



Converse theorem for the Minkowski inequality

Janusz Matkowski ^{a,b,*}

^a Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometry, University of Zielona Góra, Podgórna 50, PL-65246 Zielona Góra, Poland

^b Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University, PL-40-007 Katowice, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 September 2007

Available online 25 July 2008

Submitted by M. Laczko

Keywords:

Minkowski inequality

A converse theorem

Measure space

ABSTRACT

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) a measure space such that $0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty$ for some $A, B \in \Sigma$. Under some natural conditions on the bijective functions $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ we prove that if

$$\psi \left(\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})} \varphi \circ (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) d\mu \right) \leq \psi_1 \left(\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} \varphi_1 \circ \mathbf{x} d\mu \right) + \psi_2 \left(\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{y})} \varphi_2 \circ \mathbf{y} d\mu \right)$$

for all nonnegative μ -integrable simple functions $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (where $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ stands for the support of \mathbf{x} , then there exists a real $p \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\varphi_i(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_i(t)}{\psi_i(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Some generalizations and relevant results for the reversed inequality are also presented.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space. Let $S = S(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ denote the linear real space of all μ -integrable simple functions $\mathbf{x} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $S_+ = \{\mathbf{x} \in S : \mathbf{x} \geq 0\}$. For $\mathbf{x} \in S$ put

$$\Omega(\mathbf{x}) := \{\omega \in \Omega : \mathbf{x}(\omega) \neq 0\}.$$

For two arbitrarily fixed bijections $\varphi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, the functional $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi} : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by the formula

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} \psi \left(\int_{\Omega(\mathbf{x})} \varphi \circ |\mathbf{x}| d\mu \right) & \text{if } d\mu(\Omega(\mathbf{x})) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } d\mu(\Omega(\mathbf{x})) = 0, \end{cases}$$

is correctly defined. Moreover $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}$ becomes the L^p -norm if $\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p$ and $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ for some $p \geq 1$.

Note that a weak form of the Minkowski inequality can be written as the implication: If $\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p$ and $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ for some $p \geq 1$ then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \varphi^{-1}}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \varphi^{-1}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \varphi^{-1}}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu). \tag{M}$$

Answering to a natural question, in our earlier paper [2], under additional assumptions that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and φ^{-1} is continuous at 0, we have shown that the converse implication holds if, and only if, there are $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty. \tag{1}$$

* Address for correspondence: Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometry, University of Zielona Góra, Podgórna 50, PL-65246 Zielona Góra, Poland.

E-mail address: j.matkowski@wmie.uz.zgora.pl.

In the present paper we prove the following significantly stronger result (Theorem 1): Suppose that there are $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that (1) holds true and $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective, ψ is increasing, and such that

$$\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_1(s) + \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t) \leq \psi \circ \varphi(s+t), \quad s, t > 0. \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+, \quad (*)$$

if, and only if, there exists a real $p \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\varphi_i(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_i(t)}{\psi_i(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad t > 0.$$

This converse of Minkowski's inequality theorem generalizes the result of [2] where a very special case $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi$, $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi =: \varphi^{-1}$ (with only one unknown function) was considered. Note that in this case inequality (2) is satisfied with equality. The main result of [9], where the case $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi$, $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi$ was examined, is also generalized.

Inequality (*) is obtained from (M) by replacing in each place φ and its inverse φ^{-1} by an arbitrary bijection. Inequality (*) can be called a "Pexiderization" of inequality (M) as, for the first time, an analogous procedure was applied in 1993 by J.V. Pexider [11] for the Cauchy functional equation. Similarly, inequality (2) can be referred to as the "Pexiderization" of the superadditivity condition.

The finite dimensional counterparts of (*) and the reversed inequalities are also considered.

Condition (1) plays here a crucial role. If a measure space fails to satisfy this condition, then there are some broad classes of non-power functions φ for which even the functional $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \varphi^{-1}}$ satisfies the triangle inequality. Condition (2) is also indispensable.

2. Some lemmas

We need the following (cf. [5] and [8]):

Lemma 1. Let real numbers a, b such that $0 < a < 1 < a + b$ be fixed. Then a function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t) \leq 0$$

satisfies the inequality

$$f(as + bt) \leq af(s) + bf(t), \quad s, t > 0,$$

if, and only if, $f(t) = f(1)t$ for all $t > 0$.

