi_2(1)} = t^q, \quad \frac{\psi_2(t)}{\psi_2(1)} = t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)(\varphi_1(1))^{1/p}(\varphi_2(1))^{1/q} = 1,$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Proof. To show the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) suppose that (i) holds true and put $a := \mu(A)$, $b := \mu(B \setminus A)$. Then, obviously,

$$0 < a < 1 < a + b.$$

For all $x_1, x_2 > 0$, the function $\mathbf{x} := x_1 \chi_A + x_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}$ belongs to \mathbf{S}_+ . Setting

$$\mathbf{x} := x_1 \chi_A + x_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}, \quad \mathbf{y} := y_1 \chi_A + y_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}, \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

in inequality (2) we get, for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$ax_1y_1 + bx_2y_2 \leq \psi_1(a\varphi_1(x_1) + b\varphi_1(x_2))\psi_2(a\varphi_2(y_1) + b\varphi_2(y_2)). \quad (3)$$

Replacing here x_i by $\varphi_1^{-1}(x_i)$, y_i by $\varphi_2^{-1}(y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$a\varphi_1^{-1}(x_1)\varphi_2^{-1}(y_1) + b\varphi_1^{-1}(x_2)\varphi_2^{-1}(y_2) \leq \psi_1(ax_1 + bx_2)\psi_2(ay_1 + by_2) \quad (4)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$.

From assumption (1) we have

$$\frac{\psi_1(t)}{\varphi_1^{-1}(t)} \leq c \leq \frac{\varphi_2^{-1}(t)}{\psi_2(t)}, \quad t > 0,$$

whence

$$\frac{\psi_1(s)}{\varphi_1^{-1}(s)} \leq \frac{\varphi_2^{-1}(t)}{\psi_2(t)}, \quad s, t > 0,$$

and, consequently,

$$\psi_1(s)\psi_2(t) \leq \varphi_1^{-1}(s)\varphi_2^{-1}(t), \quad s, t > 0. \quad (5)$$

This inequality and (4) imply that, for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 > 0$,

$$a\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(y_1) + b\psi_1(x_2)\psi_2(y_2) \leq \psi_1(ax_1 + bx_2)\psi_2(ay_1 + by_2). \quad (6)$$

Thus $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ defined by

$$F(x, y) = -\psi_1(x)\psi_2(y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

has negative values and satisfies the inequality

$$F(ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2) \leq aF(x_1, y_1) + bF(x_2, y_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

By Lemma 2, the function F is positively homogeneous, i.e.

$$\psi_1(tx)\psi_2(ty) = t\psi_1(x)\psi_2(y), \quad t, x, y > 0. \quad (7)$$

Setting here $y = 1$ we obtain

$$\psi_2(t) = \psi_2(1)t \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_1(tx)}, \quad t, x > 0.$$

Since the right-hand side does not depend on x ,

$$\psi_2(1)t \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_1(tx)} = \psi_2(1)t \frac{\psi_1(y)}{\psi_1(ty)}, \quad t, x, y > 0,$$

whence

$$\frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_1(tx)} = \frac{\psi_1(y)}{\psi_1(ty)}, \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Setting here $y = 1$, we get

$$\frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_1(tx)} = \frac{\psi_1(1)}{\psi_1(t)}, \quad t, x > 0,$$

which can be written in the form

$$\frac{\psi_1(tx)}{\psi_1(1)} = \frac{\psi_1(t)}{\psi_1(1)} \cdot \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_1(1)}, \quad t, x > 0.$$

This shows that the function $k_1 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$k_1(t) := \frac{\psi_1(t)}{\psi_1(1)}, \quad t > 0,$$

is multiplicative, i.e.

