Remarks on some problems of Th. M. Rassias

Janusz Matkowski

Summary. During the 40th ISFE meeting Th. M. Rassias posed some open problems. A complete solution of one of these problems, and a partial solution of an another one are presented.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 39B62.

Keywords. Mean, functional equation, Gauss-composition of means, iteration.

During the 40th ISFE meeting Themistokles M. Rassias posed the following (cf. [4]):

Problem 1. Find all functions $f:(0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(\sqrt{xy}) + f\left(\frac{x^2 + y^2}{x + y}\right) = f(x) + f(y).$$
 (1)

Problem 2. Find all functions $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f\left(\frac{x+y+xy}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{2xy}{x+y+xy}\right) = f(x) + f(y) + f(xy). \tag{2}$$

In this note we give a complete solution of the second problem and a partial solution of the first one.

Theorem 1. A function $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies equation (2) if, and only if, f=0.

Proof. Setting

$$y := \frac{x}{x+1}, \quad x > 0,$$

in (2) we obtain

$$f\left(\frac{x^2}{x+1}\right) = 0$$

for all x>0. This completes the proof as the function $(0,\infty)\ni x\to \frac{x^2}{x+1}$ maps the positive reals onto itself. \Box

Theorem 2. A continuous function $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies equation (1) if, and only if, f is constant.

Proof. We shall apply the iteration method called the "Gauss-composition" of means which is discussed by Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles in [2].

Denote by $M, N : (0, \infty)^2 \to (0, \infty)$ the functions given by

$$M(x,y):=\sqrt{xy}, \qquad N(x,y)=\frac{x^2+y^2}{x+y}, \qquad x,y>0,$$

and by (M_n, N_n) the *n*-th iterate of the mapping $(M, N) : (0, \infty)^2 \to (0, \infty)^2$.

Since M and N are strict and continuous means, there is a unique continuous mean $K:(0,\infty)^2\to(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n = K, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} N_n = K \quad (3)$$

pointwise in $(0,\infty)^2$; moreover K is strict and homogeneous because so are M and N (cf. [3], Theorem 1).

Suppose that $f:(0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies equation (1), i.e. that

$$f(M(x,y)) + f(N(x,y)) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x,y > 0.$$

Hence, by an induction, we get

$$f(M_n(x,y)) + f(N_n(x,y)) = f(x) + f(y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}; x,y > 0.$$

Letting here $n \to \infty$, by (3) and the continuity of f, we obtain

$$2f(K(x,y)) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y > 0.$$
 (4)

Suppose that f is not one-to-one. Thus f(a) = f(b) for some $a, b \in (0, \infty)$, a < b. Put $C := \{x \in [a, b] : f(x) = f(a)\}$. We shall show that C = [a, b]. For an indirect argument suppose that $[a, b] \setminus C \neq \emptyset$. Since C is closed, there is a nonempty open maximal interval $(c, d) \subset [a, b] \setminus C$. Of course we have $c, d \in C$, i.e. f(c) = f(d) = f(a), and c < d. Hence, by (d)

$$2f(K(c,d)) = f(c) + f(d) = 2f(a),$$

which means that $K(c,d) \in C$. This is a contradiction because c < K(c,d) > d. Let $(\alpha,\beta) \subset (0,\infty)$ be a nonempty maximal open interval such that f(x) = f(a) for all $x \in (\alpha,\beta)$ and suppose that $\beta < \infty$. Put $\gamma := \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$. Since

$$K(\gamma, \beta) < K(\beta, \beta) = \beta$$
,

the continuity of K implies that there is an $u \in (\beta, \infty)$ such that

$$K(\gamma, u) < \beta$$
.

Since $\gamma < K(\gamma, u)$, we have $K(\gamma, u) \in (\alpha, \beta)$. Hence, by (4), we have

$$2f(a) = 2f(K(\gamma, u)) = f(\gamma) + f(u) = f(a) + f(u)$$

Vandin

and, consequently, f(u) = f(a). According to the previous part of the proof, f(x) = f(a) for all $x \in (\alpha, u)$ which contradicts to the assumed maximality of the interval (α, β) and proves that $\beta = \infty$. In a similar way we show that $\alpha = 0$.

Thus we have shown that every continuous solution of equation (4) which is not one-to-one must be constant.

If f is one-to-one then, by the continuity, it is strictly monotonic, and from (4) we get

$$K(x,y)=f^{-1}\left(\frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}\right), \qquad x,y>0,$$

which proves that K is quasi-arithmetic mean and f is a generator of K. Since K is homogeneous we infer that it must be a power mean (cf. [1], p. 249) and, consequently, either

$$f(x) = ax^p + b, \quad x > 0$$
 (5)

for some $p, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, p \neq 0 \neq a$, or

$$f(x) = a \log x + b,$$
 $x > 0,$ (6)

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$. It is easy to verify that the functions of the form (6) are not solutions of equation (1).

Suppose that there is a $p \neq 0$ such that f given by (5) satisfies equation (1). Substituting this function in equation (1) and taking y = 1, after obvious simplifications, we get

$$x^{p/2} + \left(\frac{x^2 + 1}{x + 1}\right)^p - x^p - 1 = 0, \quad x > 0.$$
 (7)

Calculating the second derivative (with respect to x) of both sides and then substituting x = 1 gives

$$\frac{p(3-2p)}{4} = 0.$$

It follows that $p=\frac{3}{2}$. Since it is easy to check that equation (7) with $p=\frac{3}{2}$ is not satisfied, no function of the form (5) is a solution of (1). This completes the proof of the "only if" part of the theorem. Since the remaining part is obvious, the proof is completed.

Note that in the first part of the proof we have proved the following:

Theorem 3. Let $M, N : (0, \infty)^2 \to (0, \infty)$ be continuous, homogeneous and strict means. If a function $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the functional equation

$$f(M(x,y)) + f(N(x,y)) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y > 0,$$

then either there are $a, b, p \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0 \neq p$, such that $f(x) = ax^p + b$, x > 0, or there are $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$, such that $f(x) = a \log x + b$, x > 0.

References

- J. Aczél. AND J. DHOMBRES, Functional equations in several variables, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 31, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, New Rochelle, Melbourne, Sydney, 1989.
- [2] Z. DARÓCZY AND Zs. PÁLES, Gauss-composition of means and the solution of the Matkowski-Sutö problem, Publ. Math. Debrecen 61 (2002). 157-218.
- [3] J. MATKOWSKI, Iterations of mean-type mappings and invariant means, Ann. Math. Siles.

 13 (1999) 211-226
- [4] TH. M. RASSIAS, 15. Problem and 16. Problem, in: Report of Meeting, The Fortieth International Symposium on Functional Equations, August 25-September 1, 2002, Gronów, Poland. Acquationes Math. 65 (2003), p. 310.

I Mathowski

Institute of Mathematics University of Zielona Góra

Podgórna 50

PL-65246 Zielona Góra

and Institute of Mathematics

Silesian University

Bankowa 14

PL-40007 Katowice

Poland

e-mail: J.Matkowski@im.uz.zgora.pl

Manuscript received: August 18, 2003 and, in final form, November 25, 2003.



To access this journal online: http://www.birkhauser.ch