Applying this lemma we obtain (cf. [5]):

Lemma 2. Let real numbers a, b such that $0 < a < 1 < a + b$ be fixed. If a function $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality

$$F(ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2) \leq aF(x_1, y_1) + bF(x_2, y_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

and the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F(tx, ty) \leq 0, \quad x, y > 0,$$

then F is positively homogeneous, i.e.

$$F(tx, ty) = tF(x, y), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Proof. Let us fix $x, y > 0$ and define $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(t) := F(tx, ty)$. From the assumed inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(as + bt) &= F((as + bt)x, (as + bt)y) = F(a(sx) + b(tx), a(sy) + b(ty)) \\ &\leq aF(sx, sy) + bF(tx, ty) = af(s) + bf(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s, t > 0$, and the result follows from Lemma 1. \square

Remark 1. A finite dimensional counterpart of lemma is also true (cf. [5, Theorem 2], and [8]). Let a positive integer $n \geq 2$ and real numbers a, b such that $0 < a < 1 < a + b$ be fixed. If $F : (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} F(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) \leq 0, \quad x_1, \dots, x_n > 0,$$

and, for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n > 0$, we have

$$F(ax_1 + by_1, \dots, ax_n + by_n) \leq aF(x_1, \dots, x_n) + bF(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

then

$$F(tx_1, \dots, tx_n) = tF(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad t, x_1, \dots, x_n > 0.$$

Lemma 3. (See [3,7].) *If $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is subadditive, one-to-one, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = 0$, then ψ is increasing and continuous.*

3. Main results

Denote by χ_A the characteristic function of a set $A \subset \Omega$.

Theorem 1. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space such that there are two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition*

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty. \tag{1}$$

Suppose that $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective functions, ψ is strictly increasing and

$$\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(s) + \psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t) \leq \psi \circ \varphi(s + t), \quad s, t > 0. \tag{2}$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) *the functions $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2$ satisfy the inequality*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+(A, B), \tag{3}$$

where $S_+(A, B) := \{x_1\chi_A + x_2\chi_{B \setminus A} \in S_+ : x_1, x_2 > 0\}$;

(ii) *there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\varphi_i(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_i(t)}{\psi_i(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2, t > 0,$$

and

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} \leq \psi_i(1)[\varphi_i(1)]^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2;$$

(iii) *there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that*

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(x)}{\psi(1)\varphi(1)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(x)}{\psi_1(1)\varphi_1(1)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(x)}{\psi_2(1)\varphi_2(1)} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |x|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad x \in S,$$

and

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} = \psi_i(1)[\varphi_i(1)]^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2;$$

(iv) *the functions $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2$ satisfy the triangle inequality*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(x + y) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(x) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(y), \quad x, y \in S.$$

Proof. To show the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) suppose that (i) holds true and put $a := \mu(A)$, $b := \mu(B \setminus A)$. Then, by (1),

$$0 < a < 1 < a + b.$$

Setting

$$\mathbf{x} := x_1\chi_A + x_2\chi_{B \setminus A}, \quad \mathbf{y} := y_1\chi_A + y_2\chi_{B \setminus A}, \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0,$$

in inequality (3) we get, for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$\psi(a\varphi(x_1 + y_1) + b\varphi(x_2 + y_2)) \leq \psi_1(a\varphi_1(x_1) + b\varphi_1(x_2)) + \psi_2(a\varphi_2(y_1) + b\varphi_2(y_2)). \tag{4}$$

Replacing x_i by $\varphi_1^{-1}(x_i)$, y_i by $\varphi_2^{-1}(y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$, and making use of the strict increasing monotonicity of ψ , we obtain, for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$a\varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(x_1) + \varphi_2^{-1}(y_1)) + b\varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(x_2) + \varphi_2^{-1}(y_2)) \leq \psi^{-1}(\psi_1(ax_1 + bx_2) + \psi_2(ay_1 + by_2)). \tag{5}$$

From (2) we have

$$\psi_1(\varphi_1(s)) + \psi_2(\varphi_2(t)) \leq \psi(\varphi(s + t)), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Replacing s by $\varphi_1^{-1}(s)$, t by $\varphi_2^{-1}(t)$, and making use of the increasing monotonicity of ψ , we can write this inequality in the following equivalent form

$$\psi^{-1}(\psi_1(s) + \psi_2(t)) \leq \varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(s) + \varphi_2^{-1}(t)), \quad s, t > 0. \quad (6)$$