$$k_1(st) = k_1(s)k_1(t), \quad s, t > 0.$$

In the same way we can show that the function

$$k_2(t) := \frac{\psi_2(t)}{\psi_2(1)}, \quad t > 0,$$

is multiplicative. Since

$$\psi_1(t) = \psi_1(1)k_1(t), \quad \psi_2(t) = \psi_2(1)k_2(t) \quad t > 0, \quad (8)$$

setting these functions into (7) gives

$$k_1(t)k_2(t) = t, \quad t > 0. \quad (9)$$

From (4) and (5) we obtain, for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$a\varphi_1^{-1}(x_1)\varphi_2^{-1}(y_1) + b\varphi_1^{-1}(x_2)\varphi_2^{-1}(y_2) \leq \varphi_1^{-1}(ax_1 + bx_2)\varphi_2^{-1}(ay_1 + by_2).$$

Thus the function $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ defined by

$$F(x, y) = -\varphi_1^{-1}(x)\varphi_2^{-1}(y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2. Now, repeating the above reasoning, we can show that there are some multiplicative functions $l_1, l_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(t) = \varphi_1^{-1}(1)l_1(t), \quad \varphi_2^{-1}(t) = \varphi_2^{-1}(1)l_2(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (10)$$

and

$$l_1(t)l_2(t) = t, \quad t > 0. \quad (11)$$

Put

$$k := k_1, \quad l := l_1. \quad (12)$$

From (9) and (11) we get

$$k_2(t) := \frac{t}{k(t)}, \quad l_2(t) := \frac{t}{l(t)}, \quad t > 0. \quad (13)$$

Making use of (5), (8) and (10), we get

$$\psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)k(s)\frac{t}{k(t)} \leq \varphi_1^{-1}(1)\varphi_2^{-1}(1)l(s)\frac{t}{l(t)}, \quad s, t > 0,$$

whence

$$d\frac{k(s)}{l(s)} \leq \frac{k(t)}{l(t)}, \quad s, t > 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$d := \frac{\psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)}{\varphi_1^{-1}(1)\varphi_2^{-1}(1)}. \quad (15)$$

Inequality (14) implies that the function $\frac{k}{l}$ is globally bounded. Since $\frac{k}{l}$ is a multiplicative function, it must be constant and $\frac{k}{l} \equiv 1$. Consequently,

$$l(t) = k(t), \quad t > 0. \quad (16)$$

From (4), taking into account (8), (10), (12), (13) and (16), we obtain

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(1)\varphi_2^{-1}(1) \left(ak(x_1)\frac{y_1}{k(y_1)} + bk(x_2)\frac{y_2}{k(y_2)} \right) \leq \psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)k(ax_1 + bx_2)\frac{ay_1 + by_2}{k(ax_1 + bx_2)}$$

whence, taking into account (15), for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$ak(x_1)\frac{y_1}{k(y_1)} + bk(x_2)\frac{y_2}{k(y_2)} \leq dk(ax_1 + bx_2)\frac{ay_1 + by_2}{k(ay_1 + by_2)}.$$

By the multiplicativity of k we can write the last inequality in the form

$$ay_1k\left(\frac{ax_1}{ay_1}\right) + by_2k\left(\frac{bx_2}{by_2}\right) \leq d\frac{ay_1 + by_2}{k(ay_1 + by_2)}k(ax_1 + bx_2),$$

which means that

$$y_1k\left(\frac{x_1}{y_1}\right) + y_2k\left(\frac{x_2}{y_2}\right) \leq d(y_1 + y_2)k\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{y_1 + y_2}\right), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

Setting here $x_1 = x_2 = y_1 = y_2 = 1$ we get $1 \leq d$. On the other hand, setting $s = t$ in (14) we get $d \leq 1$. Thus

$$d = 1,$$

and, consequently,

$$y_1k\left(\frac{x_1}{y_1}\right) + y_2k\left(\frac{x_2}{y_2}\right) \leq (y_1 + y_2)k\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{y_1 + y_2}\right), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

