CE IM 4 (2003) 435-440

# On subadditive functions and $\psi$ -additive mappings

### Janusz Matkowski\*

Institute of Mathematics, University of Zielona Góra, Podgórna 50, PL-65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland

Received 7 May 2003: accepted 11 June 2003

Abstract: In [4], assuming among others subadditivity and submultiplicavity of a function  $\psi \cdot [0, \infty) - [0, \infty)$ , the authors proved a Hyers-Ulam type stability theorem for " $\psi$ -additive mappings of a normed space into a normed space. In this note we show that the assumed conditions of the function  $\psi$  imply that  $\psi = 0$  and, consequently, every " $\psi$ -additive" mapping must, be additive.

© Central European Science Journals. All rights reserved.

Keywords: subadditive function, submultiplicative function, Hyers-Ulam stability,  $\psi$ -additive function

MSC (2000): 39B72

## 1 Introduction

This note is motivated by some recent papers [1], [3] and [4] concerning the Hyers-Ulam type stability theorems for  $\psi$ -additive mapping where the subadditive and submultiplicative functions were used. Recall the definition of  $\psi$ -additive mapping from [3]:

Let  $\psi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  be function,  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  normed spaces. A mapping  $F:E_1\to E_2$  is called  $\psi$ -additive if there is  $\theta>0$  such that

$$|F(x+y)-F(x)-F(y)| \leq \theta \left( \psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) \right)$$

for all  $x, y \in E_1$  (cf. [3]).

In [4] the following Hyers-Ulam type stability result is proved:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that  $\psi:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  satisfies the following conditions (1)  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\psi(t)}{t}=0$ 

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: J.Matkowski@im.uz.zgora.pl

- (2)  $\psi(st) \le \psi(s)\psi(t)$  for all  $s, t \ge 0$
- (3)  $\psi(s+t) \le \psi(s) + \psi(t)$  for all  $s, t \ge 0$
- (4)  $\psi$  is monotone increasing
- (5) ψ(t) < t for all t > 0.

Then a mapping  $F: E_1 \to E_2$  of normed space  $E_1$  into a normed space  $E_2$  is  $\psi$ -additive if, and only if, there exist a constant c > 0 and an additive mapping  $T: E_1 \to E_2$  such that

$$|F(x) - T(x)| \le c\psi(||x||),$$
 for all  $x \in E_1$ .

The  $\psi$ -additive functions were also considered in [3] and in a recent paper [1].

In this note we prove some properties of subadditive and submultiplicative functions. By applying them we infer that every function  $\psi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  satisfying the conditions 2 and 5 must be the zero function. Thus, every  $\psi$ -additive mapping with  $\psi$  satisfying only these two conditions is additive.

## 2 Some remarks on subadditive and multiplicative functions

We begin with the following

Proposition 2.1. A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) f is subadditive, that is

$$f(s+t) \le f(s) + f(t), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

(ii) there is a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$f(t) \le ct$$
,

if, and only if, f(t) = ct for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies condition (i) and (ii). Hence, for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(t) = f((t - s) + s) \le f(t - s) + f(s) \le c(t - s) + f(s)$$

whence

$$f(t) - ct \le f(s) - cs$$
,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Changing the roles of s and t, we get the converse inequality, and consequently,

$$f(t) - ct = f(s) - cs$$
,  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Taking s = 0 gives

$$f(t) - ct = f(0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting s=t=0 in (i) we get  $f(0) \ge 0$  and from (ii) we have  $f(0) \le 0$ . Thus f(0)=0. This shows that

$$f(t) = ct$$
,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since the converse implication is obvious, the proof is complete.

Remark 2.2. To show that assumption (i) cannot be replaced by the subadditivity of f on  $(0, \infty)$ , it is enough to observe that  $f: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ , given by  $f(t) := \frac{1}{t+1}$ , is subadditive and satisfies the inequality  $f(t) \le t$  for all t > 0.

Corollary 2.3. A function  $\psi:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) f is submultiplicative, that is

$$\psi(st) \le \psi(s)\psi(t)$$
,  $s, t > 0$ ;

(ii) there is a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all t > 0.

$$\psi(t) \leq t^c$$
,

if, and only if,  $\psi(t) = t^c$  for all t > 0.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\psi:(0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Then  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f:=\log c\psi$  exp satisfies the conditions (i)-(ii) of Proposition 2.1. Thus f(t)=ct for all  $t\in \mathbb{R}$ , and consequently,  $\psi(t)=t^c$  for all t>0. The converse implication is obvious

Assuming here c := 1 we obtain the following

Corollary 2.4. If a function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is submultiplicative, that is

$$\psi(st) \le \psi(s)\psi(t), \quad s, t \ge 0,$$

and

$$\psi(t) < t, \quad t > 0,$$

then  $\psi(t) = 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\psi(s)=0$  for some s>0. Then from the submultiplicativity of  $\psi,$  we have

$$\psi(t)=\psi\left(s\frac{t}{s}\right)\leq\psi\left(s\right)\psi\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)=0$$

for all  $t \ge 0$ , which shows that  $\psi = 0$  in  $[0, \infty)$ .