This inequality and (5) imply that, for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$,

$$a\psi^{-1}(\psi_1(x_1) + \psi_2(y_1)) + b\psi^{-1}(\psi_1(x_2) + \psi_2(y_2)) \leq \psi^{-1}(\psi_1(ax_1 + bx_2) + \psi_2(ay_1 + by_2)),$$

which proves that the function $F : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$F(x, y) := \psi^{-1}(\psi_1(x) + \psi_2(y)), \quad x, y > 0,$$

satisfies the inequality

$$aF(x_1, y_1) + bF(x_2, y_2) \leq F(ax_1 + bx_2, ay_1 + by_2), \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0.$$

By Lemma 2 the function F is positively homogeneous, i.e.

$$\psi^{-1}(\psi_1(tx) + \psi_2(ty)) = t\psi^{-1}(\psi_1(x) + \psi_2(y)), \quad t, x, y > 0.$$

Replacing x by $\psi_1^{-1}(u)$ and y by $\psi_2^{-1}(v)$, we hence get

$$\psi^{-1}(\psi_1(t\psi_1^{-1}(u)) + \psi_2(t\psi_2^{-1}(v))) = t\psi^{-1}(u + v), \quad t, u, v > 0,$$

whence

$$\psi_1(t\psi_1^{-1}(u)) + \psi_2(t\psi_2^{-1}(v)) = \psi(t\psi^{-1}(u + v)), \quad t, u, v > 0.$$

Putting

$$f_t := \psi \circ (t\psi^{-1}), \quad g_t := \psi_1 \circ (t\psi_1^{-1}), \quad h_t := \psi_2 \circ (t\psi_2^{-1}), \quad t > 0,$$

we hence get the following Pexider functional equation

$$f_t(u + v) = g_t(u) + h_t(v), \quad u, v, t > 0.$$

According to a known result (cf. [1, p. 44, Theorem 12]), for each $t > 0$, there are: An additive function $A_t : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and some real constants α_t and β_t such that

$$f_t(u) = A_t(u) + \alpha_t + \beta_t, \quad g_t(u) = A_t(u) + \alpha_t, \quad h_t(u) = A_t(u) + \beta_t$$

for all $u, v, t > 0$. (Obviously, the functions A_t and $\alpha_t, \beta_t \in \mathbb{R}$ are uniquely determined.) Since the functions f_t, g_t, h_t ($t > 0$) map bijectively $(0, \infty)$ onto itself, we infer that, for every $t > 0$, the additive function A_t is continuous and $\alpha_t = \beta_t = 0$. Consequently, for every $t > 0$, there is a uniquely determined $m(t) > 0$ such that

$$f_t(u) = g_t(u) = h_t(u) = m(t)u, \quad u, t > 0,$$

which, by the definitions of f_t, g_t, h_t means that

$$\psi(t\psi^{-1}(u)) = \psi_1(t\psi_1^{-1}(u)) = \psi_2(t\psi_2^{-1}(u)) = m(t)u, \quad u, t > 0. \quad (7)$$

In particular we have

$$\psi(t\psi^{-1}(u)) = m(t)u, \quad u, t > 0, \quad (8)$$

whence, for all $s, t, u > 0$,

$$m(st)u = \psi(st\psi^{-1}(u)) = [\psi \circ (s\psi^{-1})] \circ \psi \circ (t\psi^{-1})(u) = m(s)m(t)u.$$

Setting here $u = 1$ gives

$$m(st) = m(s)m(t), \quad s, t > 0,$$

that is the function $m : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is multiplicative. As ψ is an increasing homeomorphism of $(0, \infty)$ and, by (8),

$$m(t) = \psi(t\psi^{-1}(1)), \quad t > 0,$$

we conclude that m is also an increasing homeomorphism of $(0, \infty)$. Consequently,

$$m(t) = t^q, \quad t > 0, \quad (9)$$

for some $q > 0$. Setting $u = \psi(1)$ in (8), we hence get

$$\psi(t) = \psi(1)t^q, \quad t > 0.$$

From (7) and (9) we also get

$$\psi_1(t\psi_1^{-1}(u)) = t^q u, \quad \psi_2(t\psi_2^{-1}(u)) = t^q u, \quad u, t > 0,$$

whence, setting respectively, $u = \psi_1(1)$ and $u = \psi_2(1)$, we obtain

$$\psi_1(t) = \psi_1(1)t^q, \quad \psi_2(t) = \psi_2(1)t^q, \quad t > 0,$$

Now we determine the functions φ , φ_1 and φ_2 . Setting $x_2 = x_1 := s$ and $y_2 = y_1 := t$ in (5) we obtain