Putting here $x_1 = tx$, $x_2 = (1-t)y$, $y_1 := t$, $y_2 := 1-t$, and taking into account that $k(1) = 1$, we obtain

$$tk(x) + (1-t)k(y) \leq k(tx + (1-t)y), \quad x, y > 0; t \in (0, 1).$$

Thus k is concave and, consequently, continuous. It follows that there is $p \geq 1$ such that (cf. [2], p. 311)

$$k_1(t) = k(t) = t^{1/p}, \quad t > 0,$$

whence, by the first formula of (8),

$$\psi_1(t) = \psi_1(1)t^{1/p}, \quad t > 0.$$

The concavity of k implies that $p \geq 1$. Since the roles of the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 are symmetric, we conclude that $k_2(t) = t^{1/q}$ for some $q \geq 1$ whence, by the second formula of (8),

$$\psi_2(t) = \psi_2(1)t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0.$$

From (9) we have

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

which implies that $p > 1$. Now from (10), (11), (12) and (16) we get

$$\varphi_1(t) = \varphi_1(1)t^p, \quad \varphi_2(t) = \varphi_2(1)t^q, \quad t > 0.$$

The equality $d = 1$ implies that

$$\psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)(\varphi_1(1))^{1/p}(\varphi_2(1))^{1/q} = 1.$$

This completes the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since the converse implication is a consequence of Hölder's inequality, the proof is complete.

REMARK 2. Theorem 1 remains true if inequality (2) is assumed to hold for all x, y from the two dimensional cone

$$S_+(A, B) := \{x_1\chi_A + x_2\chi_{B \setminus A} \in S : x_1, x_2 > 0\}.$$

COROLLARY 1. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty,$$

and let $\varphi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be bijective functions.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) the functions φ and ψ satisfy the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} xy d\mu \leq \varphi^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega(x)} \varphi \circ x d\mu \right) \psi^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega(y)} \psi \circ y d\mu \right), \quad x, y \in S_+ \quad (17)$$

(ii) there is a real $p > 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. With $\varphi_1 := \varphi$, $\varphi_2 := \psi$, $\psi_1 := \varphi^{-1}$, $\psi_2 := \psi^{-1}$ inequality (17) becomes (2). Since

$$\frac{\psi_1(t)}{\varphi_1^{-1}(t)} = \frac{\varphi^{-1}(t)}{\varphi^{-1}(t)} = 1, \quad \frac{\varphi_2^{-1}(t)}{\psi_2(t)} = \frac{\psi^{-1}(t)}{\psi^{-1}(t)} = 1, \quad t > 0,$$

condition (1) is satisfied with $c = 1$.

REMARK 3. This improves the main result of [5] where it is assumed that $\varphi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$.

4. The converse of the accompanying Hölder's inequality

THEOREM 2. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space such that there are two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty.$$

Suppose that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective functions such that

$$\frac{t}{\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t)} \leq c \leq \frac{\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(t)}{t}, \quad t > 0, \quad (18)$$

for some constant $c > 0$, and, for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \psi_1(\alpha t) \psi_2(\beta t) = 0. \quad (19)$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2$ satisfy the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{xy} d\mu \geq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}_+; \quad (20)$$

(ii) there is a real $p < 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi_1(t)}{\varphi_1(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi_1(t)}{\psi_1(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad \frac{\varphi_2(t)}{\varphi_2(1)} = t^q, \quad \frac{\psi_2(t)}{\psi_2(1)} = t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0,$$

$$\psi_1(1) \psi_2(1) (\varphi_1(1))^{1/p} (\varphi_2(1))^{1/q} = 1,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. To show the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) we put $a := \mu(A)$, $b := \mu(B \setminus A)$. Setting

$$\mathbf{x} := x_1 \chi_A + x_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}, \quad \mathbf{y} := y_1 \chi_A + y_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}, \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

in (20) we get, for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$ax_1y_1 + bx_2y_2 \geq \psi_1(a\varphi_1(x_1) + b\varphi_1(x_2)) \psi_2(a\varphi_2(y_1) + b\varphi_2(y_2)).$$

