

Janusz Matkowski, Jolanta Okrzesik

ON A COMPOSITE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

Abstract. We determine all continuous functions $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the functional equation

$$f(xG(f(x))) = f(x)G(f(x))$$

where G is continuous and strictly increasing function such that $1 \in G((0, \infty))$.

1. Introduction

We deal with continuous solution of the composite functional equation

$$(1) \quad f(xG(f(x))) = f(x)G(f(x))$$

where $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an unknown function. In the case when a given G is a power function this functional equation was considered in [2].

In the present paper, assuming that $G : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous, strictly increasing and such that $1 \in G(0, \infty)$, we determine all continuous and strictly increasing solutions of this functional equation.

Note that (cf. also [2]) if $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a bijective solution of the above functional equation, then the function $\phi := f^{-1}$ satisfies the following (non-composite!) linear homogenous iterative functional equation

$$\phi(xG(x)) = G(x)\phi(x).$$

Since the theory such equations is well-known (cf. M. Kuczma [3] and M. Kuczma, B. Choczewski, R. Ger [4]), we are mainly interested in noninvertible solution of the considered equation.

Let us mention that in the case when $G(u) = u^2$ equation (1) appears in a division model of population (cf. [1]).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 39B12.

Key words and phrases: composite functional equation, continuous solution.

2. Main result

Our aim is to prove the following

THEOREM. *Suppose that $G : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous, strictly increasing, and there exists a $\gamma > 0$ such that $G(\gamma) = 1$. A continuous function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies the functional equation*

$$(2) \quad f(xG(f(x))) = f(x)G(f(x)), \quad x > 0,$$

if, and only if, there exist $a, b \in [0, +\infty]$, $a \leq b$, and $a \neq b$ if $a = 0$ or $b = \infty$, such that

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma}{a}x & 0 < x \leq a \\ \gamma & a < x < b \\ \frac{\gamma}{b}x & x \geq b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Define the functions $M, D : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$(4) \quad M(x) := xG(f(x)), \quad D(x) := \frac{f(x)}{x}, \quad x > 0.$$

We can write equation (1) in the form

$$(5) \quad D(M(x)) = D(x), \quad x > 0.$$

If $M(x_1) = M(x_2)$ for some $x_1, x_2 > 0$, then, by (5), we get $D(x_1) = D(x_2)$, and, consequently, $D(x_1)M(x_1) = D(x_2)M(x_2)$. In view of the definitions of M and D it means that $f(x_1)G(f(x_1)) = f(x_2)G(f(x_2))$. Since the function $xG(x)$ is strictly increasing, it follows that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Now the equality $D(x_1) = D(x_2)$ implies that $x_1 = x_2$. Thus M is one-to-one, and, by the continuity of G , M is strictly monotonic.

Suppose first that M is strictly increasing and put

$$Fix(M) := \{x > 0 : M(x) = x\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$Fix(M) = \{x > 0 : f(x) = \gamma\}.$$

We shall prove that $Fix(M)$ is a nonempty, closed subinterval of $(0, \infty)$.

For an indirect argument first suppose that $Fix(M) = \emptyset$. The continuity of M implies that either $M(x) < x$, ($x > 0$), or $M(x) > x$, ($x > 0$). Hence, by definition (4) of M , either

$$G(f(x)) < 1, \quad x > 0,$$

or

$$G(f(x)) > 1, \quad x > 0.$$

Since $G(\gamma) = 1$, by the monotonicity of G , we infer that either

$$(6) \quad f(x) < \gamma, \quad x > 0;$$

or

$$(7) \quad f(x) > \gamma, \quad x > 0.$$

On the other hand, the continuity of M and D , the monotonicity of M , and equation (5), imply that

$$D((0, \infty)) = D([M(1), 1]).$$

Hence, setting

$$c := \inf D([M(1), 1]), \quad C := \sup D([M(1), 1]),$$

we obtain the inequality $0 < c \leq D(x) \leq C < \infty$ for all $x > 0$ i.e.

$$0 < cx \leq f(x) \leq Cx < \infty, \quad x > 0,$$

which contradicts (6), as well as (7). This proves that $Fix(M) \neq \emptyset$.

To show that $Fix(M)$ is an interval, for an indirect proof, suppose that there exists an interval $[c, d]$, $c < d$, such that $c, d \in Fix(M)$, and $(c, d) \cap Fix(M) = \emptyset$. Consequently, either $M(x) < x$ for all $x \in (c, d)$, or $M(x) > x$ for all $x \in (c, d)$. In the first case we would have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^n(x) = c, \quad x \in [c, d].$$

From equation (5), by induction, for every integer n , we get

$$D(x) = D(M^n(x)), \quad x > 0.$$

The continuity of D implies

$$D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(M^n(x)) = D(c), \quad x \in [c, d].$$

Hence, again by the continuity of D , we get $D(c) = D(d)$, i.e. that

$$f(c)d = f(d)c.$$

On the other hand we have $M(c) = c$ and $M(d) = d$, which means that

$$G(f(c)) = 1, \quad G(f(d)) = 1.$$

Since G is one-to-one, it follows that $f(c) = f(d)$. Consequently $c = d$. This contradiction proves that $Fix(M)$ is an interval. If $M(x) > x$ we argue in the same way.