$$(a + b)\varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(s) + \varphi_2^{-1}(t)) \leq \psi^{-1}(\psi_1((a + b)s) + \psi_2((a + b)t))$$

and, consequently,

$$\varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(s) + \varphi_2^{-1}(t)) \leq (c_1 s^q + c_2 t^q)^{1/q}, \quad s, t > 0,$$

where

$$c_1 := \frac{\psi_1(1)}{\psi(1)}, \quad c_2 := \frac{\psi_2(1)}{\psi(1)}.$$

On the other hand, from (6), we have

$$(c_1 s^q + c_2 t^q)^{1/q} \leq \varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(s) + \varphi_2^{-1}(t)), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Thus

$$(c_1 s^q + c_2 t^q)^{1/q} = \varphi(\varphi_1^{-1}(s) + \varphi_2^{-1}(t)), \quad s, t > 0,$$

whence

$$[\varphi(s + t)]^q = c_1 [\varphi_1(s)]^q + c_2 [\varphi_2(t)]^q, \quad s, t > 0.$$

Putting

$$f(t) := [\varphi(t)]^q, \quad g(t) := c_1 [\varphi_1(t)]^q, \quad h(t) := c_2 [\varphi_2(t)]^q, \quad t > 0, \tag{10}$$

we can write this equation in the form

$$f(s + t) = g(s) + h(t), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Applying again Theorem 12 from [1, p. 44], we infer that there exist: an additive function $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and some real constant α and β such that

$$f(t) = A(t) + \alpha + \beta, \quad g(t) = A(t) + \alpha, \quad h(t) = A(t) + \beta, \quad t > 0.$$

The functions f, g , being bijections of $(0, \infty)$, are bounded below. It follows that the additive function A must be linear, that is

$$A(t) = mt, \quad t > 0,$$

for some $m > 0$ and, obviously, $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Thus

$$f(t) = g(t) = h(t) = mt, \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore, by the definitions (10) of the functions f, g and h we get

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p, \quad \varphi_1(t) = \varphi_1(1)t^p, \quad \varphi_2(t) = \varphi_2(1)t^p, \quad t > 0,$$

where

$$p := \frac{1}{q}.$$

Substituting the obtained functions in (4) and then making some obvious changes of variables, we get

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} [(x_1 + y_1)^p + (x_2 + y_2)^p]^{1/p} \leq \psi_1(1)[\varphi_1(1)]^{1/p} (x_1^p + x_2^p)^{1/p} + \psi_2(1)[\varphi_2(1)]^{1/p} (y_1^p + y_2^p)^{1/p}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 > 0$. Hence, letting $y_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $y_2 \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} \leq \psi_1(1)[\varphi_1(1)]^{1/p},$$

and, letting $x_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $x_2 \rightarrow 0$, we infer that

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} \leq \psi_2(1)[\varphi_2(1)]^{1/p}.$$

On the other hand, using the forms of $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2$ obtained above and assumption (2), we get

$$\psi_1(1)[\varphi_1(1)s^p]^{1/p} + \psi_2(1)[\varphi_2(1)t^p]^{1/p} \leq \psi(1)[\varphi(1)(s+t)^p]^{1/p}, \quad s, t > 0,$$

and letting $t \rightarrow 0$ and then $s \rightarrow 0$ results

$$\psi_i(1)[\varphi_i(1)]^{1/p} \leq \psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

respectively. This completes the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

The implications (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iv) \Rightarrow (i) are obvious and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from the Minkowski inequality. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

Corollary 1. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying condition (1). Suppose that $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi, \psi_1, \psi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective, ψ is strictly increasing and condition (2) is satisfied. If

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \psi_1}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \psi_2}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+,$$

then there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\varphi_i(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_i(t)}{\psi_i(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, 2, t > 0.$$

Applying this corollary we prove the following

Theorem 2. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty.$$

Suppose that $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective functions and φ is strictly increasing. Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \varphi^{-1}}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_1, \varphi_1^{-1}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_2, \varphi_2^{-1}}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+,$$

if, and only if, there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p, \quad \varphi_1(t) = \varphi_1(1)t^p, \quad \varphi_2(t) = \varphi_2(1)t^p, \quad t > 0. \tag{11}$$