Replacing here x_i by $\varphi_1^{-1}(x_i)$, y_i by $\varphi_2^{-1}(y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$a\varphi_1^{-1}(x_1)\varphi_2^{-1}(y_1) + b\varphi_1^{-1}(x_2)\varphi_2^{-1}(y_2) \geq \psi_1(ax_1 + bx_2)\psi_2(ay_1 + by_2), \quad (21)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$. From (18) we have

$$\frac{\psi_1(s)}{\varphi_1^{-1}(s)} \geq \frac{\varphi_2^{-1}(t)}{\psi_2(t)}, \quad s, t > 0,$$

whence

$$\psi_1(s)\psi_2(t) \geq \varphi_1^{-1}(s)\varphi_2^{-1}(t), \quad s, t > 0. \quad (22)$$

Inequalities (21) and (22) imply that, for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 > 0$,

$$a\psi_1(x_1)\psi_2(y_1) + b\psi_1(x_2)\psi_2(y_2) \geq \psi_1(ax_1 + bx_2)\psi_2(ay_1 + by_2), \quad (23)$$

which proves that the function $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$F(x, y) = \psi_1(x)\psi_2(y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

satisfies the inequality

$$F(ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2) \leq aF(x_1, y_1) + bF(x_2, y_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0. \quad (24)$$

Taking into account (19) and Lemma 2, we infer that F is homogeneous, i.e.

$$\psi_1(tx)\psi_2(ty) = t\psi_1(x)\psi_2(y), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Now, repeating the relevant part of the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that there are multiplicative functions $k_1, k_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi_1(t) = \psi_1(1)k_1(t), \quad \psi_2(t) = \psi_2(1)k_2(t) \quad t > 0, \quad (25)$$

and

$$k_1(t)k_2(t) = t, \quad t > 0. \quad (26)$$

Inequalities (21) and (22) imply that the function $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$F(x, y) = \varphi_1^{-1}(x)\varphi_2^{-1}(y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

satisfies inequality (24). Inequality (22) and condition (19) imply that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} F(tx, ty) = 0, \quad x, y > 0.$$

By Remark 1, the function F is positively homogeneous. This allows us to conclude that there are the multiplicative functions $l_1, l_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\varphi_1^{-1}(t) = \varphi_1^{-1}(1)l_1(t), \quad \varphi_2^{-1}(t) = \varphi_2^{-1}(1)l_2(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (27)$$

and

$$l_1(t)l_2(t) = t, \quad t > 0. \quad (28)$$

Setting

$$k := k_1, \quad l := l_1 \quad (29)$$

and arguing in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that

$$l(t) = k(t), \quad t > 0, \quad (30)$$

and

$$tk(x) + (1-t)k(y) \geq dk(tx + (1-t)y), \quad x, y > 0; t \in (0, 1),$$

where

$$d := \frac{\psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)}{\varphi_1^{-1}(1)\varphi_2^{-1}(1)} = 1.$$

This implies that k is convex and, consequently, for some $p \leq 1$,

$$k_1(t) = k(t) = t^{1/p}, \quad t > 0.$$

Similarly we have

$$k_2(t) = t^{1/q}, \quad t > 0.$$

for some $q \leq 1$. In view of (26),

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,$$

which implies that $p < 1$. Now, from (25),

$$\psi_1(t) = \psi_1(1)t^{1/p}, \quad \psi_2(t) = \psi_2(1)t^{1/q} \quad t > 0.$$

From (27), (28), (29) and (30) we get

$$\varphi_1(t) = \varphi_1(1)t^p, \quad \varphi_2(t) = \varphi_2(1)t^q, \quad t > 0.$$

The equality $d = 1$ implies that

$$\psi_1(1)\psi_2(1)(\varphi_1(1))^{1/p}(\varphi_2(1))^{1/q} = 1.$$

This completes the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The converse implication is a consequence of the accompanying Hölder's inequality.