Suppose that  $\psi(s) > 0$  for all s > 0. Then with c = 1, the function  $\psi \mid_{(0,\infty)}$  satisfies all the assumptions of Corollary 2.3. Consequently, in the other case, we would obtain  $\psi(t) = t$  for all t > 0, which contradicts the assumption  $\psi(t) < t$  for all t > 0.

We shall prove the following

**Proposition 2.5.** If  $f:(0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  is subadditive, that is

$$f(s+t) \le f(s) + f(t), \qquad s,t > 0;$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to 0} f(t) = 0$$

then the limit

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$$

exists and

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{f(t)}{t}=\sup\left\{\frac{f(t)}{t}:t>0\right\}.$$

Proof. Set

$$\beta:=\sup\left\{\frac{f(t)}{t}:t>0\right\},$$

and assume first that  $\beta<+\infty.$  Take  $\varepsilon>0$  and a>0 such that

$$\frac{f(a)}{a} > \beta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$
.

For every  $t\in(0,a)$  there is a unique positive integer  $n\in\mathbb{N},$  n=n(t), such that  $\frac{a}{a+1}\leq t<\frac{a}{2},$  i.e.

$$\frac{an}{n} \le nt < a, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, by the definition of  $\beta$  and the subadditivity of f, we have

$$\beta \geq \frac{f(t)}{t} = \frac{nf(t)}{nt} \geq \frac{f(nt)}{nt} \geq \frac{f(a) - f(a - nt)}{nt} \geq \frac{f(a)}{a} - \frac{\frac{g(a - nt)}{n+1}}{\frac{gn}{n+1}}.$$

Since  $n = n(t) \rightarrow \infty$  iff  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$0 < a - nt \le \frac{a}{n+1},$$

we infer that

$$\lim_{t\to 0}(a-nt)=0.$$

The assumption that  $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t) = 0$  implies there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < t < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{f(a - nt)}{\frac{n}{n+1}a} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Now for all  $t \in (0, \delta)$  we have

$$\beta \geq \frac{f(t)}{t} \geq \frac{f(a)}{a} - \frac{f(a-nt)}{\frac{an}{n+1}} \geq \left(\beta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \beta - \varepsilon,$$

which proves that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$  exists and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \beta.$$

In the case when  $\beta=\infty$  we can argue in a similar way replacing  $\beta$  by an arbitrary number M>0. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.6. Under the measurability assumptions the above result is proved in [2].

Corollary 2.7. Suppose that  $f:(0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  is subadditive, that is

$$f(s+t) \le f(s) + f(t), \quad s, t > 0$$
:

and

$$\lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \inf \frac{f(t)}{t} \le \lim_{t\to \infty} \sup \frac{f(t)}{t}$$
(1)

if and only if, there is a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that f(t) = ct for all t > 0.

**Proof.** The assumptions imply that f is bounded on every finite subinterval of  $[0,\infty)$ . By the subadditivity of f (cf. Hille, Phillips [2, p.244, Theorem 7.6.1] there exists a finite limit

$$\alpha := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}$$

and

$$\alpha = \inf_{t>0} \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{t} : t>0 \right\}.$$

Putting

$$\beta := \sup \left\{ \frac{f(t)}{t} : t > 0 \right\},\,$$

in view of Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\beta = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(t)}{t}$$
.

Of course we have  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . Condition (1) implies that  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and, consequently,  $\alpha = \beta$ . Setting  $c := \alpha$  we obtain f(t) = ct for all t > 0.

### 3 Remarks on $\psi$ -additive maps

From Corollary 2.4 we obtain the following

Remark 3.1. Suppose that  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the conditions 2 and 5 of Theorem 1.1. Then every mapping of normed space  $E_1$  into a normed space  $E_2$  is  $\psi$ -additive if, and only if, it is additive.

Applying Proposition 2.5 we get the following

Remark 3.2. Let  $\psi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  satisfy the conditions 2 and 3 of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that  $\lim_{t\to 0}\psi(t)=0$  and 0 is a cluster point of the set

$$\{t>0: \psi(t) < ct\}$$

for some c < 1. Applying Proposition 2.5 we infer that  $\psi(t) < t$  for all t > 0, that is, condition 5 is satisfied.

These remarks show that condition 5 of Theorem 1.1 should be either removed or replaced by a weaker one.

#### References

- P. Găvruta: "On a problem of G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias concerning the stability of mappings", J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 261, (2001), pp. 543-553.
- [2] E. Hille and R.S. Phillips: "Functional analysis and semi-groups", AMS, Colloquium Publications, Vol. 31, Providence, Rhode Island, 1957.
- [3] G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias: "On the Hyers-Ulam stability of  $\psi$ -additive mappings", J. Approx. Theory, Vol. 72, (1993), pp. 137–137.
- [4] G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias: "Functional inequalities for approximately additive mappings", In: Th.M. Rassias and J.Tabor, (Eds.): Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam type, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbour, FI, 1994, pp. 117-125.