Put

$$a := \inf Fix(M), \quad b := \sup Fix(M).$$

According to what we have proved,

$$0 \leq a < +\infty, \quad 0 < b \leq +\infty.$$

Since M is continuous we have

$$Fix(M) = [a, b] \cap (0, \infty).$$

Hence,

$$(8) \quad f(x) = \gamma, \quad x \in [a, b] \cap (0, +\infty).$$

If $b < +\infty$ then we have either $M(x) < x$ for all $x > b$, or $M(x) > x$ for all $x > b$. Suppose that $M(x) < x$ for all $x > b$. Then, for a fixed $x > b$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^n(x) = b.$$

Hence, by (5) and the continuity of D ,

$$D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(M^n(x)) = D(b), \quad x > b.$$

Suppose that $M(x) > x$ for all $x > b$. Then, for a fixed $x > b$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^{-n}(x) = b$$

and, for the same reason,

$$D(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(M^{-n}(x)) = D(b), \quad x > b.$$

Now the definition of D and the relation $b \in \text{Fix}(M)$ imply

$$f(x) = b^{-1}f(b)x = b^{-1}(\gamma)x, \quad x > b.$$

If $a > 0$, we show in the same way that

$$f(x) = a^{-1}f(a)x = a^{-1}(\gamma)x, \quad 0 < x < a.$$

Thus, if $0 < a \leq b < +\infty$ then we arrive at formula (3) for f . If $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$ obviously $f(x) = \gamma$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, in accordance with (3), too.

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that the functions given by this formula satisfy equation (1).

Now suppose that M is strictly decreasing. Then, by the definition of M , the function $G \circ f$ is also strictly decreasing. Because G is strictly increasing, so f is strictly decreasing. This is a contradiction because the function $f \circ M$, the left-hand side of equation (1), is strictly increasing, and the function $f \cdot (G \circ f)$, the right-hand side of equation (1), is strictly decreasing.

This completes the proof.

REMARK 1. The assumption that the function G is strictly increasing is essential. It is a consequence of point 2° and 3° of Theorem 1 in [2] where $G(u) = u^{-2}$ or $G(u) = u^{-1}$, $u > 0$.

In the case when $G(u) = u^{-2}$, besides functions given by (3), for every continuous function $f_1 : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that $f_1(1) = 1$, and

$$x \rightarrow \frac{f_1(x)}{x} \text{ is increasing on } [1, \infty),$$

there exists a unique continuous solution f of equation (1) such that $f(x) = f_1(x)$ for all $x \geq 1$; moreover, the function f is an increasing homeomorphic mapping of $(0, \infty)$ onto itself.

In the case when $G(u) = u^{-1}$, a continuous $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies (1) if, and only if, there are $a, b \in [0, \infty)$, $a \leq b$, and $a \neq b$ if $a = 0$ or $b = \infty$; and continuous functions $f_a : (0, a] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $f_b : [b, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions

$$\frac{x}{b} \leq f_a(x) \leq \frac{x}{a}, \quad x \in (0, a]; \quad \frac{x}{b} \leq f_b(x) \leq \frac{x}{a}, \quad x \in (b, \infty);$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f_a(x) = 1 = \lim_{x \rightarrow b^+} f_b(x)$$

such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_a(x) & 0 \leq x < a \\ 1 & a \leq x \leq b \\ f_b(x) & x > b. \end{cases}$$

Thus, these two cases show that if the function G is not increasing, besides (3), some other type of solutions may appear.

REMARK 2. If G is constant, say $G = c$, $c \in (0, \infty)$, then equation (2) becomes $f(cx) = cf(x)$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, and the continuous solution of this equation depends on an arbitrary function (cf. M. Kuczma [3]). Thus the strict monotonicity of G in the theorem is indispensable.

REMARK 3. The assumption that $1 \in G((0, \infty))$ is also essential. It is easily seen from equation (2) that if $1 \notin G(0, \infty)$ then there is not a constant solution.

References

- [1] J. Dhombres, *Some Aspects of Functional Equations*, Department of Math., Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, 1979.
- [2] P. Kahlig, A. Matkowska, J. Matkowski, *On a class of composite functional equation in a single variable*, *Aequationes Math.* 52(1996), 260–283.
- [3] M. Kuczma, *Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, *Monografie Mat.*, Vol. 46, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1968.
- [4] M. Kuczma, B. Choczewski, R. Ger, *Iterative Functional Equations*, *Encyclopedia of Math. and its Applications*, Vol. 32, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge – New York – Port Chester – Melbourne – Sydney, 1990.

and

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
SILESIAN UNIVERSITY
Bankowa 14
40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND
E-mail: J.Matkowski@im.uz.zgora.pl

Jolanta Okrzesik
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
A.T.H. BIELSKO-BIAŁA
Willowa 2
43-309 BIELSKO-BIAŁA, POLAND
E-mail: jokrzesik@ath.bielsko.pl

Received November 14, 2001.