5. Remarks on the basic assumptions

REMARK 4. To see that, in Theorem 1, condition (1) is essential, note that the functions $\varphi_1(t) = t^p$, $\psi_1(t) = t^{1/p}$, $\varphi_2(t) = t^q$ and a non-power function $\psi_2(t) = t^{1/q} + t$, where $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, satisfy inequality (2) and, as

$$\frac{\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(t)}{t} = 1 > \frac{t}{\psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t)} = \frac{1}{1 + t^{q-1}}, \quad t > 0,$$

condition (1) is not satisfied.

Moreover, taking $p = q = 1$ and (Ω, Σ, μ) such that $\Omega = \{1, 2\}$, $\Sigma = 2^\Omega$, and μ such that $\mu(\{0\}) = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mu(\{1\}) = 2$, it is easy to verify that inequality (2). This shows that conditions (1) and the basic assumption on the measure space are independent.

The next two remarks show that the assumption of the underlying measure space is indispensable. They can be treated as some generalizations of the Hölder inequality.

REMARK 5. Suppose that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure space such that $\mu(\Omega) \leq 1$ with at least one set $A \in \Sigma$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < 1$. Let $\alpha, \beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be bijective increasing and such that the function of two variables

$$(s, t) \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}(s)\beta^{-1}(t)$$

is concave in $(0, \infty)^2$ (cf. inequality (6) for $b = 1 - a$). Then (cf. [1], [5]),

$$\int_{\Omega} xy d\mu \leq \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}(x) \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \beta^{-1}}(y), \quad x, y \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu). \quad (31)$$

For arbitrary bijective increasing functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi_1^{-1} \leq \alpha \leq \varphi_1, \quad \psi_2^{-1} \leq \beta \leq \varphi_2, \quad (32)$$

we, obviously, have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}(x) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(x), \quad \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \beta^{-1}}(y) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(y), \quad x, y \in S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu),$$

and, consequently, a generalized Hölder inequality (2) is satisfied.

REMARK 6. Suppose that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure space such that for every $A \in \Sigma$, either $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) \geq 1$. Let $\alpha, \beta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be increasing bijective functions and $p, q > 0$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, such that the functions

$$t \rightarrow \frac{\alpha(t)}{t^p}, \quad t \rightarrow \frac{\beta(t)}{t^q}$$

are nonincreasing. Then (cf. [5], Theorem 6) inequality (31) is satisfied. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be arbitrary increasing bijections satisfying conditions (32). Now, similarly as in the previous remark, we infer that (2) holds true.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, 1952.
- [2] M. KUCZMA, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equations and Jensen Inequality*, Uniwersytet Śląski-PWN, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.
- [3] J. MATKOWSKI, *The converse of the Minkowski inequality theorem and its generalization*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **109** (1990), 663–675.
- [4] J. MATKOWSKI, *Functional inequality characterizing nonnegative concave functions in $(0, \infty)^k$* , Aequationes Math., **43** (1992), 219–224.

- [5] J. MATKOWSKI, *The converse of the Hölder inequality and its generalizations*, Studia Math., **109**, 2 (1994), 171–182.
- [6] J. MATKOWSKI, M. PYCIA, *Convex-like inequality, homogeneity, subadditivity and a characterization of L^p -norm*, Ann. Math. Polon., **LX**, 3 (1995), 221–230.
- [7] J. MATKOWSKI, *The Converse Theorem for Minkowski's Inequality*, Indag. Mathem., N.S., **15**, 1 (2004), 73–84.

(Received July 28, 2007)

Janusz Matkowski
Institute of Mathematics, Informatics and Econometry
University of Zielona Góra
Podgórze 50
PL-65246 Zielona Góra
Poland

Institute of Mathematics
Silesian University
PL-40-007 Katowice
Poland

e-mail: J.Matkowski@uz.zgora.pl