Proof. Put $\psi := \varphi^{-1}, \psi_1 := \varphi_1^{-1}, \psi_2 := \varphi_2^{-1}$. Then ψ is continuous, strictly increasing, and

$$\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1(s) + \psi_2 \circ \varphi_2(t) = s + t = \psi \circ \varphi(s + t), \quad s, t > 0,$$

that is condition (2) is satisfied. By Corollary 1, the functions $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ must be of the form (11). Moreover, we have

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} = \psi_i(1)[\varphi_i(1)]^{1/p} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now the result follows from Theorem 1. \square

Since the extension of Lemma 2 holds true for n variables (cf. Remark 1), the n -dimensional counterpart of Theorem 1 (as well as each of the above results) remains valid and the proof is analogous. In particular we have

Theorem 3. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ such that

$$0 < \mu(A) < 1 < \mu(B) < \infty.$$

Suppose that $\varphi, \varphi_i, \psi, \psi_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, are bijective functions, ψ is strictly increasing, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \circ \varphi_i(t_i) \leq \psi \circ \varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right), \quad t_1, \dots, t_n > 0. \tag{12}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_i, \psi_i}(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in S_+, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

if, and only if, there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\varphi_i(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_i(t)}{\psi_i(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, t > 0,$$

and

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} = \psi_i(1)[\varphi_i(1)]^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Remark 2. If $\varphi, \varphi_i, \psi, \psi_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\varphi(0) = \varphi_i(0) = \psi_i(0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, then this result is a consequence of Theorem 1.

To show this take arbitrarily $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \neq k$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $j = 1$ and $k = 2$. Taking in (12) $s := t_j, t = t_k, t_i = 0$ for $j \neq i \neq k$, we get

$$\psi_j \circ \varphi_j(s) + \psi_k \circ \varphi_k(t) \leq \psi \circ \varphi(s + t), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Taking $\mathbf{x}_j := \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_k := \mathbf{y}$ for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for $j \neq i \neq k$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_j, \psi_j}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_k, \psi_k}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S_+(A, B).$$

By Theorem 1, there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that, for all $t > 0$,

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_j(t)}{\varphi_j(1)} = \frac{\varphi_k(t)}{\varphi_k(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_j(t)}{\psi_j(1)} = \frac{\psi_k(t)}{\psi_k(1)} = t^{1/p},$$

and

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} = \psi_j(1)[\varphi_j(1)]^{1/p}, \quad \psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} = \psi_k(1)[\varphi_k(1)]^{1/p}.$$

Corollary 2. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying condition (1), and let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ be fixed. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective functions, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(t) = 0$, and $\psi \circ \varphi$ is superadditive, i.e.

$$\psi(\varphi(s)) + \psi(\varphi(t)) \leq \psi(\varphi(s + t)), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in S_+, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

if, and only if, there is a real $p \geq 1$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p, \quad \psi(t) = \psi(1)t^{1/p}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. For $x_1, x_2 > 0$, the functions $\mathbf{x} := x_1 \chi_A, \mathbf{y} := x_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}$ belong to S_+ . Setting $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for $i = 3, \dots, n$, in the assumed inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a\varphi(x_1) + b\varphi(x_2)) &= \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{y}) = \psi(a\varphi(x_1)) + \psi(b\varphi(x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 > 0$. Taking here $x_1 := \varphi^{-1}(\frac{s}{a}), x_2 := \varphi^{-1}(\frac{t}{b})$, where $s, t > 0$, we obtain

$$\psi(s + t) \leq \psi(s) + \psi(t), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Since ψ is one-to-one and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = 0$, Lemma 3 implies that ψ is an increasing homeomorphism of $(0, \infty)$. Now we can apply Theorem 1. \square

4. The reversed inequality

Remark 3. The functional $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}$ is superadditive on the linear space S , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \geq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S,$$

iff the underlying measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfies the following condition:

$$\text{for every } A \in \Sigma, \text{ either } \mu(A) = 0 \text{ or } \mu(A) = \infty.$$

In fact, if there were a set $A \in \Sigma$ such that $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$, then for $x := \chi_A$ and $y := -x$ we would get

$$0 = \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(0) = \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(x + y) \geq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(x) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(-x) > 0.$$

Thus the problem of the global superadditivity of $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}$ trivializes. Nevertheless the superadditivity of $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}$ in S_+ becomes interesting. The following converse of the accompanying Minkowski inequality holds true.

Theorem 4. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying condition (1). Suppose that $\varphi, \varphi_i, \psi, \psi_i: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n, n \geq 2$, are bijective functions, ψ is strictly increasing, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i \circ \varphi_i(t_i) \geq \psi \circ \varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right), \quad t_1, \dots, t_n > 0.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\varphi_i, \psi_i}(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in S_+, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

if, and only if, there is a real $p \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(1)} = \frac{\varphi_i(t)}{\varphi_i(1)} = t^p, \quad \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi(1)} = \frac{\psi_i(t)}{\psi_i(1)} = t^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$\psi(1)[\varphi(1)]^{1/p} = \psi_i(1)[\varphi_i(1)]^{1/p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1, we omit it.

It is interesting that in the counterpart of Corollary 2, the regularity of ψ can be completely omitted. Namely, we have the following

Corollary 3. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space with two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ satisfying the condition (1) and let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$ be fixed. Suppose that $\varphi, \psi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are bijective functions, and $\psi \circ \varphi$ is superadditive, i.e.

$$\psi(\varphi(s)) + \psi(\varphi(t)) \leq \psi(\varphi(s+t)), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathbf{x}_i \in S_+, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

if, and only if, there is a $p \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(1)t^p, \quad \psi(t) = \psi(1)t^{1/p}, \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. For $x_1, x_2 > 0$, the functions $\mathbf{x} := x_1 \chi_A, \mathbf{y} := x_2 \chi_{B \setminus A}$ belong to S_+ . Setting $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for $i = 3, \dots, n$, in the assumed inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a\varphi(x_1) + b\varphi(x_2)) &= \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \psi}(\mathbf{y}) = \psi(a\varphi(x_1)) + \psi(b\varphi(x_2)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, x_2 > 0$. Taking $x_1 := \varphi^{-1}(\frac{s}{a}), x_2 := \varphi^{-1}(\frac{t}{b})$ we obtain

$$\psi(s+t) \geq \psi(s) + \psi(t), \quad s, t > 0.$$

Thus ψ is superadditive and, consequently, it is strictly monotonic. Now the result follows from Theorem 4. \square

5. Remark on the basic assumption

The assumption of the underlying measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) in all the results is indispensable because in each of the cases:

- (I) for every $A \in \Sigma$, we have $\mu(A) = 0$ or $\mu(A) \geq 1$;
- (II) for every $A \in \Sigma$, we have $\mu(A) \leq 1$ or $\mu(A) = \infty$,

there are large classes of non-power bijective functions $\varphi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that $\mathbb{P}_{\varphi, \varphi^{-1}}$ is subadditive in S or superadditive in S_+ (cf. Mulholland [10], also [4,6]).

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the reviewer for valuable comments and remarks.

References

- [1] J. Aczél, J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl., Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [2] J. Matkowski, The converse of the Minkowski inequality theorem and its generalization, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 109 (1990) 663–675.
- [3] J. Matkowski, T. Świątkowski, Quasi-monotonicity, Subadditive bijections of \mathbb{R}_+ , and a characterization of L^p -norm, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 154 (1991) 493–506.
- [4] J. Matkowski, L^p -like paranorms, in: D. Gronau, L. Reich (Eds.), *Selected Topics in Functional Equations and Iteration Theory*, Proceedings of the Austrian–Polish Seminar, Universität Graz, October 24–26, 1991, in: *Grazer Math. Ber.*, vol. 316, 1992, pp. 103–139.
- [5] J. Matkowski, Functional inequality characterizing nonnegative concave functions in $(0, \infty)^k$, *Aequationes Math.* 43 (1992) 219–224.
- [6] J. Matkowski, On a generalization of Mulholland’s inequality, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 63 (1993) 97–103.
- [7] J. Matkowski, T. Świątkowski, On subadditive functions of \mathbb{R}_+ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1993) 187–197.
- [8] J. Matkowski, M. Pycia, Convex-like inequality, homogeneity, subadditivity, and a characterization of L^p -norm, *Ann. Polon. Math.* LX.3 (1995) 221–230.
- [9] J. Matkowski, The converse theorem for Minkowski’s inequality, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* 15 (1) (2004) 73–84.
- [10] H.P. Mulholland, On generalization of Minkowski inequality in the form of a triangle inequality, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 51 (1950) 294–307.
- [11] J.V. Pexider, Notiz über Funktionaltheoreme, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* 14 (1903) 293–301.